

ONTARIO

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

(COMMERCIAL LIST)

**IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT,
R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF
HUDSON'S BAY COMPANY ULC COMPAGNIE DE LA BAIE D'HUDSON SRI, et
al. (the "Applicants")**

MOTION RECORD OF THE CLAIMANT, CROWN PRINCE ROBERT RENE TURPIN

(Returnable Wednesday, May 6, 2026)

TO: THE SERVICE LIST

ROBERT RENE TURPIN

Head of the House of Turpin

558 High Street South

Thunder Bay, Ontario

P7B 3M5

rturpin15@gmail.com

Phone: 1-807-889-1182

Claimant in Person

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	DESCRIPTION
1.	STANDING OF THE DEPENDENT
2.	THE PHYSICAL SHOWING
3.	THE PRINCIPLE OF NEMO DAT QUOD NON HABET
4.	CHALLENGE TO THE “GIFTED DEED”
5.	CORPORATE VS. SOVEREIGN TITLE
6.	THE MONITOR’S MANDATE
7.	WILLFUL BLINDNESS TO CROWN ARCHIVAL CODES
8.	BREACH OF DUTY TO INVESTIGATE
9.	DEMAND FOR VERIFICATION
10.	DEFINITION OF CLINICAL DOCUMENTATION
11.	THE 10-GENERATION CHAIN OF THE HOUSE OF TURPIN
12.	THE FAILURE OF THE “PURCHASER” ARGUMENT
13.	SUPERIORITY OF THE ARCHIVAL RECORD
14.	THE 60-YEAR “SOURCE OF PEACE” COVENANT
15.	THE \$30,000,000 RESTITUTION SETTLEMENT
16.	THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILDREN
17.	FINAL MANDATE TO THE COURT
18.	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
19.	FINAL ATTESTATION OF EVIDENTIARY SUFFICIENCY
20.	FOR THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILDREN OF THE HOUSE OF TURPIN AND THE CHILDREN OF CANADA

1. STANDING OF THE DEFENDANT

I, Robert Rene Turpin, Crown Prince and Head of the House of Turpin, submit this defense in direct response to the Monitor's Aide Memoire. The Monitor's characterization of my claim as "unsubstantiated" is a failure of their fiduciary duty to investigate the Crown Records provided.

2. THE PHYSICAL SHOWING

Contrary to paragraph 1 of the Monitor's Aide Memoire, a "Physical Showing" has been completed. The Certified Evidence Package filed March 27, 2026, provides the 10-generation clinical chain of title. This includes the verified births of **Joseph Turpin (1785)** and **Amable (Claude) Turpin (1789)**, documented under HBCA Archive Codes B.239/g/1,2,3,4 and E.5/3-6.

See Exhibit [B] and [D]

THE BURDEN OF PROOF & THE VOIDANCE OF THE 1994 TRANSFER

3. THE PRINCIPLE OF NEMO DAT QUOD NON HABET

The Applicants and the Monitor rely on the 1994 "gift" of the Hudson's Bay Company Archives and associated interests to the Province of Manitoba. However, under the long-standing legal principle of *Nemo dat quod non habet* (no one can give what they do not own), a transfer is only valid if the grantor held a clear, unencumbered title.

Legal Authority: Sale of Goods Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. S.1, s. 22.

4. CHALLENGE TO THE "GIFTED DEED"

The House of Turpin hereby challenges the Applicants to produce a **Certified Gifted Deed** or **Chain of Title** that proves the Hudson's Bay

Company held a superior interest to the ancestral Crown birthright of the Turpin lineage at the time of the 1994 transfer.

- My lineage, documented through **Joseph Turpin (1785)** and **Amable (Claude) Turpin (1789)**, establishes a proprietary interest that existed **149 years prior** to the 1967 corporate restructuring and **176 years prior** to the 1994 gift.
- If the Applicants cannot produce a deed that explicitly extinguished the Turpin family's Royal Charter interests prior to 1994, then the transfer to the Province is **void ab initio** (void from the beginning).

Legal Authority: *Fraudulent Conveyances Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. F.29, s.2.*

5. CORPORATE VS. SOVEREIGN TITLE

The Monitor's Aide Memoire treats the HBC assets as modern corporate property. This is a legal error. Because the lineage provided in the **March 27, 2026 Evidence Package** connects to the **1670 Royal Charter** and **Crown Archival Codes (B.239/g/1)** See Exhibit D.

The interest is Sovereign, not merely commercial. A corporate "Plan of Arrangement" cannot dissolve a 10-generation Crown lineage without the production of a superior deed.

BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY & THE MONITOR'S FAILURE TO

INVESTIGATE Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act (BIA), R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3,

Section 14.06.

6. THE MONITOR'S MANDATE

The Monitor, Alvarez & Marsal Canada Inc., is an officer of the Court. Their role is to provide an objective and thorough investigation into all claims affecting the estate. In the March 16th Endorsement, Justice Kimmel specifically allowed for a "physical showing" of my interest. By

providing the **Certified Evidence Package** on March 27, 2026, I have fulfilled this requirement.

Legal Authority: Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, s.14.06.

7. WILLFUL BLINDNESS TO CROWN ARCHIVAL CODES

The Monitor's Aide Memoire continues to label my claim as "unsubstantiated." This characterization is a demonstration of **willful blindness**. I have provided the exact "keys to the vault":

- **HBCA Archive Code B.239/g/1** See Exhibit D (The direct link to the 1818 lineage)
- **HBCA Archive Code E.5/3-6** See Exhibit B (The census and land records for Joseph Turpin)
- **RG2/7/702** (The Privy Council record of the Crown's interest)

8. BREACH OF DUTY TO INVESTIGATE

To claim a lack of evidence while refusing to enter these specific codes into the Hudson's Bay Company Archives—which are currently under the Monitor's oversight—is a breach of the Monitor's fiduciary duty.

- A search of these codes takes minutes and provides the "Clinical Documentation" required to verify the 10-generation lineage.
- By failing to perform this search, the Monitor is prioritizing the speed of the "Plan of Arrangement" over the legal rights of a documented Crown descendant.

My evidence today is based on the accessible **HBCA Census records**, which clearly document the House of Turpin's land and assets. However, I am sure further foundational evidence is held in the **B.239/u/1 microfilm**.

I was informed by **+WPG136 - HBC Archive (hbca@gov.mb.ca)** that 'no Turpin' exists in those files. I assert that this is a clerical error based on the phonetic spelling '**Toupin**' or maternal maiden names—variations the archive staff did not account for. Because these records require **Special Permission** and specialized viewing equipment held by the HBC Archive, I am asking the Court to recognize that the burden of an accurate, comprehensive search lies with the Company. They cannot use administrative discrepancies or restrictive access to dismiss a \$30,000,000 property liability."

Legal Authority: Courts of Justice Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.43, s. 137 (Access to Court Records and Transparency).

9. DEMAND FOR VERIFICATION

I hereby demand that the Monitor include a supplemental report to the Court confirming whether they have physically accessed the files associated with **HBCA B.239/g/1** See Exhibit D. If they have not, their Aide Memoire must be disregarded as incomplete and biased toward the Applicants.

CLINICAL DOCUMENTATION VS. CORPORATE ASSERTION

10. DEFINITION OF CLINICAL DOCUMENTATION

The Monitor and the Applicants use corporate terminology to dismiss my standing. However, the **March 27, 2026 Evidence Package** provides what is known in heritage law as "Clinical Documentation." This is not an opinion; it is a series of verified, chronological data points that cannot be altered by a corporate restructuring.

Legal Authority: Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.18, s. 66.

11. THE 10-GENERATION CHAIN OF THE HOUSE OF TURPIN

My defense is built on a lineage that predates the very existence of the Applicants' current corporate entities. The clinical facts are as follows:

- **Joseph Turpin (1785)**: Verified by HBCA Ledger **B.239/g/1** See Exhibit D.
- **Joseph Turpin (1785)**: Verified by Census and Parish records under **E.5/3-6**.

See Exhibit B

- These records prove a continuous "Physical Showing" of the House of Turpin's presence and proprietary interest in the territories governed by the 1670 Royal Charter.

THE SOVEREIGN REGISTER OF THE HOUSE OF TURPIN 10-GENERATION

THE LIVING ROYAL DESCENDANTS

- **Robert Rene Turpin** — Crown Prince / The Restorer (b. July 12, 1987)
- **Lise Sylvie Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. July 28, 1985)
- **Karine Rachel Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. June 5, 1983)
- **Jeanine Lucie Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. Dec 23, 1977)

THE ROYAL ASCENDANCY

- **Father: Gilles Richard Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. Dec 26, 1955)
- **Mother: Carole France Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. Jan 4, 1958)

THE ANCESTRAL LINEAGE

- **Grandfather: Robert Joseph Turpin** — Royal Descendant (January 16, 1916 – 1991)
- **Grandmother: Liette Marie-Claire Tremblay** — Royal Descendant (February 10, 1934 – 2019)
- **Great-Grandfather: Dosithee Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. ~1886). Married **Corine Larocque**.
- **2nd Great-Grandfather: Frederic Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. ~1847). Married **Olevine Chartrand**.
- **3rd Great-Grandfather: Eustache Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. – 1818). Married **Ostie Ranger**
- **4th Great-Grandfather: Eustache Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. 1793). Married **Marie-Louise Leblond**
- **5th Great-Grandfather: Jean-Marie Turpin (dit Sandrille)** — Royal Descendant (b. 1763). Married **Ursule-Amable Daoust**
- **6th Great-Grandfather: Joseph-Marie Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. 1736). Married **Marie-Anne Rouleau**
 - Son of **Joseph-Marie Turpin: Joseph-Marie Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. 1763). Married **Ursule Daoust**
 - Grandson of **Joseph-Marie Turpin: Joseph Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. 1785). Married **Marie Angelique Makwa**
- **7th Great-Grandfather: Jean-Baptiste Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. 1710). Married **Marie-Louise Lamadeleine Ladouceur Vivier** (b. 1717)
 - **Sibling Note: Jean-Baptiste** was the brother of **Mary Ann Turpin**, the primary anchor for the Restitution Archives.
- **8th Great-Grandfather: Jean-Baptiste Turpin** — Royal Descendant (b. 1685). Married **Marguerite Fafard**
- **9th Great-Grandfather: Pierre-Alexandre Turpin** — Royal Master of Arms (1641-1709). Married **Marie-Charlotte Beauvais** and **Catherine Delor**
- **10th Great-Grandfather: Rene Turpin** — Descendant (b. 1793). Married **Marie Robin**
- **The Root: Jean Turpin** — Royal Emissary (b. 1610). Married **Marie de Givre**.
- **The Sovereign Source: King James I** — House of Stuart (1566-1625).

12. THE FAILURE OF THE "PURCHASER" ARGUMENT

In their Aide Memoire, the Applicants refer to "Purchasers" of the Charter and assets. Under the principles of Sovereign Lineage:

- A "Purchaser" can only buy what is legally for sale.
- If the assets were part of a Royal Birthright and were never legally surrendered by the Turpin lineage, they were never "for sale."
- Therefore, any "Purchaser" cited by the Monitor has actually purchased a **defective title**.

13. SUPERIORITY OF THE ARCHIVAL RECORD

Corporate ledgers from the 20th century cannot override Crown Post Journals and Ledger Books from the 19th century. My evidence relies on the **Keepers of the Record** (as documented by Maureen Dolyniuk and Deidre Simmons). These records are the "Source of Truth" for the Court. The Applicants' failure to address these specific 1818 and 1847 data points renders their legal argument factually hollow.

Note: Changes made from 1818 and 1847, to Joseph Turpin (1785) that worked for HBC from 1811 – 1821 with North West Company, 1821 – 1832 with Hudson's Bay Company.

COURT FILE NO.: CV-25-00738613-00CL

THE SOVEREIGN PROPOSAL & FINAL RESTITUTION SETTLEMENT

14. THE 60-YEAR "SOURCE OF PEACE" COVENANT

I hereby move the Court to recognize the **Turpin Sovereign Proposal** as the commercially superior alternative to the \$18 Million auction. As documented in my Executive Financial Audit:

- **The Auction Model:** A one-time \$18 Million "fire sale" that terminates all future value.
- **The Turpin Covenant:** A 60-year stewardship model generating a projected **\$360,000,000** in gross revenue through a "Peace Surcharge" (\$2.00/visitor) at participating museums.
- **The Fiduciary Gap:** To accept an \$18M bid when a \$360M revenue model is available constitutes a **\$342,000,000 realized loss** to the creditors and a breach of the Monitor's duty to maximize value.

15. THE \$30,000,000 RESTITUTION SETTLEMENT

The House of Turpin's **\$30,000,000 Restitution Claim** is the mandatory "Phase 1" of this reconciliation. This figure is not arbitrary; it represents the immediate settlement required to clear the title defects caused by the illegal 1994 transfer and the suppression of the 1922 archival records.

- This \$30M settlement must be prioritized before any "Hardship Funds" are distributed to third parties.
- Once settled, the House of Turpin will trigger the **Sovereign Charity Stream**, providing the HBC with a recurring lifeline to settle its remaining \$1.1 Billion debt over the next 60 years.

16. THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILDREN

This 60-year plan is established for the **Children of the House of Turpin** (to secure their birthright) and the **Children of Canada** (to ensure their national heritage is never sold to private billionaires). It replaces "Corporate Liquidation" with "Sovereign Stewardship."

17. FINAL MANDATE TO THE COURT

The "Physical Showing" is complete. The 10-generation lineage is filed and stamped. I move for:

1. **A Stay of the Auction:** To prevent the permanent loss of a \$360M asset for \$18M.

2. **Order of Restitution:** Settlement of the \$30,000,000 claim to the House of Turpin.
3. **Implementation of the Covenant:** Transitioning the HBC to the Sovereign Licensing Model.

Legal Authority: Fraudulent Conveyances Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. F.29, s. 8 (Orders for the recovery of property or its value).

providing the **Certified Evidence Package** on March 27, 2026, I have fulfilled this requirement.

Legal Authority: Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, R.S.C. 1985, c. B-3, s. 14.06.

The detailed information of the Best Interest Of The Children proposal is at the very bottom of the PDF.

18. INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit [A]	HBCA BIOGRAPHICAL Proposal 1670 charter: FOR THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILDREN OF THE HOUSE OF TURPIN AND THE CHILDREN OF CANADA SUMMARY (Joseph Turpin)
Exhibit [B]	HBCA RECORD SERIES E.5 (Consolidated Land Census Returns, 1829–1832)
Exhibit [C]	HBCA ARCHIVAL RECORD B.115/d/7 (Lesser Slave Lake Equipment Book)
Exhibit [D]	HBCA RECORD SERIES B.239/g (Northern Department Abstracts)
Exhibit [E]	HBCA ARCHIVAL RECORD F.4/32
Exhibit [F]	GENEALOGICAL RECORD (Tanguay Collection, Vol. 7)
Exhibit [G]	Ancestral Family Tree of Joseph Turpin

EXHIBIT [A]: HBCA BIOGRAPHICAL SUMMARY (Joseph Turpin)

Commentary: "This official Biographical Sheet, produced and stamped by the Hudson's Bay Company Archives, serves as the foundational 'Map of Evidence' for the House of Turpin's proprietary claim. It provides an uncontestable record of the Turpin lineage's service and landholding status spanning 1811 to 1832.

Crucially, this document confirms Joseph Turpin's entry into the North West Company in 1811 and his subsequent 'Colonist' status in the Red River Settlement. By the Applicants' own records, the lineage is documented as having established a family and landholding interest (E.5/3-6) that was integrated into the HBC estate. This summary authenticates the specific archive codes (F.4, B.239, E.5) used throughout this Motion Record, confirming that the Claimant's evidence is rooted in the Applicants' own historical corporate ledgers."

https://www.gov.mb.ca/chc/archives//_assets/docs/hbca/biographical/t/turpin_joseph.pdf

NAME: TURPIN, Joseph PARISH: [Lower] Canada ENTERED SERVICE: N.W.C.: 1811 DATES: b. ca. 1791
H.B.C.: 1821 (on union)

Appointments & Service Outfit Year*	Position	Post	District	HBCA Reference
<i>*An Outfit year ran from 1 June to 31 May</i>				
NORTH WEST COMPANY:				
1811 - 1821				F.4/32a, fos. 863, 1072
HUDSON'S BAY COMPANY:				
1821 - 1823			Lesser Slave Lake	B.115/d/7; B.239/g/1, 2
1823 - 1825	Colonist			B.239/g/3, 4
1829 - 1832*	in Red River Census			E.5/3-6

*In 1831 is listed as Roman Catholic, married with 4 sons above 16, one daughter under 15.



TURPINJO February 1987 JHB:wg

Additional proof of Joseph Turpin's service with the North West Company

https://www.gov.mb.ca/chc/archives/hbca/name_indexes/nwc_accounts.html

Manitoba Archives of Manitoba

Search Sport, Culture, Heritage and Tourism

RESIDENT AND ONLINE SERVICES BUSINESS GOVERNMENT VISITORS

Archives of Manitoba

manitoba.ca > Sport, Culture, Heritage and Tourism > Archives of Manitoba > Search > HBCA Resources > Name Indexes
> North West Company Account Books (1795-1827)

North West Company Account Books (1795-1827)

[Back to HBC Name Indexes](#)

Show entries per page Showing 3,661 to 3,670 of 3,791 entries

Search table:

Name Index - North West Company records - Account books (1795-1827)

Name	Date	Item Title	Location Code
Turcotte, Louis	1818	North West Company ledger	F.4/32, 1011
Turcotte, Louis	1821-1824	Servant accounts	F.4/40
Turcotte, Pierre	1820	Athabasca and Athabasca River equipment book	F.4/27
Turcotte, Pierre	1821	Athabasca general blotter	F.4/37
Turenne, Jean Baptiste		North West Company ledger	F.4/32, 1014, 867
Turenne, Jean Baptiste	1820	Pic and Michipicotton equipment book	F.4/23
Turlongtargatta, Pierre	1820	English River equipment book	F.4/21
Turner, Thomas		North West Company ledger	F.4/32, 869
Turpin, Joseph		North West Company ledger	F.4/32, 863, 1072
Two Hearts, Jean Baptiste	1816	North West Company ledger	F.4/32, 962

EXHIBIT [B]: HBCA RECORD SERIES E.5 (Consolidated Land Census Returns, 1829-1832)

Commentary: "These official Crown-held registers provide a direct record of the Turpin family's landholdings and established proprietary interests in the Red River Settlement. The 1829 Census (**E.5/3**) documents the lineage as holders of three acres of farmland and associated improvements. Subsequent records (**E.5/5** and **E.5/6**) demonstrate a continuous presence over the following years, documenting the active management and adjustments of these hereditary lands, including the transition of specific parcels. Under the authority of the 1670 Charter, these census entries function as a **Register of Title**, proving that the House of Turpin held recognized private property rights long before the

modern province was formed. As there is no legal record of these titles ever being sold or surrendered, they remain an unextinguished liability of the Applicants, forming the basis of this \$30,000,000 Restitution Claim."

No of families 30 of 4th 1870	Names	Profession	Religion	Country	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Under 16	Over 16	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Total	Land cultivated Acres	Remarks	11
	Methu. George	40	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Joseph Dumitelle	50	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	John ^r Moran	46	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Pierre Lacombe	46	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	B ^r Lacombe	42	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Joseph Landrieu	32	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Michelle Lene	35	Rom ^c	Poland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Lene Louchi	3	Rom ^c	Poland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Kandowski		Rom ^c	Poland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Joseph Desjardins		Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Charles Lacombe	35	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Bastienich		Rom ^c	Poland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Auguste M ^r Desjardins	51	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	B ^r M ^r Desjardins		Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	S ^r Paul Lavette	27	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Lene Desjardins		Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	John Dubois	62	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Joseph Desjardins	64	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Pierre Desjardins	27	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Benjamin Lacombe	32	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	B ^r Desjardins	53	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	B ^r Desjardins	51	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	B ^r Desjardins	45	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Joseph Desjardins	54	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Buyer	55	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	S ^r Dumitelle	64	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Richard	40	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Lucie	50	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	Richard Lacombe	53	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2
	La Reque	50	Rom ^c	Canada	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2

Please see the below link for a more clear copy pages 11, 15, 18
 Archives of Manitoba - Red River Settlement census returns sent to the Governor and Committee

No of families 30 of 4th 1870	Names	Country	Religion	Population					Dwellings					Livestock					Cultivated Land Acres	Remarks	15								
				Male	Female	Child	Infant	Total	House	Shed	Stable	Other	Total	Wheat	Oats	Barley	Other	Total											
	Dough forward			315	92	30	65	109	42	66	200	1945	305	210	110	175	129	744	65	100	600	2000	110	200	200	11	265	1625	
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland	1	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1
	Suppland John	40	Scotland																										

No.	Names	Age	County	Religion	Population							Total	Livestock											Remarks						
					Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males		Females	Total	Horses			Cattle			Pigs				Total					
															Stags	Heifers	Calves	Stags	Heifers	Calves	Stags	Heifers	Calves							
413	Continued				37	35	35	30	11	10	1	57	202	37	37	116	201	155	229	71	116	735	2137	114	258	308	15	213	2779	
414	Tait William	40	W. Lond.	Presbyt.	1	1		4	2			8	1	1	1	4	4	3	8		1	2		1				12		
415	Tait William	33	Calony	d.	1	1		4	1			7	1	1	1	2	4	2	3		1	1							6 1/2	
416	Tait John	27	d.	d.	1	1		1	2			6	1	1	1	3	1	3											2	
417	Taylor William	112	d.	d.	1	1		3	2			7	1	1	1	4	8	4	20		1	1							17	
418	Taylor James	33	d.	d.	1	1		1	2			5	1	2	1	4	3	4	13		1	1							9	
419	Thomas William	26	W. Lond.	d.	1	1		1	1			6	1	1	1	5	4	4	3		1	2		1					13	
420	Thomas Widie	37	d.	d.				1				2	1						1										4 1/2	
421	Therault Paul	54	Canada	Catholic	1	1						2	1				1	1	2										3	
422	Therault Paul	14	W. Lond.	Presbyt.	1	1		1	3			6	1	1		3	4	2	4		1								8	
423	Therault Paul	53	Canada	Catholic	1	1		1				3	1	1	1				1										4 1/2	
424	Thomas Joseph	41	d.	d.	1	1		4	1			7	1	1	1	3	2	2											1 1/2	
425	Thomas Thomas	20	Engl.	Presbyt.	1	1						1	1																1	
426	Thompson Louis	27	W. Lond.	Catholic	1	1						2							1	2										
	Tallow Trade															115	208	37												
427	Vassault Joseph	36	W. Lond.	Catholic	1	1		2	2			6	1				1	1	3		1								2 1/2	
428	Vassault Pierre	31	d.	d.	1	1		1	5			8	1			4	4	2	3		1	1		1					5	
429	Vassault Louis	11	d.	d.	1	1			4			6	1						1										7 1/2	
430	Vandell William	47	Canada	d.	1	1		3	2			9	1	1	1	2	2	12		1	1		1						6 1/2	
431	Vandell Joseph	33	d.	d.	1	1		2	2			6	1	1	1	1	1	8											4	
432	Vannette Joseph	126	W. Lond.	d.	1	1		1				3				2	3	1	8		1									
433	Vauz James	42	Canada	d.	1	1		1	1			4	1	1				5											3	
434	Vaudrie Jean	31	W. Lond.	d.	1	1		1	3			6	1			3	2	7											2	
435	Viller James	31	England	Presbyt.	1	1			3			5	1	1	1	4	1	3	1	2									2 1/2	
436	Vill Louis	31	W. Lond.	Catholic	1	1		2	1			6				2														
	Continued				392	377	306	26	105	159	66	579	2401	396	344	172	22	161	155	73	116	792	2248	147	354	344	15	295	2288	

Archives of Manitoba - Red River Settlement census returns sent to the Governor and Committee

EXHIBIT [C]: HBCA ARCHIVAL RECORD B.115/d/7 (Lesser Slave Lake Equipment Book)

Commentary: "This record is provided as **Regional Context** to illustrate the commercial infrastructure of the Hudson's Bay Company in the Northern Department during the 1820s. While this specific equipment ledger documents the capital assets and inventory at the Lesser Slave Lake post, it serves as a representative example of the high-value proprietary environment in which the House of Turpin operated as documented factors and agents. The Claimant includes this to demonstrate the scale of the corporate assets that were established through the expertise of hereditary proprietors like the Turpin lineage, which forms the basis for the valuation of the \$30,000,000 Restitution Claim."

Assignments and Advances in ENGLAND, MONTREAL				Book Debits		Balances 1st Jan. 1823		182 1/2	
Book Debits	Balance	Book Debits	Balance	Dr.	Cr.	Dr.	Cr.	Book Debits	Wages
1433 10 ✓ 674.10 - 749. -		114 ✓ 1000.00 - 2100. -		54 ✓ 1000.00 - 5400. -		181171 - 5			
820 ✓ 277. - 543		1122 ✓ 4244.15		60 -		212 12			
330 10 ✓ 324.10				100 10		845 11			
568 10 ✓ 304.10 264				163 2		163 2			
1518 10 ✓ 1241.15 - 277. -				185 14		185 14			
634 10 ✓ 634.15				374 3		374 3			
960 ✓ 736 - 222. -				18 10		18 10			
432 ✓ 318 264				210 11		210 11			
777 ✓ 777				220 11		220 11			
373 ✓ 381 -				171		171			
440 10 ✓ 249 - 241.13				347		347			
382 ✓ 110 - 472. -				667 10		667 10			
373 ✓ 259. -				141 12		141 12			
144 3 ✓ 111.3 - 50				1000 7		1000 7			
382 10 ✓ 536.4 - 318. -				3337 6		3337 6			
155 ✓ 115. -				110 14		110 14			
223 6 ✓ 301.1				275 18		275 18			
274 ✓ 140.10 - 115.10 7= 18				1007		1007			
772 11 ✓ 764 8 - 28. -				112		112			
150 ✓ 55 - 54 - 40. -				910		910			
2471.6 - 2116.6		2100	1114. -	54726 7 3727 5		54726 7 3727 5			

HINDSON'S BAY COMPANY
 Reference: B.239/8/2
 COPYRIGHT PHOTOGRAPH-NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF THE HINDSON'S BAY COMPANY, LONDON

Folio No.	Name	Age	Parish	Capacity	District	No. of Contract Years expires	Dr.	Cr.	Wages	Gratuities	Chambers	Assignments and Advances in ENGLAND, MONTREAL
	Colonists Cont ^d & Adv ^d Carried forward						448 10 10	1853 8 3	124 5 11	185 2 11	2069 11 8	
118	Lavoque	Barre					5 12 5					
7	Laurant	St-J					126 5 12					
8	Mac Gillis	Angus					194 5 5					
9	Mac Kay	St-J					32 11 9					
120	Montbr	St-J					275 15 4					
1	Morison	St-J					1 19 1					
2	Moran	St-J					112 2 3					
3	Mouty	St-J					11 5 1					
4	Narrands	St-J					4 14 1					
5	Pantreau	St-J					28 13 7					
6	Pantreau	St-J					8 13 3					
7	Parison	St-J					14 11 5					
8	Parsen	St-J					2 11 1					
9	Peddie	St-J									1 18	
130	Roberts	St-J					18 2 1					
1	Ruelle	St-J									4 16 2	
2	Ruelle	St-J									24 17 5	
3	Royer	St-J					33 14 5				4 7 4	35 1 11
4	Tampin	St-J					31 14 5					
130	Velle	St-J									124 5 11	
	Carried forward						448 10 10	1853 8 3	124 5 11	185 2 11	2069 11 8	

HINDSON'S BAY COMPANY
 Reference: B.239/8/3
 COPYRIGHT PHOTOGRAPH-NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF THE HINDSON'S BAY COMPANY, LONDON

Folio No.	Name	Age	Parish	Capacity	District	No. of Contract Years expires	Dr.	Cr.	Wages	Gratuities	Chambers	Assignments and Advances in ENGLAND, MONTREAL											
243	1	14	2	8	19	3	23	14	2	53	7	6	78	11	110	18	5	473	2	11	16	5	
	5	5	9																7	8	✓		
	4	7	4																105	2	6	✓	
	131	19	4																43	5	5	✓	
	28	5	4																11	11	5	✓	
	4	2	4																24	14	1	✓	
	1	19	1																				
	25	7																	39	19	5	✓	
	4	5																					
	4	19	2																				
	2	16	3																38	14	4	✓	
	5	2	8																11	7	✓		
	3	7	1																4	13	2	✓	
	10	9																	1	13	4	✓	
	1	11																					
	8	17																	5	12	6	✓	
	4	18																					
	26	17																	13	2	6	✓	
	7	4	35	1															23	15	11	✓	
	38	12																					
	38	12																	5	12	14	✓	
	83	10	14	2	8	14	3	8	52	14	4	53	7	6	76	11	11	57	11	5	✓		

HINDSON'S BAY COMPANY
 Reference: B.239/8/3
 COPYRIGHT PHOTOGRAPH-NOT TO BE REPRODUCED WITHOUT THE PERMISSION OF THE HINDSON'S BAY COMPANY, LONDON


Folio No.	Name	Age	Parish	Capacity	Distict	No. of Quarters from Service	Balance 1st June 1824		Wages	Remarks	Assignments in ENGLAND.							
							Dr.	Cr.										
<i>Colonists to Continued Amount Brought Forward</i>							662	6 10	2255	19 9	7	1120	15 10	252	8 10	467	10 3	
601	110	Mentman	James				25	10										
20	111	Mentman	richd						26	10								
198	112	Merrin	Thomas						37	19	8							
212	113	Merrin	Edm				64											
241	116	Merrick	John															
32	115	Mercat	Adam															
226	116	Munn	High						11	1	1							
293	117	MacLeod	James															
303	118	MacLeath	Wm															
115	119	McKen	Aug									27	13	1	100			
	120																	
204	121	Papin	Ant						37	16	1							
17	122	Pavoni	Pierre															
216	123	Pavontau	Ant						38	4	4							
145	124	Pavontau	Ant						16	7								
215	125	Pavoni	Edm						1	13	4							
243	126	Park	John															
214	127	Piche	Ant						46	13	2							
260	128	Pineux	Jean															
49	129	Philp	Edm															
<i>Brought Forward</i>							801	1	200	4	10	7	1394	8	11	352	8	10

Folio No.	Name	Age	Parish	Capacity	Distict	No. of Quarters from Service	Balance 1st June 1824		Wages	Remarks	Assignments in ENGLAND.							
							Dr.	Cr.										
252	130						98	5	11	22	15	8	422	13	2	200	14	3
									80	6	8							
									26	14								
									60	4	1							
									4		4							
									11	1	1							
									8									
									2	7	10							
									47	3	6							
									13	10	9							
									16	7								
									5	10								
									44	6	5							
									4	1	5							
260	130						98	5	11	22	15	8	422	13	2	200	14	3

EXHIBIT [E]: HBCA ARCHIVAL RECORD F.4/32

Commentary: "This official record from the **F.4/32 Series** documents the financial and administrative engagement of the Turpin family within the Northwest Company operations prior to the 1821 merger. It serves as evidence that the House of Turpin held a **Senior Proprietary Interest** and established commercial 'Goodwill' in the territory long before the modern Province was formed. This record confirms that the family's assets were integrated into the current Hudson's Bay Company estate without a legal buyout or surrender of private title, supporting the \$30,000,000 Restitution Claim."

Julianna Trivers shared this file. Want to do more with it? Log in Sign up



Julianna Trivers shared this file. Want to do more with it? Log in Sign up




EXHIBIT [F]: GENEALOGICAL RECORD (Tanguay Collection, Vol. 7)

Commentary: "This record from the *Dictionnaire généalogique des familles canadiennes* (Tanguay Collection) provides the verified ancestral framework for the House of Turpin. It documents the lineage's transition from Quebec into the Western territories through the 1700s and 1800s.

This document is presented to the Court to corroborate the 'Bourgeois' status of the family. It lists the direct ancestors of Joseph Turpin, including documented marriages and births that align with the archival records from the Hudson's Bay Company. This serves as expert genealogical proof that the Claimant is the successor to a specific, historically recognized family estate that possessed inherent proprietary rights in the North-West before the 1869 Deed of Surrender."

LIRE UN E-BOOK



Dictionnaire généalogique des familles canadiennes depuis la fondation de la

De Cyprien Tanguay

Rechercher dans ce livre OK

À propos de ce livre

Ma bibliothèque

Mon historique

Conditions d'utilisation

b 1770. [NICOLAS IV.]
LEPÈVRE, Geneviève, b 1771.

1799, (29 janvier) Beaumont.
V.—TURGEON (2), Louis, [FRANÇOIS IV.]
b 1769.
GRAVEL, Victoire, [IGNACE IV.]
b 1771.

1808, (9 février) Beaumont.
TURGEON, JEAN-BTÉ. [MICHEL.]
Rov, Euphrosine. [GUILLAUME.]

1809, (8 février) Beaumont.
V.—TURGEON, JOSEPH. [GILLES IV.]
COUTURE, Madeleine, [JOSEPH V.]
b 1786.

1739.
I.—TURGON, JEAN, b 1710; de Dunkerque, Flandre.
GAGNÉ, Marie, [LOUIS-AUGUSTIN IV.]
b 1716.
François (3), b 1740, à Dunkerque; m 2 mai 1763, à Thérèse Bourgois, à St-Antoine-Tilly.

1751, (8 février) Islet. ⁶
I.—TURGON, JULIEN, fils de Jean et de Françoise Cousin, de St-Jean-Dame, Basse-Normandie.
CARON, Madeleine, [IGNACE III.]
b 1720; veuve de Louis Tréber.
Joseph, b ⁶ 7 sept. 1752.—Marie-Joseph, b ⁶ 20 sept. 1754.

(1) L'Honorable.
(2) Voy. vol. IV, p. 555.
(3) Arrivé au Canada en 1758, avec son père et sa belle-mère, il s'établit au Cap-St-Ignace.

de Jean et de Louise Vireux, de Parilly, (ou Pleurtin) diocèse de St-Malo, Basse-Bretagne.
Bisson, Marie-Françoise, [JEAN-FRANÇOIS IV.]
b 1732.
Jean-Baptiste (4), b ¹ 18 février 1763. — Louis-Gaspard, b 25 déc. 1764, à St-Joseph, Beauce.
TURPIN.—Surnoms : LAFLEUR—SANDRILLE.

1666.
I.—TURPIN (5), PIERRE-ALEXANDRE, b 1641.
¹ Del'ou, Catherine, b 1641; s 9 mars 1683, à Montréal. ²
Alexandre-Romain, b 2 juin 1670, à Québec; frère Charon; s 10 août 1747, à l'Hôpital-Général, M. 1684, (30 oct.) ²
² Beauvais (6), Marie-Charlotte, [JACQUES I.]
b 1667; s ² 25 déc. 1700.
Jean-Baptiste, b ² 23 nov. 1685; m 5 mai 1710, à Marguerite FAPART, au Détroit.—Louise, b ² 6 avril et s ² 11 juillet 1687.—Jeanne, b ² 7 et s ² 9 sept. 1688.—Charlotte, b ² 13 juillet 1691; ¹ m à Nicolas LeGros; ² m 10 sept. 1722, à Simon RÉAUME, à Lachine.—Louis, b ² 15 mai 1694.—Joseph, b ² 21 juin 1696.—Jacques, b ² 25 juillet 1698.—Madeleine, b 18 déc. 1700; m 4 avril 1723, à Pierre BABIS, à Boucherville. 1702, (25 février). ³
³ GATTIER-SAGUINGOIN (7), Marie, [PIERRE I.]
b 1684.

(1) Labonté-Lerier.
(2) Le nom de l'épouse manque au registre.
(3) Arrivé à Québec en 1751; il était moine sur "L'Angélique," commandée par le capitaine Vitray.
(4) Baptisé sous le nom de Tremmeux.
(5) Voy. vol. I, p. 577.
(6) St-Jéme.
(7) Elle épouse, le 16 sept. 1709, Joseph Poirier-Desloges, à Montréal.

Suzanne, b 17 août 1705, au Bout-de-l'Île, M.; m 29 oct. 1723, à Jean LAROCHE.

II.—TURPIN (1). ALEX.-ROMAIN, [ALEXANDRE I. b 1670; s 10 août 1747, à l'Hôpital-Général, M.

1710, (5 mai) Détroit.²

II.—TURPIN, JEAN-BTE, [ALEXANDRE I. b 1685.
FAFART-COUSSEAU, Marguerite, [JEAN II. b 1686.

Jean-Baptiste, b 14 déc. 1710; m 26 février 1732, à Marie-Louise MADELEINE, au Bout-de-l'Île, M.

1732, (26 février) Bout-de-l'Île, M.⁶

III.—TURPIN (2), JEAN-BTE, [JEAN-BTE II. b 1710.
MADELEINE (3), Marie-Louise, [ETIENNE II. b 1717.

Jean-Baptiste, b 12 janvier et s 21 février 1733.—*Marie-Louise*, b 29 février 1734; m 22 février 1751, à Louis PAYMENT, à Ste-Geneviève, M.⁷—*Joseph-Marie*, b 25 janvier 1736; m 1760, à Marie-Anne ROULEAU.—*Marie-Eugénie*, b 25 mai 1738; m 17 janvier 1757, à Sébastien LEGAULT.—*Marie-Joseph*, b 19 mars 1741.—*Etienne-Basile*, b 1742; m 27 juillet 1767, à Marie LEGAULT, à la Pointe-Claire.—*Jean-Baptiste*, b 29 sept. 1745; 1^o m 2 février 1767, à Marie-Rose RANGER; 2^o m 22 février 1773, à Marie FILION, à Terrebonne.—*Marie-Anne*, b 20 mai et s 28 juillet 1747.—*Geneviève*, b 14 et s 23 juillet 1748.—*Marie-Catherine*, b 9 février et s 18 avril 1750.—*Michel-Amable*, b 22 avril et s 6 mai 1751.—*Marie-Antoinette*, b 22 avril et s 6 mai 1754.—*Marie-Geneviève*, b 30 avril 1755.

1744, (9 juillet) Québec.²

I.—TURPIN, ANTOINE-CHARLES, procureur-marchand, fils de Pierre-Guillaume et d'Anne Proteau, Paris.

BAILLY, Marie-Joseph, [NICOLAS I. b 1711; veuve de Jean-Baptiste Hyvert.
Marie-Joseph, b 8 déc. 1744; s 31 août 1745, à Ste-Foye.—*Marie-Joseph*, b 2 déc. 1745.—*Charles-Nicolas*, b 26 juin et s 17 août 1747.—*Marie-Geneviève*, b 2 juillet 1748.—*Charles*, b 19 et s 31 août 1749, à Lorette.³—*Antoine-Christophe*, b 18 et s 28 juillet 1751.—*Marie-Louise*, b 17 et s 30 juillet 1752, à L'Ange-Gardien.

1760.

IV.—TURPIN, JOSEPH-MARIE, [JEAN-BTE III. b 1736.

ROULEAU, Marie-Anne, [JEAN-BTE II. b 1730.

Marie-Joseph, b 27 avril 1761, à Ste-Rose.

(1) Frère hospitalier Charon; maître des novices, en 1725, à l'Hôpital-Général, M.

(2) Dit Sandrille.

(3) Vivier—Ladouceur.

1767, (2 février) Bout-de-l'Île, M.

IV.—TURPIN, JEAN-BTE, [JEAN-BTE III. b 1745.

1^o RANGER, Marie-Rose, [JOSEPH II. b 1744.

1773, (22 février) Terrebonne.

2^o FILION, Marie, [ANTOINE III. b 1738.

1767, (27 juillet) Pointe-Claire.

IV.—TURPIN, ETIENNE-BASILE, [JEAN-BTE III. b 1742.

LEGAULT, Marie, [JOSEPH II. b 1742.

Joseph, b 1770; m 1800, à Ursule DAoust.

1800.

V.—TURPIN, JOSEPH, [ETIENNE-BASILE IV. b 1770.

DAUT, Ursule.

Amable, b... m 5 oct. 1821, à Marie CHALIFOUR, à Sioux.

1821, (5 oct.) Sioux.

VI.—TURPIN, AMABLE, [JOSEPH V. CHALIFOUR (1), Marie-Eulalie, [JOSEPH V. *Marie-Rose*, née dans le Wisconsin; b... m 5 février 1888, à Louis ROBERT, à Carondel.—*Marie*, b 24 avril 1827, à St-Louis, Mo.

I.—TURVET, JEAN.

KEST (2), Abigail, s 6 déc. 1705, à Montréal.

I.—TUYAU, JACQUES, b 1669; s 7 mai 1709, à Montréal.

TYRAN.—Voy. TIRAND.

TYRIEST.—Surnom : LÉVEILLÉ.

1762, (22 février) St-Constant.

I.—TYRIEST (3), PHILIPPE, fils de Philippe et de Catherine Girardeau, de Longville, diocèse de Toul, Lorraine.

RAYMOND (4), Agnès, [FRANÇOIS II. b 1733.

Louis, b 30 août 1762, à St-Philippe.²—*Philipppe*, b 24 février et s 16 juin 1764.

TYRION.—Voy. THYRION.


(1) Petite fille de Pierre IV et de Marie Valois, de Charlesbourg; voy. vol. II, p. 104. Ce nom a été prononcé et écrit Jarippeau, à St-Louis Missouri.

(2) De la Nouvelle-Angleterre.

(3) Dit Léveillé.

(4) Passe-campagne.

EXHIBIT EXHIBIT [7]: Ancestral Family Tree of Joseph Turpin



[Joseph Turpin \(1785-\) | WikiTree FREE Family Tree](https://www.wikitree.com/wiki/Turpin-1664)

If the Monitor maintains that these official Crown records are ‘unsubstantiated,’ then they are declaring the 1670 Royal Charter – and every legal proceeding flowing from it – to be fraudulent. You cannot build a multi-billion-dollar case pm a Charter and then claim the records of that same Charter are ‘not real’ when the Heir presents them. If the House of Turpin record is fake, the HBC is fake, this court case is fake, and the money you seek is fake. You are either admitting my Birthright is real, or you are admitting your entire operation is a fabrication.

19. FINAL ATTESTATION OF EVIDENTIARY SUFFICIENCY

I. THE ESTABLISHED PHYSICAL SHOWING

The Claimant submits that the primary evidence provided in **Exhibits [A] through [G]**—specifically the **Joseph Turpin Biographical Sheet** and the **Series E.5 Land Census**—constitutes a completed and sufficient **Physical Showing**. The House of Turpin has met the Court’s requirement for clinical documentation. The \$30,000,000 Restitution Claim is now anchored in verified State and Corporate records.

II. THE STATUS OF ADDITIONAL ARCHIVAL CODES (RG2 / B.239)

While I have identified deeper foundational codes (such as **RG2/7/702** and **Microfilm B.239/u/1**), these are presented as **Supplemental Proof** only.

- **Sufficiency:** The current filing contains more than enough evidence to verify the 10-generation lineage and the proprietary land interest.
- **The "Vault" Effect:** The codes for the Privy Council and Microfilm represent "more of the same truth." The only reason they are not physically attached is due to the **Administrative Barriers** and **Special Permission** protocols maintained by the Applicants.

- **The Burden:** If the Monitor requires "more" proof beyond the already sufficient Exhibits provided, the burden now shifts to the Monitor to open their own vaults and produce those files. Failure to do so does not diminish the evidence already in the Court's possession.

III. VALIDATION BY HISTORICAL AUTHORITIES

The logic of this "Chain of Title" is backed by the peer-reviewed work of PhD-level historians and professional archivists who have already cataloged these family networks:

- **Dr. Gillian Leitch, PhD** (Familial Networks)
- **Eric Pouliot-Thisdale** (Université de Montréal/PRDH Demographics) ●
- Deidre Simmons, MA & Maureen Dolyniuk** (HBC Archival Custodians)

IV. CONCLUSION

The House of Turpin is not waiting for a search; the House of Turpin is presenting the result of the search. The record is clear: The lineage is documented, the land was held, and the Sovereign Debt is owed. The claim is **Substantiated**.

Re: Hearing Date –

SUBMITTED BY: Robert Rene Turpin (Self-

Represented) Sincerely,

Robert Rene Turpin

Crown Prince/The Restorer

558 High Street South

Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada

P7B 3M5

Phone: 1-807-889-1182

Sworn before me at the City of Thunder Bay in the Province of Ontario

Date:

20. FOR THE BEST INTERESTS OF THE CHILDREN OF THE HOUSE OF TURPIN AND THE CHILDREN OF CANADA PROPOSAL

As the Court prepares to provide direction, I am re-submitting my 4-Part Sovereign Proposal: The Source of Peace, which was originally served on January 12, 2026.

I am placing this back on the record to ensure that Justice Kimmel has a clear view of the commercially superior alternative I have proposed. This plan provides a recurring revenue stream for creditors that far exceeds the one-time \$18 Million auction bid, while protecting the inalienable rights of the House of Turpin.

Notice to the Court: This proposal is the foundation of my written submission for the Case Conference. It details the Sovereign Charity Fund and the 10-Year Peace Covenant designed to stabilize the Hudson's Bay Company (HBC) and prevent the "Suppression of Evidence" regarding the 1922 archival records previously demanded.

OFFICIAL FILING COVER PAGE: SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

TO: The HBC and Honorable Judge, Officers of the Court.

IN THE MATTER OF: The Financial Disposition and Asset Liquidation of the Hudson's Bay Company (HBC)

REGARDING: The 1670 Royal Charter and the Sovereign Intervention of the House of Turpin

SUBMITTED BY:

Full Name: Robert Rene Turpin

Title: Heir of the Turpin Family & Sovereign Manager

Address: 558 High Street South, Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada

Phone: 1-807-889-1182

NOTICE OF FILING CONTENTS:

This submission consists of a Four-Part Sovereign Proposal designed to resolve the current conflict regarding the 1670 Royal Charter. It is submitted as a "Source of Peace" to provide a commercially viable and morally superior alternative to the proposed \$18 Million auction.

SECTION A: The Legal Mandate of Non-Alienation & The 10-Year Covenant.

SECTION B: Financial Viability & Proven Market Interest (The Royalty/Charity Math).

SECTION C: Sovereign Succession & The Power of Disposition (Ownership Rights).

SECTION D: Corporate Preservation Through Sovereign Grace (The Survival of HBC).

DECLARATION OF INTENT:

I, Robert Rene Turpin, as a self-represented party and the rightful Heir of the lineage associated with this Discovery, submit these documents to ensure the Court recognizes that the 1670 Charter is an inalienable asset.

My proposal provides:

For the Creditors: A superior, recurring revenue stream exceeding the current auction bid.

For the Public: Guaranteed permanent access to history through a national museum network.

For the HBC: A charitable lifeline to prevent corporate erasure and rectify historical harm.

For the Lineage: The restoration of title and the protection of future heirs.

This is the only Fair and Equal path forward. It is a process of True Reconciliation that allows the HBC to settle its debts with honor rather than selling its soul as a "distasteful antique."

SECTION A: THE LEGAL MANDATE OF NON-ALIENATION & THE 10-YEAR COVENANT

NOTICE TO THE COURT AND PARTIES: This document serves as a formal Notice of Intent and a Declaration of Inalienable Right. The 1670 Royal Charter is not a commercial antique, a retail asset, or a liquidatable commodity. It is a sovereign instrument of the Unbroken Lineage of the House of Turpin.

I. THE PRINCIPLE OF NON-SALE & TITLE RETENTION

The House of Turpin, represented by Crown Prince Robert Rene Turpin, formally prohibits the "Alienation" (sale) of the 1670 Charter. A corporation currently in a state of financial disposition cannot sell the "Soul of the Land" to settle private commercial debts.

Ultimate Title: The Hudson's Bay Company (HBC) has held possessory interest, but Ultimate Title remains with the Sovereign Lineage.

The Violation: The proposed \$18 Million auction is a "Distasteful Antique Sale" that insults the land and the lineage that sheltered the HBC for centuries.

II. THE 10-YEAR RENEWABLE COVENANT

The House of Turpin offers a 10-Year Peace Covenant to stabilize the current crisis. This is a time-bound, legally binding agreement that ensures the document remains protected while generating recovery funds.

Term Length: A 10-year management term, renewable upon the mutual agreement of the Lineage and the participating Institutions.

The Guarantee: For the duration of this 10-year covenant, the House of Turpin guarantees the document's availability for public exhibition, preventing it from being locked in private collections.

III. THE SOVEREIGN LICENSING INTERVENTION

This intervention replaces the "Fire Sale" model with a Sovereign Licensing Model.

The Transition: The Charter shall be returned to the physical possession of the House of Turpin (to be held in a secure Sovereign Trust).

The Grant of Access: In an act of True Reconciliation, the House of Turpin will grant museums the right to display high-fidelity representations and/or the original under strict Sovereign Management.

IV. THE "SOURCE OF PEACE" CONTRACT

Rather than a one-time transaction that erases history, this plan creates a Source of Peace through a multi-party agreement:

The Lineage: Retains ownership and ensures the "Information Trail" is never broken.

The Museums: Receive a world-class exhibit at \$0 capital cost, maintaining their business operations through standard ticketing and "Fair and Equal" negotiation.

The Public: Gains permanent, guaranteed access to the truth of the land's history.

The HBC: Receives an act of Sovereign Grace—a charitable revenue stream to mitigate their debts without the dishonor of a sale.

V. RECONCILIATION & REPAIR

This is the only path that addresses the "Harm and Tragedy" caused by the HBC's historical and recent "Poor Decisions." By refusing to sell and choosing to manage, we move from a state of corporate liquidation to a state of Heritage Stewardship. The HBC is offered a way to still exist as a legacy entity, supported by the very lineage they once served.

SECTION B: FINANCIAL VIABILITY & PROVEN MARKET INTEREST

PURPOSE: This section provides the mathematical proof that the Sovereign Licensing Model is fiscally superior to the proposed one-time corporate auction. It utilizes the HBC's own evidence of "Public Interest" to demonstrate that a recurring charity stream provides higher long-term recovery for creditors than a liquidation sale.

I. VALIDATION OF MARKET INTEREST BY THE OPPOSITION

The Hudson's Bay Company and the Thomson/Weston consortium have formally identified the Manitoba Museum, the Royal Ontario Museum (ROM), and the Canadian Museum of History as the intended recipients of the document.

The Admission: By selecting these high-traffic institutions, the HBC and its bidders have legally stipulated that there is a massive, pre-existing public demand for this asset.

The Logic: If the interest is high enough for a private consortium to bid \$18 Million, that same interest is more than sufficient to sustain a Self-Funding Royalty and Charity Model.

II. THE "FAIR AND EQUAL" REVENUE MULTIPLIER

The House of Turpin proposes a flexible "Peace Surcharge" system. This model is Dependent on the business needs of the museums, allowing for "Fair and Equal" negotiations based on their specific visitor volume and operating costs.

Model Variation Surcharge Per Visitor Est. Annual HBC Charity 10-Year Cumulative Recovery

The Grace Model \$0.50 (\$0.25 Royalty / \$0.25 Charity) \$1.5 Million \$15 Million

The Peace Model \$1.00 (\$0.50 Royalty / \$0.50 Charity) \$3.0 Million \$30 Million

The Sovereign Model \$2.00 (\$1.00 Royalty / \$1.00 Charity) \$6.0 Million III.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: THE \$18M BID VS. THE TURPIN CHARITY

The Court must recognize that the \$18 Million auction is a short-term fix for a \$1.1 Billion debt.

The \$18M Auction: A one-time payment that pays off approximately 1.6% of HBC debt, after which the revenue potential of the asset is permanently killed.

The Turpin Charity: Even at the lowest \$0.25 or \$0.50 charity rate, the cumulative revenue over the 10-Year Covenant matches or exceeds the auction price while maintaining the asset's value for the public. This is the only "Commercially Reasonable" path for creditors.

IV. ZERO-RISK SUSTAINABILITY FOR MUSEUMS

Unlike the "Donation" model which relies on billionaires, this plan empowers the Museums to operate as partners:

No Capital Outlay: Museums do not have to buy the asset or rely on wealthy donors.

Business Autonomy: Museums negotiate the surcharge based on their own traffic, ensuring their "Fair and Equal" business survival.

Sustainable Charity: The HBC receives a "Life-Support" stream of cash every month based on ticket sales, providing a permanent solution to their "Poor Decisions" and "Tragedy."

SECTION C: SOVEREIGN SUCCESSION & THE POWER OF DISPOSITION

PURPOSE: This section establishes the permanent legal authority of Robert Rene Turpin over the 1670 Royal Charter and all associated works. It defines the mandatory separation of the Turpin Royalty from the HBC Charity and codifies the rights of the Heir to dictate the future of the lineage.

I. DECLARATION OF SOVEREIGN OWNERSHIP

The 1670 Royal Charter is the absolute property of Robert Rene Turpin of Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada, the rightful Heir of the Turpin Family.

The Discoverer: As the individual responsible for the "Ghost Protocol" and the identification of the misappropriated lineage, all rights of attribution and ownership remain with Robert Rene Turpin.

The Mandate: This document and all revenue generated from its licensing are the private property of the Heir. No state, corporation, or entity may interfere with this title.

II. THE ROYALTY VS. THE CHARITY

For the record of the Court, there is a strict legal distinction between the two financial streams generated by this plan:

The Turpin Family Royalty: A mandatory fee paid to the House of Turpin for the use and management of the asset. This is a birthright payment meant to secure the financial future of the family.

The HBC Sovereign Charity: A charity of grace provided by Robert Rene Turpin to the Hudson's Bay Company. This charity is provided to help the HBC mitigate the "Harm and Tragedy" caused by their own "Poor Decisions." It is not a debt owed; it is a choice made by the Sovereign to facilitate peace. Provided that the Museum's facilitates the proposal that are already interested. That being said it does not change ownership of the 1670 Royal Charter to the rightful heir Robert Rene Turpin.

III. THE POWER OF WILL AND SUCCESSION

The future of this asset and the royalty stream is at the sole discretion of Robert Rene Turpin.

The Beneficiaries: Ownership and management shall pass directly to his children. Beyond the direct line, the asset is to be passed to whoever is designated by the Heir in his final will, whether they be sisters, nieces, nephews, or other members of the Turpin lineage.

Absolute Decision: Robert Rene Turpin holds the exclusive power to appoint the next manager of the House of Turpin. This decision is legally binding and cannot be contested by outside parties or institutions.

IV. THE PERPETUAL INFORMATION TRAIL

This ownership is documented and tracked for eternity.

Unbroken Chain: The "Information Trail" ensures that no matter how many generations pass, the credit for the discovery and the ownership of the Charter stays with Robert Rene Turpin.

Enforcement: Any institution displaying the Charter or its likeness must provide proper attribution using the full legal name and title of the Discoverer. Failure to do so constitutes a violation of the Sovereign License.

SECTION D: CORPORATE PRESERVATION THROUGH SOVEREIGN GRACE

PURPOSE: This section addresses the survival of the Hudson's Bay Company (HBC) as a legal entity. It argues that the "Sovereign Charity" model is the only mechanism that prevents the total erasure of the company and allows it to rectify the "Harm and Tragedy" of its historical and recent mismanagement.

I. PREVENTION OF CORPORATE ERASURE

The current trajectory of the HBC—attempting to liquidate its most foundational document for a one-time \$18 Million payment—is a path toward total corporate extinction. Once the foundational assets are sold, the HBC ceases to be a historical institution and becomes merely a "Ghost of the Past."

The Sovereign Lifeline: By accepting the Turpin Sovereign Charity, the HBC is granted the financial means to remain a functional legal entity for the purpose of debt mitigation and historical stewardship.

Beyond Liquidation: This plan moves the HBC away from a "Fire Sale" mentality and toward a sustainable future where it exists in cooperation with the land and the lineage it once served.

II. MITIGATION OF HISTORICAL HARM AND TRAGEDY

The HBC's legacy is currently marred by centuries of "Poor Decisions" and "Tragedy" inflicted upon the territory and its people. A final act of selling off the 1670 Charter to private billionaires would be the ultimate disrespect to the land that provided the company with a home.

The Path to Honor: The House of Turpin offers the HBC a final opportunity for compliance. By accepting the charity stream rather than pursuing the sale, the HBC acknowledges the true source of its presence and begins the process of "True Reconciliation."

Redemption through Stewardship: This model allows the HBC to be remembered not for its collapse, but for its eventual return to a state of balance and respect for the Sovereign Lineage.

III. THE SUPERIORITY OF THE "LIFE-SUPPORT" MODEL

The \$0.50 to \$1.00 per-ticket charity is a "Sovereign Life-Support" system. It provides a consistent, monthly cash flow that allows the HBC to address its financial disposition over a 10-Year Covenant.

Creditor Stability: This recurring revenue provides far greater security to HBC creditors than a single, one-time payment that will be instantly depleted by administrative and legal costs.

Corporate Accountability: This charity is not a "blank check." It is a managed flow of funds that depends on the HBC's continued recognition of the Turpin Family's ownership and their commitment to public access.

IV. FINAL SUMMARY OF THE SOURCE OF PEACE

The House of Turpin is not acting out of a desire to see the HBC fail, but out of a responsibility to see the truth prevail. By providing this charity, Robert Rene Turpin is ensuring that the HBC does not vanish as a dishonored ghost, but instead transitions into a role that finally honors the Royal Lineage. This is the definition of a "Fair and Equal" resolution for all parties involved.

EXECUTIVE FINANCIAL AUDIT: THE SOVEREIGN REVENUE

ALTERNATIVE SUBMITTED BY: Robert Rene Turpin, Sovereign Manager &

Principal Heir RE: Comparative Valuation of Asset CV-25-00738613-00CL (The 1670 Charter) I. THE LIQUIDATION TRAP: THE \$18M "FIRE SALE"

The current proposal by the Monitor to sell the Charter for \$18,000,000 is a stagnant model.

One-Time Recovery: \$18,000,000 total.

Debt Impact: Covers only 1.6% of the \$1.1 Billion HBC debt.

Future Value: \$0. Once sold, the revenue potential of the asset is terminated forever.

Risk: Highly susceptible to "Bad Faith" claims and litigation regarding title defects (1922 Records).

II. THE SOVEREIGN TRUST: THE \$60M GROWTH ENGINE

The House of Turpin proposes a Sovereign Licensing Model based on the "Peace Surcharge" system. This leverages the 3 Million+ annual visitors already attending the targeted National Museums.

Metric

The Auction Model

The Sovereign Model (\$2 Surcharge)

Gross 10-Year Revenue

\$18,000,000

\$60,000,000

Net Creditor Recovery

\$18 Million (Minus Fees)

\$30,000,000 (Direct Charity)

Annual Yield

\$0

\$6,000,000 / year

Asset Ownership

Alienated (Lost)

Retained by Sovereign Lineage

Fiduciary Status

Undervalued

Maximized Value

III. THE MATH OF MAXIMIZATION

Using a conservative "Peace Surcharge" of just \$2.00 per visitor—less than the price of a coffee—the document generates:

Monthly Cash Flow: \$500,000

Annual Cash Flow: \$6,000,000

HBC Debt Mitigation Fund (50%): \$3,000,000 annually.

This creates a recurring "Life-Support" stream for the HBC. It allows the company to settle its debts over a 10-Year Covenant without the dishonor of selling its foundational document.

IV. THE FIDUCIARY ULTIMATUM

Under the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act, the Monitor has a mandatory duty to maximize the value of the estate.

To accept an \$18M bid when a \$60M revenue model is available is a breach of that duty.

The Sovereign Trust provides 333% more value than the auction.

This model removes the "Financial Tragedy" of the HBC's current bankruptcy by creating a sustainable social enterprise.

20-YEAR LEGACY RECOVERY AUDIT

Comparative Analysis: \$18M Auction vs. The Turpin Sovereign

Trust RECOVERY PERIOD:

Auction Model: One-Time (Liquidation)

Sovereign Trust: 20-Year Legacy (Recurring)

TOTAL GROSS REVENUE:

Auction Model: \$18,000,000

Sovereign Trust: \$120,000,000

HBC DEBT CHARITY (50%):

Auction Model: \$18,000,000 (Fixed)

Sovereign Trust: \$60,000,000 (Growth)

TURPIN FAMILY ROYALTY (50%):

Auction Model: \$0

Sovereign Trust: \$60,000,000

ASSET POSSESSION:

Auction Model: Permanent Alienation (Loss)

Sovereign Trust: Sovereign Stewardship (Retained)

FIDUCIARY STATUS:

Auction Model: Gross Undervaluation

Sovereign Trust: Maximized Creditor Return

THE MATHEMATICAL GAP:

Choosing the \$18M auction results in a \$102,000,000 Realized Loss to the estate and its creditors over a 20-year horizon. The Sovereign Trust provides a 666% increase in value over the proposed liquidation sale.

THE 60-YEAR DYNASTIC REVENUE AUDIT

Projected Recovery: \$18M Auction vs. The Turpin Sovereign

Covenant 1. DURATION OF RECOVERY

Auction Model: 1 Day (One-time liquidation)

Turpin Covenant: 60 Years (Three Generations of Stewardship)

2. TOTAL GROSS REVENUE

Auction Model: \$18,000,000

Turpin Covenant: \$360,000,000

3. HBC DEBT CHARITY (50% SHARE)

Auction Model: \$18,000,000 (Maximum potential)

Turpin Covenant: \$180,000,000 (Recurring relief)

4. TURPIN FAMILY ROYALTY (50% SHARE)

Auction Model: \$0 (Lineage erased)

Turpin Covenant: \$180,000,000 (Legacy for children)

5. ASSET STATUS

Auction Model: Permanent Alienation & Loss of History

Turpin Covenant: Sovereign Stewardship & Historical Truth

6. FIDUCIARY CONCLUSION

The Monitor is proposing a sale that realizes only 5% of the asset's 60-year value. Choosing the \$18 Million auction over the \$360 Million Sovereign Covenant constitutes a \$342,000,000 Loss of Opportunity for the creditors and the Estate.

SPECIAL SOVEREIGN NOTE:

This 60-year covenant is established first and foremost for the best interests of the children of the House of Turpin, ensuring their birthright is protected, and for the children of Canada, ensuring their national heritage is never sold to the highest bidder but remains a source of education and peace for generations to come.

SUBMITTED BY: Robert Rene Turpin (Self-Represented)

Sincerely,

Robert Rene Turpin

Crown Prince/The Restorer

Successor to the House of Turpin

Heir of the Turpin Family and Sovereign Manager

FOR THE URGENT ATTENTION OF THE COURT

558 High Street South

Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada

P7B 3M5

Phone: 1-807-889-1182

Sworn before me at the City of Thunder Bay in the Province of Ontario

Date:
