



ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
(COMMERCIAL LIST)

COUNSEL/ENDORSEMENT SLIP

COURT FILE NO.: CV-24-00717340-00CL

DATE: June 17 2026

NO. ON LIST: 2

TITLE OF PROCEEDING:

IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS ARRANGEMENT ACT*, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED

AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR ARRANGEMENT OF PRIDE GROUP HOLDINGS INC. and those Applicants listed on Schedule "A" hereto (each, an "Applicant", and collectively, the "Applicants")

BEFORE: JUSTICE CAVANAGH

PARTICIPANT INFORMATION

For Plaintiff, Applicant, Moving Party:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Colin Pendrith Natalie Levine Greg Karpel Jamie Arabi	Alvarez & Marsal Canada - Court-appointed Manager	cpendrith@cassels.com nlevine@cassels.com cpendrith@cassels.com jarabi@cassels.com
Shurabi Srikaruna	Pride Entities	ssrikaruna@tgf.ca

For Other, Self-Represented:

Name of Person Appearing	Name of Party	Contact Info
Shawn Irving	BDO – Receiver	sirving@osler.com
Kevin (Xin Yuan) Wu	Monitor – Ernst & Young	kevin.wu@blakes.com
Ananya Kakkar	Daimler Truck Services Canada Corporation	ananya.kakkar@dentons.com

ENDORSEMENT OF JUSTICE CAVANAGH:

[1] Alvarez & Marsal Canada Inc., in its capacity as the Court-appointed manager (the "Manager"), seeks the following Orders:

- a. an Order (the "Omnibus Default Judgment Order"), among other things, (i) granting omnibus default judgment in favour of the Manager as against certain Undefending Defaulting Obligor (as defined in the motion materials) pursuant to an Order granted by this Court on January 13, 2026 (the "Collection Plan Order"), including a direction that the registrar sign, issue, and enter individual default judgments as against each Undefending Defaulting Obligor, (ii) striking certain Notices of Dispute delivered by Sohang Transportation Ltd. ("Sohang") and deeming Sohang an Undefending Defaulting Obligor, and (iii) permitting the Manager to serve garnishment notices on the corporate offices of financial institutions; and
- b. an Order in respect of the Manager's fees and activities (the "Second Manager Ancillary Order"), which, among other things: (i) approves the Third Report of the Manager dated November 10, 2025 (the "Third Report") and the Fourth Report of the Manager dated January 10, 2026, (the "Fourth Report" and together with the Third Report, the "Reports") and the activities and the conduct of the Manager set out therein; and (ii) approves the fees and expenses of the Manager and its legal counsel, Cassels Brock & Blackwell LLP ("Cassels"), as set out in the Fourth Report.

[2] The Manager's motion in respect of Sohang is adjourned at the request of Sohang, on consent.

[3] The facts underlying this motion are more fully set out in the Reports. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Reports.

Should the Omnibus Default Judgment be granted?

[4] On January 13, 2026, the Court granted the Manager's Collection Plan Order, which established a protocol (the "Collection Plan") for, among other things, (i) resolving disputes with lessees and other Defaulting Obligors regarding amounts payable under leases and other instruments transitioned to the Manager as assignee of TPine Leasing Capital Corporation ("TLCC"), and (ii) a process for obtaining default judgment.

[5] Pursuant to the Collection Plan Order, on February 26, 2026, the Manager issued a claims package to each Defaulting Obligor reflecting amounts owing as at December 31, 2025. The claims package contained, among other things, a copy of the Collection Plan Order, a Claim setting out the amounts owing and relief sought, the applicable Settlement Offer, and a Notice of Dispute form (the "Claims Package").

[6] The Manager also caused the Notice to Defaulting Obligors to be published in Truck News and posted the Collection Plan Order, Notice to Defaulting Obligors, instruction letter, and blank form of Notice of Dispute on the Manager's website.

[7] Paragraphs 47 and 48 of the Collection Plan Order provide that any Defaulting Obligor that fails to either submit a Notice of Dispute to the Manager or conclude a Settlement with the Manager by the prescribed Response Deadline (each an "Undefending Defaulting Obligor") shall be deemed to be in default and to admit to the truth of the allegations made against them in the applicable Claim, including the amounts owing by them.

[8] Further, paragraph 49 of the Collection Plan Order provides that the Manager shall be entitled to seek omnibus default judgment against the Undefending Defaulting Obligors in the amounts set out in the Undefended Claims.

[9] As at the date of the Manager's Fourth Report, 58 days have elapsed since the Response Deadline for the Initial Claims Packages. Defaulting Obligors related to approximately 125 Leases failed to either conclude a

settlement or submit a Notice of Dispute by the Response Deadline. Additional Defaulting Obligors submitted Notices of Dispute after the Response Deadline; the Manager accepted the late filing of these Notices of Dispute, which are currently being evaluated.

[10] The Manager seeks Default Judgment against Undefending Defaulting Obligors listed in Schedule "B" to the proposed Omnibus Default Judgment Order, each of which failed to respond to the applicable Claim.

[11] I am satisfied that it is necessary for the Manager to seek default judgment in order to advance its mandate. Through its own direct efforts and through its agent, IFT, the Manager has exhausted all available options to recover the amounts owing under the Defaulted Leases and/or recover the property relating to the Defaulted Leases. The Manager has complied with all requirements under the Collection Plan Order and is specifically permitted to return to Court to obtain the omnibus default judgment contemplated by that order. In this respect, the propriety of the omnibus default judgment was already considered and approved by this Court when it granted the Collection Plan Order. No party has sought to appeal that order.

[12] The proposed form of Omnibus Default Judgment Order and the related individual judgments to be signed by the registrar contain enforcement provisions that reflect the flexibility offered under the CCAA and the Collection Plan Order.

[13] Paragraph 6 of the proposed form of judgment permits the Manager to serve notices of garnishment upon the head office of the garnishee where the garnishee is a financial institution. In addition to the discretion afforded under the CCAA, this Court has a discretion under Rule 60.08(16) to, among other things, "determine any other matter in relation to a notice of garnishment". I accept that the ability to deliver notices of garnishment to the head offices of financial institutions is a practical requirement to ensure enforcement is efficient. Otherwise, the Manager may be required to undertake judgment-debtor examinations to determine the specific branch at which each Undefending Defaulting Obligor maintains an account. I accept that such a process would be unwieldy, inflexible, and inefficient.

[14] I am satisfied that this proposed form of judgment is consistent with the flexibility of the CCAA and will permit the Manager to discharge its mandate efficiently.

Should the requested Second Manager Ancillary Order be granted?

[15] The Manager is also seeking the Second Manager Ancillary Order for the approval of the Manager's fees and activities, and the fees of its Canadian counsel. The Fourth Report attaches affidavits from representatives of the Manager and its legal counsel that include each account (redacted in certain limited instances to protect confidentiality and privilege) and summary tables identifying the individual professionals who have worked on this matter, their hourly billing rates, and the total number of hours worked.

[16] I am satisfied that the requested Second Manager Ancillary Order should be granted.

Manager's motion in respect of Sohang

[17] As noted above, the manager's motion for relief in respect of Sohang is adjourned at the request of Sohang, consent. Sohang is directed to serve responding materials by June 25, 2026. The Manager's motion for relief in respect of Sohang is scheduled to be heard on **June 30, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. for one hour by Zoom.**

Disposition

[18] Orders to issue in forms of Orders signed by me today.

