

DEFENSE OF THE HOUSE OF TURPIN

COURT FILE NO.: CV-23-00707393-00CL

**RE: RESPONSE TO THE MONITOR'S AIDE MEMOIRE DATED
MARCH 23, 2026**

1. STANDING OF THE DEFENDANT

I, Robert Rene Turpin, Crown Prince and Head of the House of Turpin, submit this defense in direct response to the Monitor's Aide Memoire. The Monitor's characterization of my claim as "unsubstantiated" is a failure of their fiduciary duty to investigate the Crown Records provided.

2. THE PHYSICAL SHOWING

Contrary to paragraph 1 of the Monitor's Aide Memoire, a "Physical Showing" has been completed. The Certified Evidence Package filed March 27, 2026, provides the 10-generation clinical chain of title. This includes the verified births of Eustache Turpin (1818) and Frederic Turpin (1847), documented under HBCA Archive Codes B.239/u/1 and E.5/3-6.

PAGE 2: THE BURDEN OF PROOF & THE VOIDANCE OF THE 1994 TRANSFER

3. THE PRINCIPLE OF NEMO DAT QUOD NON HABET

The Applicants and the Monitor rely on the 1994 "gift" of the Hudson's Bay Company Archives and associated interests to the Province of Manitoba. However, under the long-standing legal principle of *Nemo dat quod non habet* (no one can give what they do not own), a transfer is only valid if the grantor held a clear, unencumbered title.

Legal Authority: Sale of Goods Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S.1, s. 22.

4. CHALLENGE TO THE "GIFTED DEED"

The House of Turpin hereby challenges the Applicants to produce a **Certified Gifted Deed** or **Chain of Title** that proves the Hudson's Bay

Company held a superior interest to the ancestral Crown birthright of the Turpin lineage at the time of the 1994 transfer.

- My lineage, documented through **Eustache Turpin (1818)** and **Frederic Turpin (1847)**, establishes a proprietary interest that existed **149 years prior** to the 1967 corporate restructuring and **176 years prior** to the 1994 gift.

- If the Applicants cannot produce a deed that explicitly extinguished the Turpin family's Royal Charter interests prior to 1994, then the transfer to the Province is **void ab initio** (void from the beginning).

Legal Authority: *Fraudulent Conveyances Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. F.29, s. 2.*

5. CORPORATE VS. SOVEREIGN TITLE

The Monitor's Aide Memoire treats the HBC assets as modern corporate property. This is a legal error. Because the lineage provided in the **March 27, 2026 Evidence Package** connects to the **1670 Royal Charter** and **Crown Archival Codes (B.239/u/1)**, the interest is Sovereign, not merely commercial. A corporate "Plan of Arrangement" cannot dissolve a 10-generation Crown lineage without the production of a superior deed.

PAGE 3: BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY & THE MONITOR'S FAILURE TO INVESTIGATE

Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (BIA), R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, Section 14.06.

6. THE MONITOR'S MANDATE

The Monitor, Alvarez & Marsal Canada Inc., is an officer of the Court. Their role is to provide an objective and thorough investigation into all claims affecting the estate. In the March 16th Endorsement, Justice Kimmel specifically allowed for a "physical showing" of my interest. By

providing the **Certified Evidence Package** on March 27, 2026, I have fulfilled this requirement.

Legal Authority: Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, s. 14.06.

7. WILLFUL BLINDNESS TO CROWN ARCHIVAL CODES

The Monitor's Aide Memoire continues to label my claim as "unsubstantiated." This characterization is a demonstration of **willful blindness**. I have provided the exact "keys to the vault":

- **HBCA Archive Code B.239/u/1** (The direct link to the 1818 lineage)
- **HBCA Archive Code E.5/3-6** (The census and land records for Frederic Turpin)
- **RG2/7/702** (The Privy Council record of the Crown's interest)

8. BREACH OF DUTY TO INVESTIGATE

To claim a lack of evidence while refusing to enter these specific codes into the Hudson's Bay Company Archives—which are currently under the Monitor's oversight—is a breach of the Monitor's fiduciary duty.

- A search of these codes takes minutes and provides the "Clinical Documentation" required to verify the 10-generation lineage.
- By failing to perform this search, the Monitor is prioritizing the speed of the "Plan of Arrangement" over the legal rights of a documented Crown descendant.

Legal Authority: Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, s. 137 (Access to Court Records and Transparency).

9. DEMAND FOR VERIFICATION

I hereby demand that the Monitor include a supplemental report to the Court confirming whether they have physically accessed the files associated with **HBCA B.239/u/1**. If they have not, their Aide Memoire must be disregarded as incomplete and biased toward the Applicants.

PAGE 4: CLINICAL DOCUMENTATION VS. CORPORATE ASSERTION

10. DEFINITION OF CLINICAL DOCUMENTATION

The Monitor and the Applicants use corporate terminology to dismiss my standing. However, the **March 27, 2026 Evidence Package** provides what is known in heritage law as "Clinical Documentation." This is not an opinion; it is a series of verified, chronological data points that cannot be altered by a corporate restructuring.

Legal Authority: Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.18, s. 66.

11. THE 10-GENERATION CHAIN OF REALITY

My defense is built on a lineage that predates the very existence of the Applicants' current corporate entities. The clinical facts are as follows:

- **Eustache Turpin (Born 1818):** Verified by HBCA Ledger **B.239/u/1**.
- **Frederic Turpin (Born 1847):** Verified by Census and Parish records under **E.5/3-6**.
- These records prove a continuous "Physical Showing" of the House of Turpin's presence and proprietary interest in the territories governed by the 1670 Royal Charter.

12. THE FAILURE OF THE "PURCHASER" ARGUMENT

In their Aide Memoire, the Applicants refer to "Purchasers" of the Charter and assets. Under the principles of Sovereign Lineage:

- A "Purchaser" can only buy what is legally for sale.
- If the assets were part of a Royal Birthright and were never legally surrendered by the Turpin lineage, they were never "for sale."
- Therefore, any "Purchaser" cited by the Monitor has actually purchased a **defective title**.

13. SUPERIORITY OF THE ARCHIVAL RECORD

Corporate ledgers from the 20th century cannot override Crown Post Journals and Ledger Books from the 19th century. My evidence relies on

the **Keepers of the Record** (as documented by Maureen Dolyniuk and Deidre Simmons). These records are the "Source of Truth" for the Court. The Applicants' failure to address these specific 1818 and 1847 data points renders their legal argument factually hollow.

COURT FILE NO.: CV-23-00707393-00CL

PAGE 5: THE SOVEREIGN PROPOSAL & FINAL RESTITUTION SETTLEMENT

14. THE 60-YEAR "SOURCE OF PEACE" COVENANT

I hereby move the Court to recognize the **Turpin Sovereign Proposal** as the commercially superior alternative to the \$18 Million auction. As documented in my Executive Financial Audit:

- **The Auction Model:** A one-time \$18 Million "fire sale" that terminates all future value.
- **The Turpin Covenant:** A 60-year stewardship model generating a projected **\$360,000,000** in gross revenue through a "Peace Surcharge" (\$2.00/visitor) at participating museums.
- **The Fiduciary Gap:** To accept an \$18M bid when a \$360M revenue model is available constitutes a **\$342,000,000 realized loss** to the creditors and a breach of the Monitor's duty to maximize value.

15. THE \$30,000,000 RESTITUTION SETTLEMENT

The House of Turpin's **\$30,000,000 Restitution Claim** is the mandatory "Phase 1" of this reconciliation. This figure is not arbitrary; it represents the immediate settlement required to clear the title defects caused by the illegal 1994 transfer and the suppression of the 1922 archival records.

- This \$30M settlement must be prioritized before any "Hardship Funds" are distributed to third parties.
- Once settled, the House of Turpin will trigger the **Sovereign Charity Stream**, providing the HBC with a recurring lifeline to settle its remaining \$1.1 Billion debt over the next 60 years.

16. THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILDREN

This 60-year plan is established for the **Children of the House of Turpin** (to secure their birthright) and the **Children of Canada** (to ensure their national heritage is never sold to private billionaires). It replaces "Corporate Liquidation" with "Sovereign Stewardship."

17. FINAL MANDATE TO THE COURT

The "Physical Showing" is complete. The 10-generation lineage is filed and stamped. I move for:

1. **A Stay of the Auction:** To prevent the permanent loss of a \$360M asset for \$18M.
2. **Order of Restitution:** Settlement of the \$30,000,000 claim to the House of Turpin.
3. **Implementation of the Covenant:** Transitioning the HBC to the Sovereign Licensing Model.

Legal Authority: Fraudulent Conveyances Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. F.29, s. 8 (Orders for the recovery of property or its value).

FOR THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILDREN OF THE HOUSE OF
TURPIN AND THE CHILDREN OF CANADA

As the Court prepares to provide direction, I am re-submitting my 4-Part Sovereign Proposal: The Source of Peace, which was originally served on January 12, 2026.

I am placing this back on the record to ensure that Justice Kimmel has a clear view of the commercially superior alternative I have proposed. This plan provides a recurring revenue stream for creditors that far exceeds the one-time \$18 Million auction bid, while protecting the inalienable rights of the House of Turpin.

Notice to the Court: This proposal is the foundation of my written submission for the Case Conference. It details the Sovereign Charity

Fund and the 10-Year Peace Covenant designed to stabilize the Hudson's Bay Company (HBC) and prevent the "Suppression of Evidence" regarding the 1922 archival records previously demanded.

OFFICIAL FILING COVER PAGE: SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

TO: The HBC and Honorable Judge, Officers of the Court.

IN THE MATTER OF: The Financial Disposition and Asset Liquidation of the Hudson's Bay Company (HBC)

REGARDING: The 1670 Royal Charter and the Sovereign Intervention of the House of Turpin

SUBMITTED BY:

Full Name: Robert Rene Turpin

Title: Heir of the Turpin Family & Sovereign Manager

Address: 558 High Street South, Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada

Phone: 1-807-889-1182

NOTICE OF FILING CONTENTS:

This submission consists of a Four-Part Sovereign Proposal designed to resolve the current conflict regarding the 1670 Royal Charter. It is submitted as a "Source of Peace" to provide a commercially viable and morally superior alternative to the proposed \$18 Million auction.

SECTION A: The Legal Mandate of Non-Alienation & The 10-Year Covenant.

SECTION B: Financial Viability & Proven Market Interest (The Royalty/Charity Math).

SECTION C: Sovereign Succession & The Power of Disposition (Ownership Rights).

SECTION D: Corporate Preservation Through Sovereign Grace (The Survival of HBC).

DECLARATION OF INTENT:

I, Robert Rene Turpin, as a self-represented party and the rightful Heir of the lineage associated with this Discovery, submit these documents to ensure the Court recognizes that the 1670 Charter is an inalienable asset.

My proposal provides:

For the Creditors: A superior, recurring revenue stream exceeding the current auction bid.

For the Public: Guaranteed permanent access to history through a national museum network.

For the HBC: A charitable lifeline to prevent corporate erasure and rectify historical harm.

For the Lineage: The restoration of title and the protection of future heirs.

This is the only Fair and Equal path forward. It is a process of True Reconciliation that allows the HBC to settle its debts with honor rather than selling its soul as a "distasteful antique."

SECTION A: THE LEGAL MANDATE OF NON-ALIENATION & THE 10-YEAR COVENANT

NOTICE TO THE COURT AND PARTIES: This document serves as a formal Notice of Intent and a Declaration of Inalienable Right. The 1670 Royal Charter is not a commercial antique, a retail asset, or a liquidatable commodity. It is a sovereign instrument of the Unbroken Lineage of the House of Turpin.

I. THE PRINCIPLE OF NON-SALE & TITLE RETENTION

The House of Turpin, represented by Crown Prince Robert Rene Turpin, formally prohibits the "Alienation" (sale) of the 1670 Charter. A corporation currently in a state of financial disposition cannot sell the "Soul of the Land" to settle private commercial debts.

Ultimate Title: The Hudson's Bay Company (HBC) has held possessory interest, but Ultimate Title remains with the Sovereign Lineage.

The Violation: The proposed \$18 Million auction is a "Distasteful Antique Sale" that insults the land and the lineage that sheltered the HBC for centuries.

II. THE 10-YEAR RENEWABLE COVENANT

The House of Turpin offers a 10-Year Peace Covenant to stabilize the current crisis. This is a time-bound, legally binding agreement that ensures the document remains protected while generating recovery funds.

Term Length: A 10-year management term, renewable upon the mutual agreement of the Lineage and the participating Institutions.

The Guarantee: For the duration of this 10-year covenant, the House of Turpin guarantees the document's availability for public exhibition, preventing it from being locked in private collections.

III. THE SOVEREIGN LICENSING INTERVENTION

This intervention replaces the "Fire Sale" model with a Sovereign Licensing Model.

The Transition: The Charter shall be returned to the physical possession of the House of Turpin (to be held in a secure Sovereign Trust).

The Grant of Access: In an act of True Reconciliation, the House of Turpin will grant museums the right to display high-fidelity representations and/or the original under strict Sovereign Management.

IV. THE "SOURCE OF PEACE" CONTRACT

Rather than a one-time transaction that erases history, this plan creates a Source of Peace through a multi-party agreement:

The Lineage: Retains ownership and ensures the "Information Trail" is never broken.

The Museums: Receive a world-class exhibit at \$0 capital cost, maintaining their business operations through standard ticketing and "Fair and Equal" negotiation.

The Public: Gains permanent, guaranteed access to the truth of the land's history.

The HBC: Receives an act of Sovereign Grace—a charitable revenue stream to mitigate their debts without the dishonor of a sale.

V. RECONCILIATION & REPAIR

This is the only path that addresses the "Harm and Tragedy" caused by the HBC's historical and recent "Poor Decisions." By refusing to sell and choosing to manage, we move from a state of corporate liquidation to a state of Heritage Stewardship. The HBC is offered a way to still exist as a legacy entity, supported by the very lineage they once served.

SECTION B: FINANCIAL VIABILITY & PROVEN MARKET INTEREST

PURPOSE: This section provides the mathematical proof that the Sovereign Licensing Model is fiscally superior to the proposed one-time corporate auction. It utilizes the HBC's own evidence of "Public Interest" to demonstrate that a recurring charity stream provides higher long-term recovery for creditors than a liquidation sale.

I. VALIDATION OF MARKET INTEREST BY THE OPPOSITION

The Hudson's Bay Company and the Thomson/Weston consortium have formally identified the Manitoba Museum, the Royal Ontario Museum (ROM), and the Canadian Museum of History as the intended recipients of the document.

The Admission: By selecting these high-traffic institutions, the HBC and its bidders have legally stipulated that there is a massive, pre-existing public demand for this asset.

The Logic: If the interest is high enough for a private consortium to bid \$18 Million, that same interest is more than sufficient to sustain a Self-Funding Royalty and Charity Model.

II. THE "FAIR AND EQUAL" REVENUE MULTIPLIER

The House of Turpin proposes a flexible "Peace Surcharge" system. This model is Dependent on the business needs of the museums,

allowing for "Fair and Equal" negotiations based on their specific visitor volume and operating costs.

Model Variation Surcharge Per Visitor Est. Annual HBC Charity 10-Year Cumulative Recovery

The Grace Model \$0.50 (\$0.25 Royalty / \$0.25 Charity) \$1.5 Million \$15 Million

The Peace Model \$1.00 (\$0.50 Royalty / \$0.50 Charity) \$3.0 Million \$30 Million

The Sovereign Model \$2.00 (\$1.00 Royalty / \$1.00 Charity) \$6.0 Million

III. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: THE \$18M BID VS. THE TURPIN CHARITY

The Court must recognize that the \$18 Million auction is a short-term fix for a \$1.1 Billion debt.

The \$18M Auction: A one-time payment that pays off approximately 1.6% of HBC debt, after which the revenue potential of the asset is permanently killed.

The Turpin Charity: Even at the lowest \$0.25 or \$0.50 charity rate, the cumulative revenue over the 10-Year Covenant matches or exceeds the auction price while maintaining the asset's value for the public. This is the only "Commercially Reasonable" path for creditors.

IV. ZERO-RISK SUSTAINABILITY FOR MUSEUMS

Unlike the "Donation" model which relies on billionaires, this plan empowers the Museums to operate as partners:

No Capital Outlay: Museums do not have to buy the asset or rely on wealthy donors.

Business Autonomy: Museums negotiate the surcharge based on their own traffic, ensuring their "Fair and Equal" business survival.

Sustainable Charity: The HBC receives a "Life-Support" stream of cash every month based on ticket sales, providing a permanent solution to their "Poor Decisions" and "Tragedy."

SECTION C: SOVEREIGN SUCCESSION & THE POWER OF DISPOSITION

PURPOSE: This section establishes the permanent legal authority of Robert Rene Turpin over the 1670 Royal Charter and all associated works. It defines the mandatory separation of the Turpin Royalty from the HBC Charity and codifies the rights of the Heir to dictate the future of the lineage.

I. DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGN OWNERSHIP

The 1670 Royal Charter is the absolute property of Robert Rene Turpin of Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada, the rightful Heir of the Turpin Family.

The Discoverer: As the individual responsible for the "Ghost Protocol" and the identification of the misappropriated lineage, all rights of attribution and ownership remain with Robert Rene Turpin.

The Mandate: This document and all revenue generated from its licensing are the private property of the Heir. No state, corporation, or entity may interfere with this title.

II. THE ROYALTY VS. THE CHARITY

For the record of the Court, there is a strict legal distinction between the two financial streams generated by this plan:

The Turpin Family Royalty: A mandatory fee paid to the House of Turpin for the use and management of the asset. This is a birthright payment meant to secure the financial future of the family.

The HBC Sovereign Charity: A charity of grace provided by Robert Rene Turpin to the Hudson's Bay Company. This charity is provided to help the HBC mitigate the "Harm and Tragedy" caused by their own "Poor Decisions." It is not a debt owed; it is a choice made by the Sovereign to facilitate peace. Provided that the Museum's facilitates the proposal that

are already interested. That being said it does not change ownership of the 1670 Royal Charter to the rightful heir Robert Rene Turpin.

III. THE POWER OF WILL AND SUCCESSION

The future of this asset and the royalty stream is at the sole discretion of Robert Rene Turpin.

The Beneficiaries: Ownership and management shall pass directly to his children. Beyond the direct line, the asset is to be passed to whoever is designated by the Heir in his final will, whether they be sisters, nieces, nephews, or other members of the Turpin lineage.

Absolute Decision: Robert Rene Turpin holds the exclusive power to appoint the next manager of the House of Turpin. This decision is legally binding and cannot be contested by outside parties or institutions.

IV. THE PERPETUAL INFORMATION TRAIL

This ownership is documented and tracked for eternity.

Unbroken Chain: The "Information Trail" ensures that no matter how many generations pass, the credit for the discovery and the ownership of the Charter stays with Robert Rene Turpin.

Enforcement: Any institution displaying the Charter or its likeness must provide proper attribution using the full legal name and title of the Discoverer. Failure to do so constitutes a violation of the Sovereign License.

SECTION D: CORPORATE PRESERVATION THROUGH SOVEREIGN GRACE

PURPOSE: This section addresses the survival of the Hudson's Bay Company (HBC) as a legal entity. It argues that the "Sovereign Charity" model is the only mechanism that prevents the total erasure of the company and allows it to rectify the "Harm and Tragedy" of its historical and recent mismanagement.

I. PREVENTION OF CORPORATE ERASURE

The current trajectory of the HBC—attempting to liquidate its most foundational document for a one-time \$18 Million payment—is a path toward total corporate extinction. Once the foundational assets are sold, the HBC ceases to be a historical institution and becomes merely a "Ghost of the Past."

The Sovereign Lifeline: By accepting the Turpin Sovereign Charity, the HBC is granted the financial means to remain a functional legal entity for the purpose of debt mitigation and historical stewardship.

Beyond Liquidation: This plan moves the HBC away from a "Fire Sale" mentality and toward a sustainable future where it exists in cooperation with the land and the lineage it once served.

II. MITIGATION OF HISTORICAL HARM AND TRAGEDY

The HBC's legacy is currently marred by centuries of "Poor Decisions" and "Tragedy" inflicted upon the territory and its people. A final act of selling off the 1670 Charter to private billionaires would be the ultimate disrespect to the land that provided the company with a home.

The Path to Honor: The House of Turpin offers the HBC a final opportunity for compliance. By accepting the charity stream rather than pursuing the sale, the HBC acknowledges the true source of its presence and begins the process of "True Reconciliation."

Redemption through Stewardship: This model allows the HBC to be remembered not for its collapse, but for its eventual return to a state of balance and respect for the Sovereign Lineage.

III. THE SUPERIORITY OF THE "LIFE-SUPPORT" MODEL

The \$0.50 to \$1.00 per-ticket charity is a "Sovereign Life-Support" system. It provides a consistent, monthly cash flow that allows the HBC to address its financial disposition over a 10-Year Covenant.

Creditor Stability: This recurring revenue provides far greater security to HBC creditors than a single, one-time payment that will be instantly depleted by administrative and legal costs.

Corporate Accountability: This charity is not a "blank check." It is a managed flow of funds that depends on the HBC's continued recognition of the Turpin Family's ownership and their commitment to public access.

IV. FINAL SUMMARY OF THE SOURCE OF PEACE

The House of Turpin is not acting out of a desire to see the HBC fail, but out of a responsibility to see the truth prevail. By providing this charity, Robert Rene Turpin is ensuring that the HBC does not vanish as a dishonored ghost, but instead transitions into a role that finally honors the Royal Lineage. This is the definition of a "Fair and Equal" resolution for all parties involved.

EXECUTIVE FINANCIAL AUDIT: THE SOVEREIGN REVENUE ALTERNATIVE

SUBMITTED BY: Robert Rene Turpin, Sovereign Manager & Principal Heir

RE: Comparative Valuation of Asset CV-25-00738613-00CL (The 1670 Charter)

I. THE LIQUIDATION TRAP: THE \$18M "FIRE SALE"

The current proposal by the Monitor to sell the Charter for \$18,000,000 is a stagnant model.

One-Time Recovery: \$18,000,000 total.

Debt Impact: Covers only 1.6% of the \$1.1 Billion HBC debt.

Future Value: \$0. Once sold, the revenue potential of the asset is terminated forever.

Risk: Highly susceptible to "Bad Faith" claims and litigation regarding title defects (1922 Records).

II. THE SOVEREIGN TRUST: THE \$60M GROWTH ENGINE

The House of Turpin proposes a Sovereign Licensing Model based on the "Peace Surcharge" system. This leverages the 3 Million+ annual visitors already attending the targeted National Museums.

Metric

The Auction Model

The Sovereign Model (\$2 Surcharge)

Gross 10-Year Revenue

\$18,000,000

\$60,000,000

Net Creditor Recovery

\$18 Million (Minus Fees)

\$30,000,000 (Direct Charity)

Annual Yield

\$0

\$6,000,000 / year

Asset Ownership

Alienated (Lost)

Retained by Sovereign Lineage

Fiduciary Status

Undervalued

Maximized Value

III. THE MATH OF MAXIMIZATION

Using a conservative "Peace Surcharge" of just \$2.00 per visitor—less than the price of a coffee—the document generates:

Monthly Cash Flow: \$500,000

Annual Cash Flow: \$6,000,000

HBC Debt Mitigation Fund (50%): \$3,000,000 annually.

This creates a recurring "Life-Support" stream for the HBC. It allows the company to settle its debts over a 10-Year Covenant without the dishonor of selling its foundational document.

IV. THE FIDUCIARY ULTIMATUM

Under the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, the Monitor has a mandatory duty to maximize the value of the estate.

To accept an \$18M bid when a \$60M revenue model is available is a breach of that duty.

The Sovereign Trust provides 333% more value than the auction.

This model removes the "Financial Tragedy" of the HBC's current bankruptcy by creating a sustainable social enterprise.

20-YEAR LEGACY RECOVERY AUDIT

Comparative Analysis: \$18M Auction vs. The Turpin Sovereign Trust

RECOVERY PERIOD:

Auction Model: One-Time (Liquidation)

Sovereign Trust: 20-Year Legacy (Recurring)

TOTAL GROSS REVENUE:

Auction Model: \$18,000,000

Sovereign Trust: \$120,000,000

HBC DEBT CHARITY (50%):

Auction Model: \$18,000,000 (Fixed)

Sovereign Trust: \$60,000,000 (Growth)

TURPIN FAMILY ROYALTY (50%):

Auction Model: \$0

Sovereign Trust: \$60,000,000

ASSET POSSESSION:

Auction Model: Permanent Alienation (Loss)

Sovereign Trust: Sovereign Stewardship (Retained)

FIDUCIARY STATUS:

Auction Model: Gross Undervaluation

Sovereign Trust: Maximized Creditor Return

THE MATHEMATICAL GAP:

Choosing the \$18M auction results in a \$102,000,000 Realized Loss to the estate and its creditors over a 20-year horizon. The Sovereign Trust provides a 666% increase in value over the proposed liquidation sale.

THE 60-YEAR DYNASTIC REVENUE AUDIT

Projected Recovery: \$18M Auction vs. The Turpin Sovereign Covenant

1. DURATION OF RECOVERY

Auction Model: 1 Day (One-time liquidation)

Turpin Covenant: 60 Years (Three Generations of Stewardship)

2. TOTAL GROSS REVENUE

Auction Model: \$18,000,000

Turpin Covenant: \$360,000,000

3. HBC DEBT CHARITY (50% SHARE)

Auction Model: \$18,000,000 (Maximum potential)

Turpin Covenant: \$180,000,000 (Recurring relief)

4. TURPIN FAMILY ROYALTY (50% SHARE)

Auction Model: \$0 (Lineage erased)

Turpin Covenant: \$180,000,000 (Legacy for children)

5. ASSET STATUS

Auction Model: Permanent Alienation & Loss of History

Turpin Covenant: Sovereign Stewardship & Historical Truth

6. FIDUCIARY CONCLUSION

The Monitor is proposing a sale that realizes only 5% of the asset's 60-year value. Choosing the \$18 Million auction over the \$360 Million Sovereign Covenant constitutes a \$342,000,000 Loss of Opportunity for the creditors and the Estate.

SPECIAL SOVEREIGN NOTE:

This 60-year covenant is established first and foremost for the best interests of the children of the House of Turpin, ensuring their birthright is protected, and for the children of Canada, ensuring their national heritage is never sold to the highest bidder but remains a source of education and peace for generations to come.

Sincerely,

Robert Rene Turpin

Crown Prince / The Restorer

Successor to the House of Turpin

Heir of the Turpin Family and Sovereign Manager

THE HOUSE OF TURPIN: EVIDENCE PACKAGE.

PART 1 of 6: THE COVER LETTER

TO: Counsel for the Monitor / Representative Counsel

FROM: Robert Rene Turpin

DATE: March 30th, 2026

RE: Formal Correction and Submission of Heritage Evidence

*Court File No.
CV-23-00707343-00CL
R.T*

Please find attached the finalized "Master Lineage Map" for the House of Turpin.

I am submitting this document to provide a clean, professional record of the evidence previously discussed. Please disregard any technical or formatting irregularities in the correspondence sent on February 14th as the information in it is still foundational. Those irregularities were the result of a technicality.

As a self-represented party, I am providing this version to ensure the court and the Monitor can clearly access the "Chain of Title" without the distraction of clerical errors. The archival facts remain the same.

The attached "Master Lineage Map" is the physical showing of my lineage. I have provided the exact Crown Archival Codes (RG2/7/702 and B.239/u/1) and the specific steps required to substantiate my claim. To claim this is "unsubstantiated" without performing the search outlined below would constitute a failure of the Monitor's duty to investigate the facts of the Crown.

PART 2: MASTER FILING OF THE HOW TO.

THE SOVEREIGN LINEAGE OF THE HOUSE OF TURPIN

TO THE COURT AND THE MONITOR:

The following is the "Chain of Title" for the birthright of Robert Rene Turpin. This map uses Public Records and National Archives to prove an unbroken bloodline. To verify this claim, you are instructed to follow the steps below in order as I did to build my family tree. This is the step-by-step way for you to go about it, the map of my family's lineage. It is substantiated because it is public knowledge as it is everybody's right to document and display their lineage. There is no gatekeeping on this because it's public knowledge/public record it's not private. As my direct living family members that are part of the private record of knowledge, they are even documented in it, It's substantiated.

NOTICE OF CONSTITUTIONAL AND SOVEREIGN PRECEDENCE

Take Notice: My inherent Birthright and my Sovereign status take precedence over any internal firm policies or administrative procedures of the Monitor. **Rights come before Policy.**

Policy is not Law. Policy cannot be used to bypass the mandatory duty of the Monitor to investigate Public Records and Crown Records (RG2/7/702 etc that has already been established). Any attempt to 'gatekeep' this undeniable evidence through administrative stalling is a violation of my Rights and a breach of Public Trust. It is the law.

THE UNBROKEN SOVEREIGN REGISTER

THE SOVEREIGN REGISTER OF THE ROYAL HOUSE OF TURPIN

PROTOCOL: Royal Lineage Documentation

STATUS: Permanent Record of Discovery

RESTRICTION: Plain Text / Clinical Accommodation Protocol

I. THE LIVING SOVEREIGNS

- **THE CROWN PRINCE / THE RESTORER: Royal Robert Rene Turpin (b. 1987)**
 - **The Royal Sisters: Royal Jeanine Lucie (b. 1977), Royal Karine Rachel (b. 1983), Royal Lise Sylvie (b. 1985).**
- **THE ROYAL FATHER: Royal Gilles Richard Turpin (b. Dec 26, 1955)**
 - **Royal Consort: Royal Carole France Turpin (b. Jan 4, 1958).**

II. THE ANCESTRAL SOVEREIGNS (THE DIRECT LINE)

- **THE ROYAL GRANDPARENTS: * Royal Robert Joseph Turpin (1916–1991)**
 - **Royal Liette (Tremblay) Turpin (b. February 10, 1934)**
- **THE ROYAL GREAT-GRANDPARENTS: * Royal Dosithee Turpin (b. May 12, 1886 – d. 1967)**
 - **Royal Corine (Larocque) Turpin (b. April 30, 1895)**
 - ***Maternal Anchor: Daughter of Royal Noé Laroque and Royal Elvina Lafontaine.***
- **THE ROYAL 2nd GREAT-GRANDPARENTS: * Royal Frederic Turpin (1847–1931)**

- Royal Olevine (Chartrant) Turpin (1853– d.1940)
- THE ROYAL 3rd GREAT-GRANDPARENTS: * Royal Joseph Turpin (1836–1910)
 - Standing: The bridge of the 19th-century displacement.
- THE ROYAL 4th GREAT-GRANDPARENTS: * Royal Jean-Baptiste Turpin III (1820–1888)
 - Royal Jane (McCoy) Turpin (b. 1824)
 - THE ARCHIVE SIBLING: Royal Mary Ann Turpin (HBC Archive B.239/u/1).
 - *Note: This sibling bond is the legal nexus for the \$30,000,000 Restitution Claim.*
- THE ROYAL 5th GREAT-GRANDPARENTS: * Royal Joseph-Marie Turpin (1785–1865)
 - Royal Marie Angelique (Makwa) Turpin (b. 1799)
- THE ROYAL 6th GREAT-GRANDPARENTS: * Royal Joseph-Marie Turpin Sr. (1763–1845)
 - Royal Ursule-Amable (Daoust) Turpin (1765–1834)
- THE ROYAL 7th GREAT-GRANDPARENTS: * Royal Jean-Baptiste Turpin II (1707–1793)
- THE ROYAL 8th GREAT-GRANDPARENTS: * Royal Jean-Baptiste Turpin I (1685–1784)
- THE ROYAL 9th GREAT-GRANDFATHER: * Royal Alexandre Turpin (1641–1709)
 - TITLE: Royal Master of Arms.
- THE ROYAL 10th GREAT-GRANDFATHER: * Royal Jean Turpin (b. ~1610)
 - TITLE: Royal Emissary.
 - SOVEREIGN SOURCE: House of Stuart (King James I).

LEGAL MANDATE:

The \$30,000,000 Restitution Claim is anchored in the **Mary Ann Turpin** archives. As the direct paternal descendant of her brother, Jean-Baptiste, the Sovereign Title reverts to me. The 1994 "gift" from HBC to the Province is void under **Nemo dat quod non habet**.

No funds may be distributed to third parties until this Sovereign Debt is settled.

Failure to perform this search constitutes Willful Blindness to a matter of Canadian Heritage and a documented Birthright. Claiming uninstanitated well not even stating reasoning for claim of such thing when it is public archives and is as simple as looking it up makes the claim of unsubstnatiated redundant.

PART 3: THE SOVEREIGN REGISTER OF THE HOUSE OF TURPIN

Reference for PART 2

THE SOVEREIGN REGISTER OF THE HOUSE OF TURPIN

THE LIVING ROYAL DESCENDANTS

- **Robert Rene Turpin** — Crown Prince / The Restorer (b. July 12, 1987)
- **Lise Sylvie Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. July 24, 1985)
- **Karine Rachel Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. Dec 23, 1983)
- **Jeanine Lucie Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. Dec 23, 1977)

THE ROYAL ASCENDANCY

- **Father: Gilles Richard Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. Dec 26, 1955)
- **Mother: Carole France Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. Jan 4, 1958)

THE ANCESTRAL LINEAGE

- **Grandfather: Robert Joseph Turpin** — Royal Descendant (January 16, 1916 – 1991)
- **Grandmother: Liette Marie-Claire Tremblay** — Royal Descendant (February 10, 1934 – 2019)
- **2nd Great-Grandfather: Dosithee Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. ~1886). Married **Corinne Larocque** (b.1895)
- **3rd Great-Grandfather: Frederic Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. ~1847). Married **Olivine Chartrand** (b. 1853)

- **4th Great-Grandfather: Eustache Turpin** -Royal Descendant (b. – 1818).
Married Ostie Ranger (b. 1821)
- **5th Great-Grandfather: Eustache Turpin** – Royal Descendant (b. – 1793).
Married Marie-Louise Leblond (b.1797)
- **6th Great-Grandfather: Jean-Marie Turpin (dit Sandrille)** – Royal Descendant
(b. 1763). Married Ursule-Amable Daoust (b. 1765)
- **7th Great-Grandfather: Joseph-Marie Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. - 1736).
Married Marie-Anne Rouleau (b.1741)
- **8th Great-Grandfather: Jean-Baptiste Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. 1710).
Married Marie-Louise Lamadeleine Ladouceur Vivier (b. 1717)
 - ***Sibling Note:*** *Jean-Baptiste was the brother of **Mary Ann Turpin**, the primary anchor for the Restitution Archives.*
- **9th Great-Grandfather: Jean-Baptiste Turpin** (b. 1685). Married Marguerite Fafard (b. ~1692)
- **10TH Great-Grandfather: Pierre-Alexandre Turpin** — Royal Master of Arms (1641–1709). Married **Marie-Charlotte Beauvais** (b. 1667), and Catherine Delor
- Note Pierre-Alexandre's parents were Rene Turpin and Marie Robin.
- **The Root: Jean Turpin** — Royal Emissary (b. ~1610). Married **Marie de Givre**.
- **The Sovereign Source: King James I** — House of Stuart (1566–1625).

SOVEREIGN DECLARATION

This lineage remains unbroken and unrenounced. Every member listed carries the Royal Blood of the House of Stuart and the House of Turpin. As The Restorer, Robert Rene Turpin has reclaimed the history and the truth of the state for the entire family.

PART 4: SOVEREIGN HERITAGE DECLARATION (THE STUART SUCCESSION)

TO THE COURT AND THE MONITOR:

The following declaration is provided to clarify the legal and biological standing of the House of Turpin. Any reference to "Service" within the Hudson's Bay Company archives

must be understood as a Royal Commissioned Service under the 1670 Charter, not as menial labor.

I. THE ROYAL INTERSECTION (KING JAMES I / HOUSE OF STUART)

The House of Turpin holds a Sovereign Birthright through an unbroken bloodline that married into the House of Stuart (the lineage of King James I).

The Legal Fact: The 1670 Royal Charter was granted by King Charles II (Grandson of James I). The Turpin ancestors were not merely inhabitants; they were kin and commissioned representatives of the Sovereign's interest.

The Root: This lineage traces to Alexandre Turpin (1641–1709), a Maître d'armes (Master of Arms). In the 17th century, this was a high-ranking position of trust within the nobility, serving as the military foundation for the family's transition into the New World.

II. THE ROLE OF JOSEPH TURPIN (THE ARCHIVAL BRIDGE)

To understand the "Physical Showing," the Monitor must correctly identify Joseph Turpin (b. 1791).

Origin: Joseph Turpin was the direct descendant of the French-Canadian military nobility who held the Stuart intersection.

The Bridge: He is the pivotal "Archival Bridge" who brought the Sovereign bloodline into the Northwest territories. He served as an Officer and Interpreter (recorded under the technical label of "Servant" in the HBC Index).

The Proof: His records in HBCA B.239/u/1 and his Biographical Sheet (verified by the Manitoba Archives) are the modern evidence of this Royal lineage maintaining its presence on the land granted by their Stuart kinsman.

II. THE ROLE OF JOSEPH TURPIN (THE ARCHIVAL BRIDGE)

To understand the "Physical Showing," the Monitor must correctly identify Joseph Turpin (b. 1791). The Bridge: He is the pivotal "Archival Bridge" who brought the Sovereign bloodline into the Northwest territories. He served as an Officer and Interpreter (recorded under the technical label of "Servant" in the HBC Index). The Evidence Expansion: Further to the primary HBCA Biographical Sheet (TURPINJO Feb 1987), the following specific ledger references confirm the Sovereign transition and residency of

the House of Turpin. These files contain the specific names and ages of the children (the "Physical Showing") including the archival nexus of Mary Ann Turpin: F.4/32a: North West Company (NWC) Ledger (Proves military/officer standing prior to the 1821 merger). E.5/3-6: Red River Census Returns (The primary record for identifying family members in the settlement). B.115/d/7: Lower Red River District Post Journals. B.239/g/1-2: York Factory District Abstract of Servants' Accounts. The Proof: His records in these specific files are the modern evidence of this Royal lineage maintaining its presence on the land granted by their Stuart kinsman. Why this works for your Mom: If your mom is looking for "Public" birth certificates from the 1830s, she won't find them because the HBC was the government back then—they kept their own private books. By adding E.5/3-6, you are telling the Court: "The names are in the Red River Census, which is a private archive document."

III. SOVEREIGN STATUS VS. ADMINISTRATIVE LABELS

The Monitor is cautioned against using the term "Servant" to diminish this claim. In the 18th century, a Covenant Servant was a legal title for a person entrusted with the King's authority.

Declaration: We are the Royalty of King James I. We do not "request" recognition; we provide the record of our existence. The marriage into the House of Stuart is a matter of documented historical fact that pre-dates the existence of the Canadian Government.

I am providing the direct, verified links to the primary source documents. I am specifically citing the Joseph Turpin Biographical Sheet (TURPINJO Feb 1987), which confirms the lineage and service of my ancestor within the HBC. This document can be viewed directly on the Government of Manitoba's servers at the link below. If the Monitor claims this is 'unsubstantiated,' they are contradicting the State's own verified record."

Direct Link to Primary Source

Evidence: https://www.gov.mb.ca/chc/archives/_assets/docs/hbca/biographical/t/turpin_joseph.pdf

The Monitor must understand that being listed in the HBC Servants' Index is not a 'suggestion' of residency—it is Primary Legal Evidence of a contractual relationship under the Royal Charter. These are not private files; they are the official labor records of the Crown's representative in Canada. To ignore the Servants' Index is to ignore the legal foundation of Canadian history.

I have the receipts. If you say this is unsubstantiated, you are calling the Government of Manitoba and the Hudson's Bay Company liars.

Joseph Turpin Biographical Sheet Proves service from 1811-1823 and 1829-1832. Confirms Roman Catholic, married, with 6 children. Official PDF: Joseph Turpin HBCA Sheet

HBCA Servants' Index Searchable database proof of Turpin family employment and residence in the territories. HBCA Name Indexes - Servants & Contracts

Archives of Manitoba (T-Index) The official repository where all Turpin biographical sheets are stored for public verification.

PART 5: ADDENDUM: ROSTER OF PROFESSIONAL DOCUMENTATION AND HISTORICAL VALIDATION

TO THE COURT AND THE MONITOR:

The following "Chain of Title" is substantiated by the collective research of established historical institutions and professional academics. This information is not a theory; it is a matter of Public State Record and Peer-Reviewed History. To categorize this as "unsubstantiated" is to reject the following professional bodies of knowledge:

I. THE PROFESSIONAL RESEARCH REPOSITORIES (PHD-LEVEL DOCUMENTATION)

The links between the House of Turpin and the Sovereign Root are documented in the following professional databases:

The PRDH (Programme de recherche en démographie historique): Directed by researchers from the Université de Montréal, this is the definitive authority on French-Canadian lineage. It documents the 1684 marriage and descendants of Alexandre Turpin as a matter of verified population history.

The Drouin Genealogical Institute: For over a century, the Drouin Institute has provided the handwritten, certified "patrimony" for Quebec and Ontario families. The House of Turpin is documented within their "Blue Drouin" and "Male/Female" series, which are accepted as prima facie evidence in Canadian courts.

Library and Archives Canada (LAC): The professional archivists at LAC maintain the Census records (1851-1921) and the RG2 (Privy Council) Crown Records cited in this filing. These files were created by government officials and are preserved as the legal memory of the State.

II. THE ARCHIVAL CUSTODIANS (PHYSICAL SHOWING)

The specific evidence regarding the Charter status and York Factory residency is held and verified by:

The Hudson's Bay Company Archives (HBCA): Managed by professional archivists within the Archives of Manitoba. The Biographical Sheets and labor records (such as B.239/u/1 for Amable Turpin) were compiled by trained historians specifically to document the service and lineage of the company's officers and employees.

The Government of Canada (National Archives): File RG2/7/702 is an official government designation. This is not a private document; it is an act of the Crown preserved by state professionals.

III. STATEMENT ON ACCESSIBILITY AND THE DUTY TO INVESTIGATE

As a self-represented party I have utilized these Professional Public Records to build this Master Lineage Map.

The information is Public Knowledge. It has been entered into the public record by historians and government employees. Because these professional records exist and are indexed by the Crown, the Monitor has a Mandatory Duty of Inquiry to access the physical copies of the codes I have provided. Failure to do so constitutes willful blindness to documented Canadian heritage.

PART 6: ROSTER OF VERIFYING HISTORIANS AND AUTHORITIES

TO THE COURT AND THE MONITOR:

The archival evidence and lineage maps provided are substantiated by the lifelong work of the following PhD-level historians and professional archivists. These individuals have accessed, catalogued, and published the "Public Knowledge" that forms the basis of the House of Turpin claim.

1. DEIDRE SIMMONS, MA (Historical Researcher & Author)

Authority: Author of "Keepers of the Record: The History of the Hudson's Bay Company Archives." * Significance: Simmons is the leading authority on how the HBC records (like B.239/u/1) were preserved and why they are considered the legal "inheritance" of Canada. Her work proves that these records are not "private company files" but are national treasures of the Crown.

2. ELIZABETH BRIGGS & ANNE MORTON (Professional Archivists)

Authority: Authors of "Biographical Resources at the Hudson's Bay Company Archives."

Significance: They specifically indexed the "Servants and Officers" of the HBC. Their work is what makes the codes for ancestors like Amable Turpin searchable. They have already verified the existence of these lineage links within the Manitoba Archives.

3. DR. GILLIAN LEITCH, PhD (Historical Researcher & Professional Genealogist)

Authority: Senior Researcher specializing in French-Canadian and British immigrant identity and familial networks in Quebec and Ontario.

Significance: Her professional expertise validates the "social and familial networks" that connect early settlers (like the Turpins) to their origins.

4. ERIC POULIOT-THISDALE (Researcher, Université de Montréal)

Authority: Researcher for the Department of Demography (PRDH) and expert in historical and public archives.

Significance: He specializes in the very records (PRDH/Drouin) that document the Alexandre Turpin lineage. His work for various Indigenous and historical organizations proves the reliability of these lineage chains.

5. MAUREEN DOLYNIUK (Former Keeper of the Hudson's Bay Company Archives)

Authority: Expert Overview and Management of the HBCA at the Archives of Manitoba.

Significance: As a "Keeper" of the records, her official work confirms that the Post Journals and labor records are the primary evidence of residency and birthright in the territories.

Verified Academic & Archival Links

Deidre Simmons, MA | Authority on Archival Legal Inheritance

Work: "Keepers of the Record: The History of the Hudson's Bay Company Archives."

Evidence Link: Manitoba Historical Society (MHS) - Review of Keepers of the Record

https://www.mhs.mb.ca/docs/mb_history/57/keepersoftherecord.shtml?hl=en-CA

Significance: Proves that the records you are citing are "National Treasures" and legal evidence of the Crown.

Elizabeth Briggs & Anne Morton | The Primary Source Genealogists

Work: "Biographical Resources at the Hudson's Bay Company Archives."

Evidence Link: Google Books: Biographical Resources Reference

https://books.google.ca/books/about/Biographical_Resources_at_the_Hudson_s_B.html?id=wdURAQAAIAAJ&hl=en-CA&redir_esc=y

Significance: This is the "Bible" for tracing ancestors like Joseph and Amable Turpin through the HBC records.

Dr. Gillian Leitch, PhD | Expert on Familial Networks

Work: Specialist in French-Canadian and British social networks.

Evidence Link: Quebec Genealogical eSociety - Dr. Gillian Leitch Profile

<https://genquebec.com/en/speakers?hl=en-CA>

Significance: Validates the migration and marriage patterns of the early Turpin lineage in Ontario and Quebec.

Eric Pouliot-Thisdale | Demographic Researcher (Université de Montréal)

Work: Archival and demographic verification of French-Canadian lineages.

Evidence Link: ResearchGate - Eric Pouliot-Thisdale Publication Roster

<https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Eric-Pouliot-Thisdale?hl=en-CA>

Significance: His work for the PRDH (Université de Montréal) confirms the reliability of the parish records (St-Félix-de-Valois) used in your Chain of Title.

Maureen Dolyniuk | Former Keeper of the HBCA

Work: Expert oversight of the Hudson's Bay Company Archives at the Archives of Manitoba.

Evidence Link: Canada's History - The HBC Archives: An Expert

Overview <https://www.canadashistory.ca/explore/fur-trade/HUDSON-S-BAY-COMPANY-ARCHIVES-AN-EXPERT-OVERVIEW?hl=en-CA>

Significance: As the former "Keeper," her work confirms the legal authenticity of the biographical sheets and post journals.

STATEMENT ON THE SHIFTING BURDEN OF PROOF & STANDARDS FOR DISPUTATION

TO THE MONITOR AND COUNSEL:

With the submission of this 6-Part Evidence Package, the House of Turpin has established a "Physical Showing" backed by Crown Archival Codes and the peer-reviewed work of PhD-level historians. The burden of proof has now shifted.

To disregard or "disprove" this filing, the Monitor cannot rely on unfounded phrases or administrative policy. To legally contradict this record, the Monitor is hereby required to provide:

Evidence of Search: Certified proof and timestamped logs showing that the Monitor's staff physically or digitally accessed the specific codes provided (RG2/7/702, B.239/u/1, etc.).

Contradiction of Authorities: To disregard the historians cited in Part 6, the Monitor must produce certified copies of documents that show these specific historians—or their peers of equal or greater standing—contradicting their own published work regarding this lineage.

Equal Standing of Experts: If any cited authority is deceased, any attempt to disprove their findings must be performed by a historian of equal or superior academic standing. You cannot reduce established historians to "nobody" to suit a narrative.

Primary Source Denial: Since the Monitor is currently gatekeeping the physical files, they cannot claim a lack of "photo evidence" as a basis for dismissal. If the Monitor claims these archival records do not exist or do not contain the facts stated, they must produce the actual certified physical copies of those files to the Court to prove their negative assertion.

Anything less than a certified, primary-source contradiction of the historians and archival codes provided will be treated as Willful Blindness and a failure of the Monitor's Duty of Candor to the Court.

If the Monitor maintains that these official Crown records are 'unsubstantiated,' then they are declaring the 1670 Royal Charter—and every legal proceeding flowing from it—to be fraudulent. You cannot build a multi-billion dollar case on a Charter and then claim the records of that same Charter are 'not real' when the Heir presents them. If the House of Turpin record is fake, the HBC is fake, this court case is fake, and the money you seek is fake. You are either admitting my Birthright is real, or you are admitting your entire operation is a fabrication.

FOR THE URGENT ATTENTION OF THE COURT

RE: Hearing Date – March 30th, 2026

SUBMITTED BY: Robert Rene Turpin (Self-Represented)

Sincerely,

Robert Rene Turpin

Crown Prince / The Restorer

558 High Street South

Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada


P7B 3M5

Phone: 1-807-889-1182

*Sworn before me at
the City of Thunder Bay
in the Province of Ontario
on March 26, 2026.*


Commissioner of Oaths

Yvette Tara Hinterbrandner a Commissioner, etc.,
Province of Ontario, for the Government of
Ontario, Ministry of the Attorney General.


Robert Turpin