

IN THE MATTER OF COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED
AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF COMARK INC.

APPLICANT

Court File No. CV15-10920-00CL

June 1-15

ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

PROCEEDING COMMENCED AT
TORONTO

MOTION RECORD
(Approval of Bridging Facility and Stay Extension)

OSLER, HOSKIN & HARCOURT LLP

Box 50, 1 First Canadian Place
Toronto, Canada M5X 1B8

Marc Wasserman (LSUC#: 44066M)

Tel: 416.862.4908

Michael De Lellis (LSUC #: 48038U)

Tel: 416.862.5997

Caitlin Fell (LSUC #: 60091H)

Tel: 416.862.6690

Fax: 416.862.6666

Lawyers for the Applicant

M. Wasserman

June 1, 2015

M. De Lellis for Comark.

B. Enay + R. Baulke for Minto.

S. Beke for Sales (DIP leader)

J. Carhart for TD Bk.

The ~~reg~~ motion proceeded unopposed.

The ~~reg~~ requested relief was
supported by the Monitor and
Sales.

TD did not oppose.

The Applicant requested approval
of the Incoming Purchase Consideration
Facility between Comark and
Bridging Finance Inc. ("Bridging")
and the related Bridging Charge.

The ~~Monitor~~ conditional support

M3

for the Faculty is set out - the affidavit
of Nantle Lewis and is the Third Report of the
Master. The key provisions are summarized
at 5.9 of the Third Report.

(and to the Inter advised that a number
of parties (?) had been approached to
provide the Family and that the key
terms had been subject to negotiation.
I - satisfied, having received the record,
that the ~~probate~~ Comanche business would
be benefited by the implementation of the
Family and further that approval if it
seems, at this time so that the drafts
of the Family can be utilized in the
~~the~~ ~~Village~~ days for inventory to be
produced for the Village men.

Sub 11.2 of the CCAT gives the court the authority to grant an interim pricing charge to support the parties. The parties to consider are set out in § 11.2(4) of the CCAT and ~~these~~ ^{these} ~~have~~ for this particular case are summarized at paragraphs 32 - 35 of the facts.

I accept these submissions and approved the Faculty and related charge and the requested ancillary relief.

The Applicants also request an extension of the 14 Day Period. On my view the Record establishes that the parties have been working in good faith and with due diligence such that the request to extend the 14 Day Period to August 28, 2018 is appropriate and is granted.

The Interim requests around of the 3rd Report. No adverse comment has been expressed to the Report. The 3rd Report is approved together with the activities described therein.

Finally, the Applicants request that an unrecorded interim Order be made pursuant to Family Report be sealed pending further order. For the reasons set forth at paragraphs 36-40 of the Applicants' further, I am satisfied that the sealing request is appropriate and it is granted.

Matter granted and order signed.

[Signature]