ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

BETWEEN:

WESTLB AG, TORONTO BRANCH

Applicant

and

THE ROSSEAU RESORT DEVELOPMENTS INC.

Respondent

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 101 OF THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O 1990 C. C. 43, AS AMENDED, AND SECTION 68 OF THE CONSTRUCTION LIEN ACT, R.S.O. 1990, C. C. 30, AS AMENDED

MOTION RECORD

July 3, 2009

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

Box 25, Commerce Court West Toronto, Ontario M5L 1A9

Pamela Huff LSUC#: 27344V

Tel: (416) 863-2958 / Fax: (416) 863-2653

Katherine McEachern LSUC#: 38345M Tel: (416) 863-2566 / Fax: (416) 863-2653

Lawyers for WestLB, AG, Toronto Branch and McIntosh & Morawetz Inc., and Alvarez & Marsal ULC Canada, in their respective capacities as Court-appointed Interim Receiver, Trustee, Receiver and Manager

TO: SERVICE LIST ATTACHED

SERVICE LIST

TO: Aird & Berlis LLP

Brookfield Place, Suite 1800

181 Bay Street

Box 754

Toronto, ON M5J 2T9

Harry Fogul

Tel: (416) 863-1500 Fax: (416) 863-1515

Email: hfogul@airdberlis.com

Edmund Smith

Tel: (416) 863-1500 Fax: (416) 863-1515

Email: esmith@airdberlis.com

Lawyers for the Respondent,

The Rosseau Resort Developments Inc.

AND TO: Stikeman Elliott LLP

5300 Commerce Court West

199 Bay Street

Toronto, ON M5L 1B9

Peter Howard

Tel: (416) 869-5613

Email: phoward@stikeman.com

Craig Mitchell

Tel: (416) 869-5509

Email: cmitchell@stikeman.com

Simon Romano

Tel: (416) 869-5596

Email: sromano@stikeman.com

Lawyers for The Rosseau Resort Developments Inc., Ken Fowler Enterprises, and The Rosseau Resort

Management Services Inc.

AND TO: Goodmans LLP

250 Yonge Street

Suite 2400, Box 24 Toronto, ON M5B 2M6

Fred Myers

Tel: (416) 597-5923 Fax: (416) 979-1234

Email: fmyers@goodmans.ca

Robert Chadwick

Tel: (416) 979-2211 Fax: (416) 979-1234

Email: rchadwick@goodmans.ca

Lawyers for Fortress Investment Group

AND TO: PURSER DOOLEY COCKBURN SMITH LLP

151 Ferris Lane, Suite 300 Barrie, ON L4M 6C1

Eric O. Gionet

Tel: (705)792-6910 Fax: (705)792-6911

Email: egionet@pdcslaw.com

Lawyers for 1581659 Ontario Limited carrying on business as CRS Contractors Rental Supply; Quanbury Interior; Wallwin Electric and Old Tymer Welding

AND TO: PURSER DOOLEY COCKBURN SMITH LLP

151 Ferris Lane, Suite 300 Barrie, ON L4M 6C1

Kate Owen-King

Tel: (705)792-6910 Fax: (705)792-6911

Email: kowenking@pdcslaw.com

Lawyers for the SubContractor, All Construction

AND TO: DEVRY, SMITH & FRANK LLP

95 Barber Greene Rd., Suite 100

Toronto, ON M3C 3E9

Mark Mancini

Tel: (416)-446-5830 Fax: (416)-449-7071

Email: mark.mancini@devrylaw.ca

Lawyers for Fowler Construction Ltd.

AND TO: CASSELS BROCK & BLACKWELL LLP

2100 Scotia Plaza 40 King Street West Toronto, ON M5H 3C2

Marlin Horst

Tel: (416) 860 6446 Fax: (416) 644 9340

Email: mhorst@casselsbrock.com

Lawyers for Barzelle Design Ltd.

AND TO: GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP

480 University Avenue, Suite 1600 Toronto, ON M5G 1V6

Charlie Chang

Tel: (416) 597-6490 Fax: (416) 597-3370 Email: chang@gsnh.com

Lawyers for Vipond Inc.

AND TO: LAW OFFICE OF FREDERICK M. KAYE

3075 14th Ave

Markham, ON L3R 0G9

Frederick M. Kaye Tel: (905) 480-0286

Email: fkaye@kayelaw.ca

Lawyers for Bonavista Pools Ltd.

AND TO: HER MAJESTY THE QUEEN IN RIGHT OF THE

PROVINCE OF ONTARIO AS REPRESENTED BY

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (Income Tax, PST)

PO Box 620 33 King Street West, 6th Floor Oshawa, ON L1H 8E9

Kevin J. O'Hara

Email: kevin.ohara@ontario.ca

AND TO: DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

The Exchange Tower 130 King Street West, Suite 3400 P.O. Box 36 Toronto, ON M5X 1K6

Diane Winters

Tel: (416) 973-3172 Fax: (416) 973-0810

Email: diane.winters@justice.gc.ca

AND TO: BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

Scotia Plaza 40 King Street West Toronto, On M5H 3Y4

Michael J. MacNaughton

Tel: (416) 367-6646 Fax: (416) 682-2837

Email: mmacnaughton@blgcanada.com

Roger Jaipargas

Tel: (416) 367-6266 Fax: (416) 682-2837

Email: rjaipargas@blgcanada.com

James MacLellan

Tel: (416) 367-6592 Fax: (416) 682-2837

Email: jmaclellan@blgcanada.com

Sam P. Rappos

Tel: (416) 367-6033 Fax: (416) 361-7306

Email: srappos@blgcanada.com

Lawyers for Travelers Guarantee Company of Canada

AND TO: MILLER THOMSON LLP

One London Place 255 Queens Avenue, Suite 2010 London, ON N6A 5R8

A. Duncan Grace

Tel: (519) 931-3507 Fax: (519) 858-8511

Email: dgrace@millerthomson.com

Lawyers for Sparling's Propane Co. Limited

AND TO: BERKOW, COHEN LLP

141 Adelaide Street West, Suite 400 Toronto, ON M5H 3L5

Jack Berkow

Tel: (416) 364-4900 ext 203

Fax: (416) 364-3865

Email: jberkow@berkowcohen.com

Lawyers for GM Exteriors

AND TO: LEE, ROCHE & KELLY

P.O. Box 990, Stn. Main 6 Dominion Street Bracebridge, ON P1L 1V2

Nicholas B. Roche

Tel: (705) 645-2286 (Ext. 235)

Fax: (705) 645-5541

Email: nickroche@lrklaw.ca

Lawyers for certain Unit Purchasers

AND TO: BLANEY MCMURTRY

2 Queen Street East, Suite 1500 Toronto, ON M5C 3G5

John C. Wolf

Tel: 416-593-2994 (Dir) Fax: 416-593-5437

Email: <u>iwolf@blaney.com</u>

Lawyers for Sunlife Assurance Company Canada

AND TO: GRAHAM WILSON & GREEN

190 Cundles Road East at St Vincent Street, Suite 107 Barrie, ON L4M 4S5

Mary E. Vallee

Tel: 705-737-1811 Fax 705-737-5390

Email: mev@gwg.on.ca

Lawyers for Egress Systems of Canada Ltd.

AND TO: BLANEY MCMURTRY

2 Queen Street East, Suite 1500 Toronto, ON M5C 3G5

Lou Brzezinski

Tel: 416-593-2952 (Dir) Fax: 416-593-5437

Email: lbrzezin@blaney.com

Lawyers for Schindler Elevator Corporation.

AND TO: THE LAW OFFICES OF GORDON L. JACOBS

73 Mutual Street

Toronto, ON M5B 2A9

Gordon L. Jacobs

Tel: 416 981 0901

Email: gljacobs@sprynet.com

Ad Hoc Homeowners' Committee Representatives

AND TO: ACROBAT RESEARCH LTD.

170 Robert Speck Pkwy, Ste 201,

Mississauga ON L4Z 3G1

Roland Klassen, President

Tel: 416 503 4343 ext. 4343

Email: roland@acrobat-research.com

Ad Hoc Homeowners' Committee Representatives

AND TO: BIANCHI PRESTA LLP

9100 Jane Street 3rd Floor, Building A Vaughan, ON L4K 0A4

Domenic C. S. Presta

Tel: 905-738-1078 Fax: 905-738-0528

Email: dpresta@bianchipresta.com

Lawyers for Ayrfield Holdings Limited et al. c.o.b. Marel Contractors

AND TO: STEWART, ESTEN

100 Collier Street Barrie, ON L4M 4V3

Judith L. Turner

Tel: 705-728-5591 Fax: 705-728-3566

Email: jturner@stewartesten.ca

Lawyers for Can-Barr Mechanical Ltd. and G.R.C. Contracting

AND TO: SPEIGEL NICHOLS FOX LLP

400-30 Eglinton Avenue West Mississauga, ON M5R 3E7

Jonathan Speigel

Tel: 905-366-9700 x 223

Fax: 905-366-9707

Email: jonathan@ontlaw.com

Lawyers for Builders Choice Air Systems Ltd.

AND TO: LEE, ROCHE & KELLY

6 Dominion Street, Box 990

Bracebridge, ON P1L 1V2

Nicholas B.W. Roche

Tel: 705-645-2286 Fax: 705-645-5541

Email: nickroche@lrklaw.ca

Lawyers for Randy Blain c.o.b. Randy Blain Construction AND for John B. Petch c.o.b. Outland Maintenance Company

AND TO: JOEL W. KENNEDY PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

7 James Street

Parry Sound, ON P2A 1T4

Joel W. Kennedy Tel: 705-746-6644

Fax: 705-746-2366

Email: joelkennedy@parrysoundlaw.com

Lawyers for Parry Sound Glass Limited

AND TO: MINDEN GROSS LLP

145 King Street West, Suite 2200 Toronto, ON M5H 4G2

Stephen C. Nadler

Tel: 416-369-4162 (Dir) Fax: 416-864-9223

Email: snadler@mindengross.com

Lawyers for InterArt Décor Ltd.

AND TO: MILLER THOMSON LLP

Scotia Plaza

40 King Street West, Suite 5800

Toronto, ON M5H 3S1

Jeffrey Carhart

Tel: (416) 595-8615 Fax: (416) 595-8695

Email: jcarhart@millerthomson.com

Margaret Sims

Tel: (416) 595-8577 Fax: (416) 595-8695

Email: msims@millerthomson.com

Lawyers for Ad Hoc Homeowners' Committee

AND TO: WYJAD FLEMING

P.O. Box 177, 39 Dominion Street Bracebridge, ON P1L 1T6

Daniel J. Wyjad

Tel: 705-645-8787 Fax: 705-645-3390

Email: <u>bracebridge@wylaw.ca</u>

Lawyers for 1569243 Ontario Inc. c.o.b. Rock Solid Granite Tops

AND TO: WOOLGAR VANWIECHEN KETCHESON DUCOFFE LLP

70 The Esplanade, Suite 401 Toronto, ON M5E 1R2

Geoffrey Ketcheson

Tel: (416) 867-1666 Fax: (416) 867-1434

Email: gketch@woolvan.com

James VanWiechen

Tel: (416) 867-1666 Fax: (416) 867-1434 Email: jvan@woolvan.com

Lawyers for Marsha Marcus

AND TO: FODEN & DOUCETTE, LLP

555 Kingston Road West, 2nd Floor Ajax, ON L1S 6M1

Paul A. Doucette

Tel: 905-428-8200 Fax: 905-428-8666

Email: pdoucette@on.aibn.com

Lawyers for Rolla Landscaping Construction Ltd.

AND TO: FRASER MILNER CASGRAIN LLP

1 First Canadian Place 100 King Street West Toronto, ON M5X 1B2

Shayne Kukulowicz

Tel: 416-863-4740 Fax: 416-863-4592

Email: shayne.kukulowicz@fmc-law.com

Jane O. Dietrich

Tel: 416-863-4467 Fax: 416-863-4592

Email: jane.dietrich@fmc-law.com

Lawyers for Alvarez & Marsal Canada ULC and McIntosh & Morawetz Inc. jointly as receiver and manager and trustee and interim receiver of The Rosseau Resort Developments Inc.

AND TO: PALLETT VALO LLP

90 Burnhamthorpe Road West Suite 1600 Mississauga, ON L5B 3C3

Francesca Maio

Tel: 905-273-3022 Ext. 210

Fax: 905-273-6920

Email: fmaio@pallettvalo.com

Lawyers for Trillium Architectural Products Ltd.

AND TO: ThorntonGroutFinnigan LLP

Barristers and Solicitors Suite 3200 Canadian Pacific Tower 100 Wellington Street West Toronto, ON M5K 1K7

Grant B. Moffat

Tel: (416) 304-0599 Fax: (416) 304-1313 Email: gmoffat@tgf.ca Lawyers for Marriott Hotels of Canada Limited and its affiliates

INDEX

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

BETWEEN:

WESTLB AG, TORONTO BRANCH

Applicant

and

THE ROSSEAU RESORT DEVELOPMENTS INC.

Respondent

APPLICATION UNDER SECTION 101 OF THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O 1990 C. C. 43, AS AMENDED, AND SECTION 68 OF THE CONSTRUCTION LIEN ACT, R.S.O. 1990, C. C. 30, AS AMENDED

INDEX

TAB NO.

- 1. Notice of Motion, returnable July 8, 2009
- 2. Second Report of the Receiver
 - A. The Appointment Order
 - B. Typical requirement in the APS
 - C. Standard form of Rental Pool Management Agreement
 - D. Rental Pool Covenant
 - E. Assignment of Hotel Management Agreement
 - F. Subordination, Non-Disturbance and Attornment Agreement

- G. Letter to counsel for RRMSI asking for the consensual agreement to assign the Rental Pool Management Agreements to RRDI dated June 8, 2009
- H. Joint Undertakings
- I. Receipts and Disbursements Statement for the period ended June 30, 2009

TAB 1

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE (COMMERCIAL LIST)

BETWEEN:

WESTLB AG, TORONTO BRANCH

Applicant

and

THE ROSSEAU RESORT DEVELOPMENTS INC.

Respondent

NOTICE OF MOTION (Returnable July 8, 2009)

Alvarez & Marsal Canada ULC, in its capacity as Court-appointed receiver and manager pursuant to section 101 of the *Courts of Justice Act* and trustee and receiver and manager under the *Construction Lien Act* (Ontario) (the "CLA"), and McIntosh & Morawetz Inc., in its capacity as interim receiver pursuant to section 47(1) of the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act*, (jointly and collectively, the "Receiver") of the undertaking, property and assets of The Rosseau Resort Developments Inc. ("RRDI"), will make a motion to a Judge of the Commercial List at 10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, the 8th day of July, 2009, or as soon after that time as the Motion can be heard, at 330 University Avenue, Toronto, Ontario.

PROPOSED METHOD OF HEARING: The motion will be heard orally.

THE MOTION IS FOR AN ORDER:

- (a) abridging the time for service of the Notice of Motion and the Motion Record herein and dispensing with further service thereof;
- (b) authorizing the Receiver to undertake a process as described at Section 5 of the Second Report for the marketing and sale of unsold condominium units (the "Units") in the resort condominium known as The Rosseau, a JW Marriott Resort and Spa (the "Hotel") and the development land surrounding the Hotel owned by RRDI together with the residual interest of RRDI in the Hotel (collectively, the "Assets"), consisting of (i) a program for the marketing and selling of individual Units to retail purchasers (the "Retail Sales Program") as described at paragraphs 5.8 to 5.11 of the Second Report, and (ii) a process for the marketing and selling of the Assets on an en bloc basis to institutional and commercial investors (the "Institutional Sales Process") as described at paragraphs 5.4 to 5.7 of the Second Report;
- (c) authorizing the Receiver to retain Baker Real Estate Incorporated as broker to conduct the Retail Sales Program;
- (d) authorizing the Receiver to retain Colliers International Hotels as broker to conduct the Institutional Sales Process;
- (e) authorizing the Receiver to enter into an agreement (the "New Marriott Marketing License Agreement") with Marriott Hotels of Canada Ltd. ("Marriott Hotels") and/or its affiliate International Hotel Licensing Company S.a.r.l("IHLC"), to provide for the use by the Receiver of the trademarks of Marriott Hotels and/or IHLC, as identified in the Marketing License Agreement between IHLC and RRDI dated June 15, 2004 (the "Marriott Trademarks"), in consideration for the payment of certain increased introduction fees, on the terms and conditions as provided for at paragraph 8.9 of the Second Report;
- (f) barring any claims against Marriott Hotels, IHLC, and their respective affiliates and officers, directors, employees, representatives and agents (collectively,

"Marriott") and the Hotel that may be asserted relating to the use of the Marriott Trademarks by the Receiver or any of its agents in their promotion and marketing of the Units to retail purchasers;

- (g) directing that any Operating Profits, as that term is defined in an Amended and Restated Hotel Management Agreement between Marriott Hotels, RRDI, and The Rosseau Resort Management Services Inc. ("RRMSI") dated as of October 6, 2006 (the "Hotel Management Agreement"), that may be payable by Marriott Hotels under the Hotel Management Agreement are to be paid to the Receiver to be held pending further order of the Court;
- (h) authorizing and directing McCarthy Tetrault LLP ("McCarthys") to pay to the Receiver the Commission and Levy Funds (as defined at paragraph 9.10 of the Second Report) out of the Closing Costs Holdback (as defined at paragraph 9.4 of the Second Report); authorizing McCarthys to pay to itself the sum of \$220,183.13 out of the Closing Costs Holdback upon satisfaction of the conditions recommended by the Receiver at paragraph 9.10 of the Second Report; and authorizing the Receiver to distribute the Commission and Levy Funds in accordance with the recommendation of the Receiver as set out in paragraph 9.10 of the Second Report;
- (i) establishing a claims process for construction lien claims that have been asserted against RRDI;
- (j) approving the Second Report to Court of the Receiver (the "Second Report") and the conduct and activities of the Receiver described therein; and
- (k) such further and other relief as counsel may request and this Honourable Court deems just.

THE GROUNDS FOR THE MOTION ARE:

Marketing and Sale Process

(a) there are 84 unsold Units owned by RRDI, as well as the undeveloped lands surrounding the Hotel, and the residual interest of RRDI in the Hotel. The Receiver has considered the options for marketing and selling the Assets and has determined that a two track process is most advantageous, to both market the Assets en bloc, and to market the Units individually to purchasers. After interviewing a number of commercial and retail brokers, the Receiver has chosen to retain, subject to Court approval, Colliers Hotels International to undertake the Institutional Sales Process, and Baker Real Estate Incorporated to undertake the Retail Sales Program;

Agreement with Marriott Hotels

- (b) in connection with the marketing and sale of the Units, the Receiver has agreed to enter into the New Marriott Marketing License Agreement with Marriott Hotels and IHCL, subject to Court approval, for the use of the Marriott Trademarks in the marketing of the Units in Canada, on the terms set out in the Second Report;
- (c) the New Marriott Marketing License Agreement will permit the Receiver to market the Units using the Marriott Trademarks, which will be advantageous to the Receiver;
- (d) in support of the New Marriott Marketing License Agreement with Marriott Hotels and IHCL, Marriott Hotels and IHCL require a term to be included in the Court Order barring any claims that may be asserted against Marriott Hotels, IHCL or any of their affiliates relating to the use of the Marriott Trademarks by the Receiver or any of its agents in their promotion and marketing of the Units;

Operating Profits

(e) The Hotel Management Agreement provides for the distribution of Operating Profits to RRDI and RRMSI, as "Owner" under the Hotel Management Agreement, to the extent that Marriott Hotels does not elect to apply such

- Operating Profits against previously incurred Operating Losses (as they are defined in the Hotel Management Agreement), as it has the right to do;
- (f) For ther reasons outlined in the Second Report, the Receiver asks that any Operating Profit payable under the Hotel Management Agreement be paid to the Receiver to be held pending further Order of the Court;

Distribution of Funds Held by McCarthys

- (g) McCarthys is holding approximately \$1,411,626.66 on account of the Closing Costs Holdback in respect of 73 condominium unit sale transactions that have closed.
- (h) The Receiver has communicated with McCarthys and obtained details of the amounts held by them. Receiver's counsel has reviewed and has concluded that certain payments may be made out of the Closing Costs Holdback, on the terms and conditions as set out in the Second Report;

Lien Claim Process

- (i) as disclosed in the Receiver's First Report to the Court (the "First Report"), there are a number of construction lien claimants that have asserted claims against the property of RRDI. Liens have been registered against the property, as was permitted by the Receivership Order. In its First Report, the Receiver advised stakeholders that it would establish a process for determining and resolving the claims of construction lien claimants. The Receiver is now in a position to put forward its proposed claims process; and
- (j) such further and other grounds as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court may permit.

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE will be used at the hearing of the motion:

- (a) The pleadings and proceedings herein;
- (b) The Second Report; and
- (c) such further and other material as counsel may advise and this Honourable Court permit.

Date: July 3, 2009

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

Barristers and Solicitors Box 25, Commerce Court West 199 Bay Street, Suite 2800 Toronto, Ontario M5L 1A9

Pamela L.J. Huff LSUC #27344V

Tel: 416-863-2958 Fax: 416-863-2653

Katherine McEachern LSUC#: 38345M Tel: (416) 863-2566 Fax: (416) 863-2653

Lawyers for WestLB, AG, Toronto Branch and McIntosh & Morawetz Inc., and Alvarez & Marsal ULC Canada, in their respective capacities as Court-appointed Interim Receiver, Trustee, Receiver and Manager

Court File No. CV-09-8201-00CL

IN THE MATTER of Section 47(1) of the BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT, R.S.C. 1985, C. B-3, AS AMENDED, SECTION 101 OF THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O 1990, C. C. 43, AS AMENDED, AND SECTION 68 OF THE CONSTRUCTION LIEN ACT, R.S.O. 1990, C. C. 30, AS AMENDED THE ROSSEAU RESORT DEVELOPMENTS INC. WESTLB AG, TORONTO BRANCH

Applicant

Respondent

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST ONTARIO

Proceeding commenced at Toronto

(Returnable July 8, 2009) NOTICE OF MOTION

BLAKE, CASSELS & GRAYDON LLP

Barristers & Solicitors

P.O. Box 25, Commerce Court West Foronto, Ontario M5L 1A9

Pamela L.J. Huff - LSUC#: 27344V

Tel: 416-863-2958

Fax: 416-863-2653

Katherine McEachern – LSUC#: 38345M

Tel: 416-863-2566

Fax: 416-863-2653

capacities as Court-appointed Interim Receiver, Lawyers for WestLB, AG, Toronto Branch and McIntosh & Morawetz Inc., and Alvarez & Marsal ULC Canada, in their respective Trustee, Receiver and Manager

TAB 2

ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE COMMERCIAL LIST

BETWEEN:

WESTLB AG, TORONTO BRANCH

Applicant

and

THE ROSSEAU RESORT DEVELOPMENTS INC.

Respondent

IN THE MATTER OF SECTION 47(1) OF THE BANKRUPTCY AND INSOLVENCY ACT, R.S.C. 1985, C.B-3, AS AMENDED, SECTION 101 OF THE COURTS OF JUSTICE ACT, R.S.O. 1990, C. C. 43, AND SECTION 68 OF THE CONSTRUCTION LIEN ACT, R.S.O. 1990 C. C. 30, AS AMENDED

SECOND REPORT OF
ALVAREZ & MARSAL CANADA ULC,
AS RECEIVER AND MANAGER AND CONSTRUCTION LIEN ACT TRUSTEE AND
MCINTOSH & MORAWETZ INC., AS INTERIM RECEIVER
OF THE ASSETS OF THE ROSSEAU RESORT DEVELOPMENTS INC.

JULY 3, 2009

Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	TERMS OF REFERENCE	4
3.0	STATUS OF CONSTRUCTION OF THE HOTEL AND ANTICIPATE TIMING OF UNIT CLOSINGS	
4.0	ISSUES RELATING TO UNIT PURCHASER INCENTIVES	9
5.0	THE RECEIVER'S PROPOSED SALES AND MARKETING PROCES	SS 12
6.0	MARRIOTT OPERATING AGREEMENTS & RENTAL POOL MANAGEMENT	17
7.0	ISSUES IN RESPECT OF RENTAL POOL MANAGEMENT AGREEMENTS	27
8.0	INTERIM ARRANGEMENTS WITH MARRIOTT HOTELS	2 9
9.0	DISTRIBUTION OF CONDOMINIUM CLOSING COSTS HELD BY MCCARTHY TETRAULT LLP	34
10.0	PROPOSED PROCESS FOR DETERMINATION OF PRIORITY LIES CLAIMS	
11.0	OTHER ACTIVITIES OF THE RECEIVER TO DATE	46
12.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	48

Listing of Appendices

Appendix A - The Appointment Order

Appendix B - Typical requirement in the APS

Appendix C - The standard form of Rental Pool Management Agreement

Appendix D - The Rental Pool Covenant

Appendix E - Assignment of the Hotel Management Agreement

Appendix F - Subordination, Non-Disturbance and Attornment

Agreement

Appendix G - Letter to counsel for RRMSI asking for the consensual

agreement to assign the Rental Pool Management

Agreements to RRDI dated June 8, 2009

Appendix H - Joint Undertakings

Appendix I - Receipts and Disbursements Statement for the period ended

June 30, 2009

1.0 Introduction

- On May 22, 2009, the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (the "Court") issued an order appointing Alvarez & Marsal Canada ULC ("A&M") and McIntosh & Morawetz Inc., as trustee and interim receiver, respectively (collectively the "Interim Receiver") pursuant to Section 68 of the Construction Lien Act (Ontario) ("CLA") and Section 47(1) of the Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act ("BIA") of all the property, assets and undertakings (the "Assets") of The Rosseau Resort Developments Inc. ("RRDI" or the "Company"). On June 2, 2009, the Court issued an Amended and Restated Appointment Order (the "Appointment Order") appointing A&M as receiver and manager (the "Receiver and Manager") pursuant to Section 101 of the Courts of Justice Act ("CJA") and pursuant to the CLA of the Assets of RRDI (the Interim Receiver and the Receiver and Manager hereinafter collectively defined as the "Receiver"). A copy of the Appointment Order is attached as Appendix "A", without schedules attached.
- 1.2 A&M, as proposed receiver, filed a report dated May 19, 2009 and a supplementary report dated May 20, 2009 (collectively the "A&M Report") in these proceedings in support of the application brought before this Honourable Court by WestLB AG, Toronto Branch ("WestLB"), as agent for the Lender Syndicate of WestLB AG, Toronto Branch and CIT Financial Ltd. (the "Syndicate") for the appointment of the Receiver. The A&M Report contains relevant background with respect to the Company. A copy of the A&M Report can be found at the Receiver's website, www.alvarezandmarsal.com/rosseau.

- On May 27, 2009, the Interim Receiver filed its first report with this Honourable Court and on May 29, 2009, the Interim Receiver filed a supplementary report to its first report (the first report and the supplementary report being collectively defined as the "First Report"). The First Report provided this Honourable Court with, among other things, an update on the Interim Receiver's activities from the date of its appointment as Interim Receiver to the date of the First Report.
- 1.4 This purpose of this report (the "Second Report") is to:
 - Provide the Court with an update on the status of the Receiver's activities since the date of the First Report, including an update on the status of construction of the hotel/condominium complex known as "The Rosseau" (the "Hotel") and the anticipated timing of construction completion;
 - Describe the Receiver's proposed sales and marketing strategy (the "Sales and Marketing Process") with respect to the Assets and seek this Honourable Court's approval authorizing the Receiver to commence the Institutional Sales Process and the Retail Sales Program, both as defined herein;
 - Describe to the Court the various agreements entered into between Marriott Hotels of Canada Ltd. ("Marriott Hotels") and/or its affiliates and the Company and seek the authorization of this Honourable Court to permit the Receiver to enter into the New Marriott Marketing License Agreement as defined herein;
 - Describe to the Court the arrangements between the Company and an affiliated company, The Rosseau Resort Management Services Inc. ("RRMSI"), to act as

rental pool manager, and to seek direction on distributions, if any, to be made by Marriott Hotels;

- Request that this Court establish a claims process for construction lien claimants,
 to be conducted by the Receiver;
- Request that this Honourable Court permit the Receiver to distribute certain funds, currently being held by McCarthy Tetrault LLP ("McCarthys") in connection with closing costs associated with condominium purchases that were completed prior to the date of the receivership, to those parties for whom the Receiver believes the funds are being held in trust; and
- Request that this Honourable Court approve the activities of the Receiver from the date of the First Report to the date of this Second Report.

2.0 Terms of Reference

- In preparing this Second Report, the Receiver has relied on unaudited financial information prepared by the Company's management and the Company's consultants and advisors, the Company's books and records and discussions with its management. The Receiver has not performed an audit or other verification of such information. An examination of the Company's financial forecasts as outlined in the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants Handbook has not been performed. Future oriented financial information relied on in this Second Report is based on management's assumptions regarding future events; actual results achieved may vary from this information and these variations may be material. The Receiver expresses no opinion or other form of assurance with respect to the accuracy of any financial information presented in this Second Report, or relied upon by the Receiver in preparing the Second Report. All references to dollar figures contained in the Second Report are in Canadian currency unless otherwise specified.
- 2.2 Capitalized terms in this Second Report shall have the meanings ascribed to them in either the A&M Report or the First Report unless otherwise defined herein.

3.0 Status of Construction of the Hotel and Anticipated Timing of Unit Closings

- As described in the First Report, upon its appointment, the Interim Receiver discontinued all construction activities at the Hotel to provide it with time to negotiate and enter into new arrangements with those trade contractors, architects, engineers and design consultants that were required to complete construction of the Hotel. Furthermore, until the granting of the Appointment Order, the Interim Receiver was only authorized to utilize the Receiver's Borrowings for specific purposes, including payroll and related expenses, utilities payments and other urgent payments. Accordingly, the Receiver was not in a position to allow ongoing work to continue until such time as it was certain that it would be able to make payment for any future services rendered or work performed, through the priority Receiver's Borrowings authorized by the Appointment Order.
- 3.2 Given the quantum of outstanding amounts owing to many trade contractors, the status of their respective lien claims, the relatively short timelines for which to complete construction of the Hotel and the determination by the Receiver and its construction consultant, Altus, of many technical issues that the Receiver had not been made aware of by the Company prior to its appointment, the negotiations with many of the parties required to complete construction of the Hotel were difficult and complicated. Nonetheless, immediately upon the granting of the Appointment Order and this Honourable Court's authorization to permit the Receiver to utilize the full amount of the Receiver's Borrowings, the Receiver commenced entering into those arrangements necessary to allow for construction to be completed on a timely basis.

- As at the date of this Second Report, the Receiver has entered into arrangements with all major trade contractors, architects, engineers and design consultants required to complete construction of the Hotel. In addition, the Receiver has been able to negotiate with the suppliers of the furniture, fixtures and equipment ("FF&E") for the Hotel. Many of the suppliers of FF&E had been holding the FF&E in their possession due to the failure of the Company to make payment for the release and delivery of the FF&E which were required to make the uncompleted portions of the Hotel ready for occupancy.
- 3.4 In general, the Receiver was able to enter into arrangements with those same contractors who had been providing their construction and related services to the Company prior to the receivership. The Receiver views this positively as it has allowed for continuity of construction and the preservation of the majority of warranties on work previously conducted as well as work still to be performed. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the largest single trade contract to be awarded by the Receiver related to completion of the outdoor landscaping in and around the Hotel. Given the quantum of the landscaping work to be completed, the stand-alone nature of this work and certain other issues, the Receiver considered it prudent to undertake a bid process specifically for the completion of this outstanding work. Accordingly, with the assistance of Altus, as well as MDP Landscape Consultants Ltd., the landscape architect engaged by the Receiver, the Receiver sought and obtained bids for the landscaping work to be completed. These bids were received on June 22, 2009 and on June 23, 2009, the Receiver awarded the landscape contract to Advanced Landscaping Ltd. (the "Landscape Contractor"). The arrangements with the

Landscape Contractor call for the substantial completion of all landscape work by July 23, 2009, subsequent to which a non-completion penalty clause will take effect for each day the works remain unfinished (subject to reasonable allowances for weather delays and other acts of God).

- 3.5 Altus has advised the Receiver that it believes that all construction will be substantially complete at the Hotel by July 31, 2009. The Receiver believes that the trades are on schedule to meet this timeframe. Marriott Hotels is scheduled to take possession of Paignton House on July 5, 2009, at which point Marriott Hotels will commence the process of undertaking the final fit out of the guest suites and making its pre-opening preparations. Marriott Hotels has been working closely with the Receiver and Altus to oversee completion of construction and has advised the Receiver that it is pleased with the progress to date and the quality of the product. It is anticipated that the unfinished components of the Hotel will be fully operational by July 31, 2009.
- 3.6 Altus has also advised the Receiver that it believes that the major construction works will be completed within budget in accordance with the updated construction forecast as described in the A&M Report.
- 3.7 The Receiver has commenced the process of scheduling pre-delivery inspections ("PDIs") with those unit purchasers who purchased condominium units in Paignton House prior to the receivership. PDIs are scheduled to commence on July 15, 2009. Subsequent to completion of the PDI process, the Receiver will be in a position to commence unit closings at Paignton House. There are currently 25 units located at

Paignton House which are subject to an agreement of purchase and sale with RRDI ("APS") but not yet closed.

While the Appointment Order authorized the Receiver to take those actions necessary to complete the unit purchase transactions which had not been completed prior to the receivership, since the commencement of the receivership the Receiver has not yet closed on any such transactions. As described in the A&M Report and the First Report, there are currently 64 unclosed transactions. Of the 64 unclosed transactions, 25 relate to units which are situated in Paignton House for which closings cannot occur until completion of construction and 30 transactions are Sale Leaseback Transactions (as defined herein) for units located in Longview¹. While Longview is complete, the Receiver did not believe it was appropriate to compel purchasers to close until a proposal could be made with respect to the treatment of the Sale Leaseback Transactions and other Purchaser Incentives (as defined herein). As well, a significant number of the 64 purchasers with unclosed transactions have entered into rental pool management agreements with RRMSI which, as described below, need to be addressed.

¹ Note that there are a total of 33 Sale Leaseback Transactions which have not yet been closed; 30 of which are located in Longview and 3 of which are located in Paignton House.

- During the course of its sale of condominium units, the Company provided unit purchasers with several types of incentives and benefits to entice unit purchasers to enter into an APS. Several types of incentives (the "Purchaser Incentives") were provided to unit purchasers, including the Company's agreement to pay unit purchasers' condominium fees and expenses and the issuance of certain "indulgence cards" which provide holders with a "currency" for use at the Hotel to pay for discretionary expenses. One specific form of Purchaser Incentive offered by the Company was a sale leaseback program (the "Sale Leaseback Program"). While there were approximately five versions of the Sale Leaseback Program offered to purchasers, in general, the Sale Leaseback Program allowed a purchaser (the "Leaseback Unit-Owner") to purchase a unit and then lease it back to the Company (a "Sale Leaseback Transaction"), the general terms of which are described as follows:
 - A Leaseback Unit-Owner would forego substantially all of his or her rights to occupy the purchased unit either for a term of three or four years.
 - In exchange for foregoing occupancy rights to the unit, the Leaseback Unit-Owner would receive an annual rent, payable by the Company, ranging between 6% and 8% per annum of the purchase price (the "Annual Return"), depending on the "version" of the Sale Leaseback Transaction entered into by the Leaseback Unit-Owner.

- Leaseback Unit-Owners executed rental pool management agreements with RRMSI, but waived any distributions from the rental pool operation of their unit as a result of the Annual Return anticipated from the Sale Leaseback Transaction.
- In addition to the Annual Return, certain Leaseback Unit-Owners received other incentives as well, such as the Company's commitment to pay condominium fees and expenses and realty taxes throughout the term of the Sale Leaseback Transaction.
- 4.2 The Company entered into a total of 67 Sale Leaseback Transactions with the Leaseback Unit-Owners, of which 34 Sale Leaseback Transactions have previously closed and 33 Sale Leaseback Transactions have not yet closed. The Receiver has been advised by its legal counsel that all Purchaser Incentives, including the claims of the Leaseback Unit-Owners against the Company relating to the Sale Leaseback Transactions, for those unit purchasers who have closed are unsecured claims against the Company. There may be some possible claims of purchasers who have closed to certain funds held by RRDI's legal counsel McCarthy Tetrault LLP, as described below. The Receiver has been advised by its legal counsel that it could compel a unit purchaser, who received a lease from the Company on entering into interim occupancy, to complete the closing of a Sale Leaseback Transaction which has not yet closed, even if the lease previously delivered by RRDI on interim occupancy is in default and no further payments will be made by RRDI. Such default is not a basis to terminate the APS. In the case of a unit which has already closed, the Receiver is entitled to repudiate a Purchaser Incentive granted by RRDI, for which the unit purchaser would have an unsecured claim against the Company. It is the Receiver's

view however, that if it were not able to reach agreement with unit purchasers who hold a Purchaser Incentive or had entered into a Sale Leaseback Transaction, then (a) those unit purchasers who have already closed on their units could, in the case of a Sale Leaseback Transaction, be put in jeopardy of defaulting on their existing unit mortgage financing; and (b) those unit purchasers that have not already closed may resist closing, resulting in significant costs and delays to the Receiver in connection with either litigating against such unit purchasers or ultimately remarketing such units if seeking to close these transactions becomes too difficult.

4.3 Accordingly, the Receiver, in consultation with the Syndicate and Fortress, has met with a representative group of unit purchasers (the "Ad Hoc Committee") and its legal counsel in an attempt to formulate a draft proposal of the Receiver to address the Purchaser Incentives (the "Purchaser Incentive Proposal"). Progress has been made and the Receiver is hopeful it will be able to provide an update to the Court in a Supplemental Report to the Court before the July 8, 2009 motion.

- Upon authorization from this Honourable Court, the Receiver intends to commence a Sales and Marketing Process in respect of the Assets of the Company. In connection with the Sales and Marketing Process, the Receiver has consulted with various industry experts, including hotel real estate consultants and advisors, real estate brokers and Marriott Hotels. Given the nature of the Assets to be marketed, consisting of (a) individual unsold condominium units; (b) development lands surrounding the Hotel (approximately 50 lots); and (c) the residual interest in the Hotel itself, the Receiver intends to undertake a "twin track process" as described herein.
- The Receiver intends, upon Court approval, to retain Colliers International Hotels ("Colliers"), a brokerage house with international expertise in the marketing of hotel resort properties throughout the world, to undertake a sales and marketing process of all of the Assets on an en bloc basis (the "Institutional Sales Process"). The Receiver also intends, upon Court approval, to retain Baker Real Estate Incorporated ("Baker Real Estate"), a well-respected, Toronto based real estate brokerage firm to implement a retail sales and marketing program of the Company's unsold condominium units, as well as potentially the development lands surrounding the Hotel, on an individual unit or lot basis (the "Retail Sales Program").
- 5.3 In connection with the Institutional Sales Process, the Receiver held discussions and received proposals from two experienced brokerage houses. Meetings were held with each group, and the Receiver ultimately concluded that Colliers was the most suitable broker in the circumstances to be retained. In connection with the Retail Sales

Program, the Receiver met with four brokerage groups and obtained detailed proposals from each regarding specifically, how the unsold condominium units would be marketed for sale. Based on its due diligence, the Receiver concluded that Baker Real Estate would be the most suitable party to administer the Retail Sales Program.

The Institutional Sales Process:

- 5.4 Upon the Receiver obtaining Court approval, Colliers will commence the preparation of marketing materials in connection with the en bloc sale of the Assets. The Institutional Sales Process will seek to identify parties interested in the purchase of the Assets on an en bloc basis. Such a purchaser would essentially be acquiring the 84 unsold condominium units in the Hotel, plus the residual interest in the Hotel, which includes the Hotel's various commercial amenities such as its three restaurants, 15,000 square foot spa and 20,000 square foot conference centre, plus the undeveloped lands surrounding the Hotel. Colliers intends to undertake a robust sales and marketing process for the Assets which will include contacting all parties throughout the world which Colliers believes may have an interest in acquiring the Assets. In addition to utilizing its own database, Colliers intends to advertise the property for sale in various relevant industry publications and by way of email notification to institutional investors and high net-worth individuals. The Receiver has also been contacted by certain parties who have expressed interest in the Assets; these parties will also be included in the Institutional Sales Process.
- 5.5 In connection with the Institutional Sales Process, the Receiver and its legal counsel will work with Colliers to prepare all relevant sales and marketing materials,

including investment overview documents, offering memoranda, an appropriate form of confidentiality agreement, a draft form of asset purchase agreement for use by potential purchasers when submitting bids and a secure electronic dataroom to permit purchasers to conduct due diligence. On the advice of Colliers, the Receiver does not intend to set an asking price for the Assets, nor does it intend to set a date for submission of bids. Given the current economic environment and the nature of the Assets, it is Colliers' opinion, and the Receiver agrees, that either setting an asking price or a bid date at this time, may discourage interested parties from bidding on the Assets.

- Prior to commencing the formal marketing of the Assets, the Receiver and Colliers have agreed to work jointly to seek to obtain expressions of interest from lenders and other sources of debt capital financing who may have an interest in working with an equity sponsor to acquire the Assets. It is the Receiver's view that, to the extent such a source of capital could be pre-identified and pre-qualified, it may reduce the likelihood of a transaction not being completed due to a purchaser's inability to secure financing. In addition, the pre-identification and pre-qualification of available financing will assist to confirm value by indicating to purchasers the quantum of debt financing available to fund a transaction.
- 5.7 Given the nature of the Institutional Sales Process, the Receiver believes that offers for the Assets would likely not be received until later this year. The timing of receipt of offers pursuant to the Institutional Sales Process will allow for the completion of a full unit sales selling season pursuant to the Retail Sales Program.

Retail Sales Program:

- Upon the Receiver obtaining Court approval, Baker Real Estate will commence its advertising program and pre-launch sales administration in preparation for its major product launch, planned to be held onsite on August 13 and 15, 2009. Baker Real Estate will be working closely in conjunction with an advertising and marketing agency and the Receiver in the development of promotional material and the implementation of activities to increase awareness and generate sales leads for the unsold units prior to holding the major sales events at the Hotel. In addition, the Receiver plans to discuss and develop with Baker Real Estate a strategy to sell the undeveloped lands surrounding the Hotel, on a lot by lot basis.
- 5.9 In connection with the marketing of the unsold condominium units, Baker Real Estate and the Receiver will consider the Company's most recent pricing of the units, current market conditions, and appropriate terms to offer purchasers. The Receiver does not plan to undertake any liquidation sale of the unsold units. The Receiver plans to have unit sales conducted in an orderly manner, recognizing current market conditions.
- 5.10 The Receiver intends to arrange for representatives of Baker Real Estate to be located onsite at the Hotel to interact with Hotel guests and prospective purchasers, and to highlight the experience and opportunity of condominium ownership at the Hotel.
- 5.11 Baker Real Estate specializes in the project marketing and sales of new home communities, including condominiums, townhouses, and single family homes, as well as hotel condominiums and resort properties. In addition, Baker Real Estate has

worked on a number of real estate projects with receivers and is familiar with marketing and sales programs that are subject to a Court process. Baker Real Estate is experienced in the development and implementation of marketing and sales strategies, project sales and sales management, each an essential function necessary to succeed in this challenging marketplace.

Sales and Marketing Process Summary:

- 5.12 The Receiver believes that a "twin track process" will provide it with the greatest level of flexibility to maximize value to stakeholders. Due to the nature of both the Institutional Sales Process and the Retail Sales Program and the method of Asset sales to be employed (i.e. en bloc versus unit by unit), it is possible that the Retail Sales Program may yield total sale proceeds that, in the aggregate, would be greater than that realized by the Institutional Sales Process. However, the Retail Sales Program could take more time to complete, be more costly and result in a requirement by the Receiver to continue to fund any net operating losses of the Hotel throughout 2010.
- 5.13 The Receiver believes that by undertaking a twin track process throughout the summer/early fall of 2009, it will be in a better position to assess whether a Retail Sales Program will ultimately be successful in maximizing recoveries to stakeholders, or whether the Institutional Sales Process should continue to be pursued.
- 5.14 The Receiver plans to file before the return of this motion on July 8, 2009, a confidential supplementary report outlining the financial terms of the listing and marketing agreements with Colliers and Baker Real Estate.

6.0 Marriott Operating Agreements & Rental Pool Management

6.1 The following is a description of the principal agreements governing the management of the Hotel and the rental pool of condominium units.

Marriott Agreements:

- In connection with the planning, development, construction, completion, and subsequent management and operation of the Hotel, RRDI entered into a Hotel Management Agreement (the "Hotel Management Agreement") with Marriott Hotels along with other ancillary agreements with Marriott Hotels and/or its affiliates, as described below. The Receiver is including in this report descriptions of these agreements and the involvement of RRMSI which have been provided to the Receiver by its counsel.
- In addition to the Hotel Management Agreement, certain related or supplementary agreements were also executed with Marriott Hotels and/or its affiliates. These consist of a license and royalty agreement (the "License Royalty Agreement"), an international services agreement (the "International Services Agreement"), a technical services agreement (the "Technical Services Agreement") and a marketing license agreement (the "Marketing License Agreement") (all of these agreements together with the Hotel Management Agreement, collectively referred to herein as the "Marriott Agreements"). RRMSI and RRDI are both parties to all of the Marriott Agreements except for the Technical Services Agreement and the Marketing License Agreement, to which RRDI is a party alone.

6.4 For its services, Marriott Hotels and/or its affiliates are entitled pursuant to the Marriott Agreements to the payment of various fees which are not disclosed in this report given confidentiality restrictions. In general, the various fees Marriott Hotels and/or its affiliates are entitled to receive include a management fee, base royalties, incentive royalties if certain operating profit thresholds are exceeded, chain services fees, technical service fees during the construction of the Hotel and Introduction Fees (defined and described in more detail below) associated with the sale of Hotel units.

The Hotel Management Agreement:

- 6.5 The Hotel Management Agreement is the key agreement governing the relationship between RRDI, as the owner of the Hotel property and Marriott Hotels, as Hotel operator. The initial term of the Hotel Management Agreement is 25 years after the year in which the Hotel opens, with automatic renewal terms for each of four successive periods of ten years, unless Marriott Hotels elects not to renew the initial term or any renewal term. RRMSI is also a party to the Hotel Management Agreement and RRDI and RRMSI are collectively defined as the "Owner" therein.
- 6.6 Under the Hotel Management Agreement, the operation of the Hotel is placed under the exclusive supervision and control of Marriott Hotels. In fulfilling its obligations and in keeping with the "Marriott" system standards, Marriott Hotels has discretion and control in all matters relating to management and operation of the Hotel, subject to certain consultation rights provided to Owner.
- 6.7 As manager and operator, Marriott Hotels undertakes responsibility for all aspects of the Hotel operations, from employing the staff, to booking the facilities, to marketing

and promotion. In fulfilling its obligations under the Hotel Management Agreement, Marriott Hotels is not required to fund expenses of the Hotel and Marriott Hotels is not obliged to incur any liability or obligation with respect to the Hotel. Marriott Hotels collects all revenue of the Hotel and is responsible for applying it and distributing it in accordance with the Hotel Management Agreement. In the event that Marriott Hotels incurs any liability or obligation, Marriott Hotels is entitled to deduct these amounts from future distributions to the Owner if it has not otherwise been reimbursed.

- The treatment of gross revenue from the operations of the Hotel and distribution of operating profit, if any, and treatment of operating losses are outlined in the Hotel Management Agreement. Generally, Marriott Hotels is entitled to deduct all of the costs and expenses properly incurred in connection with the operation and management of the Hotel as a deduction from gross revenues. Any remaining amounts constitute operating profit, which is to be distributed to the Owner in accordance with the provisions of the Hotel Management Agreement. The Hotel Management Agreement does not specify which Owner (RRDI or RRMSI) is to receive payment of the operating profit, but permits Marriott Hotels to treat either Owner as the Owner for any purposes under the Hotel Management Agreement.
- 6.9 To the extent that deductions exceed gross revenues, the Hotel will incur an operating loss. Additional funds in the amount of any such operating loss must be provided by the Owner within thirty (30) days after requested in writing by Marriott Hotels. If the Owner does not fund such an operating loss within the thirty (30) day time period, Marriott Hotels has the right to withdraw an amount to cover such operating losses

from future distributions of funds otherwise due to the Owner. In addition to funding operating losses, the Owner is responsible for providing Marriott Hotels with sufficient working capital to carry on operations at the Hotel, to the extent that gross revenues are an insufficient source of cash for doing so.

Operating losses have been consecutively incurred at the Hotel since it opened in December 2008. While the Hotel is forecast to generate modest operating profits throughout the summer months of July to September 2009, these operating profits will not be sufficient to offset the operating losses incurred prior to July 2009, or forecast to be incurred during the balance of the year subsequent to September. In April 2009, the Syndicate funded the sum of approximately \$1.9 million to RRDI to reimburse Marriott Hotels for operating losses incurred to that point and to provide working capital for the period to May 31, 2009. In June 2009, the Receiver funded a further sum of approximately \$550,000 for additional operating losses and working capital requirements.

The Rental Pool:

6.11 The Hotel is a mixed-use condominium development. The units when purchased are owned by individual unit owners (the "Unit Owners"), who purchase the units as investments. Units are required to be included in a rental pool, by which units are to be made available for rent by guests at the Hotel. This requirement is key to the operation of the Hotel. All of the units are required to be available for rent to the public except during periods of Unit Owner use as agreed with each of the Unit Owners.

- Unit Owners execute and deliver a rental pool management agreement (the "Rental Pool Management Agreement") as a condition to the Unit Owner's purchase. The Owner is obligated to maintain and keep in full force and effect each of the Rental Pool Management Agreements, comply with all the obligations under each of the Rental Pool Management Agreements and take such actions as may be necessary to ensure compliance by the Unit Owners with respect to their obligations under the Rental Pool Management Agreements.
- 6.13 Consistent with these provisions of the Hotel Management Agreement, Unit Owners have been required by the terms of their APS to enter into a Rental Pool Management Agreement pursuant to which a rental pool manager was engaged to manage the rental of the Hotel units. The typical requirement in the APS is attached as Appendix "B". Among other things, Unit Owners are prohibited from leasing or permitting occupation of their units except as permitted by the Rental Pool Management Agreement; this is a fundamental requirement for the operation of the Hotel. The standard form of Rental Pool Management Agreement executed by purchasers on closing or on interim occupancy is attached as Appendix "C".

The Rental Pool Manager:

6.14 To date, the Rental Pool Management Agreements executed by Unit Owners each appoint RRMSI as the exclusive rental pool manager (the "Rental Pool Manager").

- 6.15 According to the condominium disclosure documents provided to each purchaser,
 RRDI "arranged" for RRMSI to act as the Rental Pool Manager with the exclusive
 right to manage the rental of the Hotel units as part of the rental pool in the Hotel.
- 6.16 RRMSI is a sister corporation of RRDI. As Rental Pool Manager, RRMSI was characterized in the condominium disclosure documents as a newly incorporated entity that had no prior history of managing rentals or rental pools. RRMSI was described as a single purpose entity which had no assets, and that its ability to fulfill its obligations to fund the ongoing operations of the rental pool may depend on its ability to arrange other sources of financing.
- 6.17 Given its status as Rental Pool Manager, RRMSI is a party to the Hotel Management Agreement. RRMSI is identified therein as the "Rental Pool Manager", and, jointly with RRDI, is identified as the Owner.
- 6.18 The obligations of RRDI and RRMSI under the Hotel Management Agreement are joint and several. The rights of either RRDI or RRMSI as the Owner can be exercised by either RRDI or RRMSI and any act or failure to act by, or with respect to either of them is treated as an act or failure to act by, or with respect to each of them and of the Owner. In its dealings with RRDI and/or RRMSI under the Hotel Management Agreement, Marriott Hotels is entitled to deal and interact with, and otherwise treat either of RRDI and RRMSI as the Owner.
- 6.19 Under the Hotel Management Agreement, RRMSI, as the Rental Pool Manager, delegated to Marriott Hotels substantially all of its obligations under the Rental Pool Management Agreements, except the obligation to provide periodic financial

statements to Unit Owners and to make distributions to Unit Owners, if and when available. As a result of this delegation, Marriott Hotels is generally responsible for managing the rental of the units in accordance with the requirements of the Rental Pool Management Agreements entered into with the Unit Owners and employs all staff necessary for the management and operation of the Hotel. The Receiver understands that RRMSI has one employee for the purposes of preparing periodic financial statements for Unit Owners.

6.20 To date, notwithstanding its joint obligation under the Hotel Management Agreement,
RRMSI has not participated in the funding of any net operating losses, working
capital deficiencies or pre-opening expenses that have been incurred by Marriott
Hotels. To the extent payments have been made to Marriot Hotels, these expenses
have been borne solely by RRDI and the Receiver.

The Rental Pool Management Agreements:

- 6.21 The Rental Pool Management Agreement contains provisions with respect to the periods of personal use by the Unit Owner and availability of the unit for rent to the public as part of the rental pool.
- 6.22 The Rental Pool Management Agreement outlines the duties and obligations of RRMSI as Rental Pool Manager, which includes the provision of services to the units, the accounting and distribution of proceeds to Unit Owners and other related services.

 As noted above, substantially all of these obligations have been delegated to Marriott Hotels under the terms of the Hotel Management Agreement.

- of Management Agreements provide that in the event that in a fiscal year, costs exceed revenue, the Rental Pool Manager agrees that it will be responsible for and will pay such costs to the extent of such deficiency. Given that the calculation of Owner's Net Rental Revenue pursuant to and as defined in the Rental Pool Management Agreement is different, and in some ways entirely unrelated, to the calculation of operating profits or operating losses pursuant to the Hotel Management Agreement, the structure of the Rental Pool Management Agreement is such that it is possible for RRMSI to be obligated to make distributions to Unit Owners regardless of whether or not operating profits are payable to the Owner by Marriott Hotels pursuant to the Hotel Management Agreement. The Receiver does not believe that RRMSI has any resources to meet such an obligation.
- 6.24 Under the Rental Pool Management Agreement, RRMSI and the Unit Owner confirm that Marriott Hotels, although not a party to the Rental Pool Management Agreement, is nevertheless a third party beneficiary thereof. As such, the parties confer on Marriott Hotels the benefit of the covenants of the Unit Owner in favour of the Rental Pool Manager, and the ability to enforce the rights and privileges of the Rental Pool Manager against the Unit Owner. As a result, Marriott is granted the right to enforce all obligations of the Unit Owners.

Rental Pool Covenant:

6.25 In addition to the requirement in each APS for the purchaser to enter into a Rental Pool Management Agreement, a rental pool covenant (the "Rental Pool Covenant") has been registered on title to the units and common elements of the condominiums.

for the purposes of facilitating the operation of the Hotel and the rental pool. A copy of the Rental Pool Covenant is attached as Appendix "D".

The Rental Pool Covenant restricts a unit from, among other things, being used for any purpose other than the personal use permitted by the Rental Pool Management Agreement or for rent to the public as part of the rental pool under the Rental Pool Management Agreement. In addition, the covenant requires that each Hotel unit must only be available and offered for rent to the public as part of the rental pool as operated and managed by the rental pool manager. "Rental Pool Manager" is defined in the covenant as the person named as rental pool manager from time to time under the Rental Pool Management Agreements whose responsibility it is to manage and operate the Hotel and the rental pool and includes any person to whom its responsibilities have been delegated in accordance with the Rental Pool Management Agreements.

Assignment of Hotel Management Agreement:

RRDI and RRMSI have each assigned to WestLB, as administrative agent for the Syndicate, all of their right, title and interest in and to the Hotel Management Agreement and all monies or sums payable to them thereunder, for its benefit and the benefit of the Syndicate (the "Assignment"), a copy of which is attached as Appendix "E", as security for the funds advanced to RRDI under the credit agreement executed by RRDI and WestLB (the "Credit Agreement"). Marriott Hotels has consented to such assignment pursuant to the Subordination, Non-Disturbance and Attornment Agreement, a copy of which is attached as Appendix "F".

Credit Agreement:

- Under the Credit Agreement between RRDI and the Syndicate dated February 1, 2007, WestLB agreed to permit RRDI to enter into certain "Affiliate Transactions" with affiliates of RRDI, as set out on Schedule II to the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement provides that "all rights and remedies and options under an Affiliate Transaction may be terminated by the Administrative Agent without premium or penalty after an Event of Default has occurred and is continuing."
- 6.29 Schedule II identifies the Affiliate Transactions. Included on that Schedule is the following: "The Rosseau Resort Management Services Inc. will provide any services required in the areas of Rental Pool and/or Property Management responsibilities."
- 6.30 It does not appear that there is a written agreement between RRDI and RRMSI delegating the role of Rental Pool Manager to RRMSI. It appears from the disclosure statements, the Hotel Management Agreement, and the reference in the Credit Agreement that there is an implied agreement as between RRDI and RRMSI to delegate the responsibilities as Rental Pool Manager to RRMSI, which were then delegated to Marriott Hotels.

- 7.1 In order to effectively sell the Assets, the Receiver needs to address the Rental Pool Management Agreements, which are inconsistent with the Hotel Management Agreement and are with a party outside of the receivership, and has commenced discussions with the stakeholders in this regard.
- 7.2 In light of the Assignment of the Hotel Management Agreement in favour of WestLB and the delegation of substantially all management responsibilities to Marriott Hotels, it appears as though RRMSI has no further practical ability to continue to perform any services as Rental Pool Manager under the Rental Pool Management Agreements. Furthermore, the Receiver understands that RRMSI has no ability to fund any distributions to Unit Owners pursuant to the Rental Pool Management Agreements in respect of the calculation of Owner's Net Rental Revenue.
- asking for RRMSI's consensual agreement to assign the Rental Pool Management Agreements to RRDI for the effective administration of the receivership. A copy of the letter is attached as Appendix "G". At a meeting with representatives of Ken Fowler Enterprises Inc. ("KFE") and Stikeman Elliott LLP, KFE's legal counsel, on or about June 19, 2009, this issue, among other things, was discussed. It is the intention of the Receiver to communicate further with legal counsel to KFE and RRMSI to renew its request for arrangements to be put in place on a co-operative basis for the effective management of the rental pool and to facilitate a transfer of the business and the rental pool in a sale process, without having to assert various legal remedies available to the Receiver and WestLB.

- 7.4 Of immediate concern is the possibility of distribution of operating profits. Marriott is required to pay Operating Profits, as defined in and in accordance with the Hotel Management Agreement, to the Owner, without specification as to whether the payee is RRDI or RRMSI. Marriott is entitled to treat either RRDI or RRMSI as the Owner and understandably seeks certainty as to whom it should pay Operating Profits, if and when any are payable.
- 7.5 The Receiver seeks direction from the Court that Marriott Hotels be directed to pay any Operating Profits that may be payable under the Hotel Management Agreement to the Receiver, subject to further directions from the Court, should Operating Profits be generated over the summer months and Marriott Hotels elects not to apply such profits against previously incurred Operating Losses as defined in the Hotel Management Agreement, as it has the right to do pursuant to the Hotel Management Agreement.
- 7.6 Marriott Hotels has advised the Receiver, and it is the Receiver's opinion, based on its independent review of the Hotel's operating forecast, that the Hotel will generate Operating Profits between July and September 2009, but will then subsequently incur Operating Losses for the balance of 2009. The Operating Profits generated during the July to September 2009 period are not expected to be sufficient to offset the Operating Losses that the Hotel has already incurred during the year or is forecast to incur during the balance of the year.

- As noted above, the Hotel Management Agreement has a term of 25 years, with successive automatic renewal terms. The Receiver is not in a position to assume and adopt the Hotel Management Agreement, as it is not practical or appropriate for the Receiver to undertake such a long term obligation. In addition, the Receiver intends to market the property for sale, pursuant to the Sales and Marketing Process. While the Sales and Marketing Process will seek to identify purchasers interested in retaining Marriott Hotels as the Hotel operator, it is possible that a purchaser may wish to install its own Hotel operator. While Marriott Hotels has expressed its desire to the Receiver to continue to operate the Hotel, the Receiver must maintain its ability to repudiate the Marriott Agreements.
- In order to proceed with the Sales and Marketing Process, it was necessary for the Receiver to address the Marketing License Agreement, pursuant to which International Hotel Licensing Company s.a.r.l ("IHLC") licensed important rights to RRDI for the purposes of marketing and selling the Hotel units using the "Marriott" trademarks (the "Marriott Trademarks"). RRDI was granted a non-exclusive, non-transferable license within Ontario and the United Kingdom to use the Marriott Trademarks in written materials prepared in connection with the sale of Hotel units. The Marketing License Agreement also provides IHLC with various approval rights. In consideration for the rights granted to RRDI pursuant to the Marketing License Agreement, RRDI is required to pay to IHLC an "Introduction Fee" on the sale of each unit calculated on the gross sale proceeds generated for a particular unit, in

excess of a price of \$450 per square foot, less agreed upon taxes, commissions and closing costs, up to a cumulative total cap on Introduction Fees of \$1.2 million.

8.4 Arrears are currently outstanding under the Marketing License Agreement in the amount of approximately \$675,000, for amounts due and owing by RRDI arising prior to the receivership.

The New Marriott Marketing License Agreement:

- 8.5 The Receiver has conducted extensive negotiations with Marriott Hotels since the commencement of the receivership with a view to normalizing arrangements with Marriott Hotels, without adopting or affirming any of the Marriott Agreements. It is anticipated that the Receiver will shortly conclude an arrangement with Marriott Hotels for the interim management and operation of the Hotel by Marriott Hotels during the course of the receivership, for which it will seek Court approval.
- 8.6 In the meantime, the conclusion of arrangements with Marriott Hotels in respect of the Marketing License Agreement is of particular importance in connection with the Sales and Marketing Process. Without the benefit of the rights licensed pursuant to the Marketing License Agreement, the Receiver is not entitled to market or sell any of the unsold Hotel units using the Marriot Trademarks.
- 8.7 In order to facilitate the commencement, as soon as possible, of the Sales and Marketing Process, the Receiver, Marriott Hotels and IHLC have, subject to Court approval, agreed to the terms of an agreement (the "New Marriott Marketing License Agreement") whereby the Receiver will be permitted to use the Marriott Trademarks,

in consideration of the payment of an increased introduction fee per unit closing (the "New Introduction Fee") that recognizes the past due amount owing to IHLC under the Marketing License Agreement, and the amount that will arise on the sale and be payable on the closing of future Unit transactions.

- 8.8 The following terms will form the basis for the New Marriott Marketing License Agreement:
 - (a) The New Introduction Fee will be paid by the Receiver for each unit closing on the basis of a calculation of 5% of unit gross sale revenue ("Unit Gross Revenue") for the remaining 64 units that are subject to APS but have not yet closed (the "64 Units"). Unit Gross Revenue does not include the cost of sale/leaseback obligations, mortgage rate pay-downs, or other rebates or sale incentives resulting in a reduction of the amounts payable on closing for the 64 Units;
 - (b) The total New Introduction Fee will not exceed \$1.2 million (this cap is in the same amount as was provided for in the Marketing License Agreement with RRDI);
 - (c) Out of each New Introduction Fee for each of the 64 Units closing, the Receiver will withhold the sum equal to 20% of the New Introduction Fee, subject to an aggregate cap on such holdback in the amount of \$200,000 (the "Holdback");
 - (d) The Holdback shall be payable to IHLC at the end of 2009, if, for the periods 7 to 13 of the Hotel's operations (the "Holdback Period"), as such periods are provided for in Marriott Hotels' annual forecast, there is no loss on a cumulative basis. If no loss is realized, IHLC will be entitled to receive the full amount of the Holdback. If the

entire projected loss for the period of approximately \$304,000 is realized (the "Projected Loss"), IHLC will forfeit the whole amount of the Holdback. Any partial reduction of the Projected Loss will entitle IHLC to payment of a portion of the Holdback calculated on the basis of the percentage that the Projected Loss has been reduced;

- (e) In the event that IHLC does not earn New Introduction Fees up to the cap of \$1.2 million from the closing of the 64 Units, IHLC will be entitled to earn the balance of the New Introduction Fee on the closing of the sale of the remaining Units to be sold by the Receiver, which are not yet subject to agreements of purchase and sale (the "Remaining Units"), provided that, any amount of the Holdback that has been forfeited as provided for at paragraph (d) above, shall not be recoverable on the closings of the Remaining Units;
- (f) It is a condition of the New Marriott Marketing License Agreement to obtain, as part of the Court Order approving these terms, a provision barring any claims against Marriott Hotels, IHLC, and affiliates, that may be asserted relating to the use of the Marriott Trademarks by the Receiver or any of its agents in their promotion, marketing, and sale of the Remaining Units. The Receiver has agreed with IHLC that the Retail Sales Program using the Marriott Trademarks will only be conducted in Canada; and
- (g) The New Marketing License Agreement will be in a form agreeable to the parties, either as a new agreement, or as an amendment to the existing Marketing License Agreement.

8.9 Marriott Hotels acknowledges that the Receiver has not, by entering into the New Marketing License Agreement, adopted or affirmed any of the Marriott Agreements, and reserves all rights of repudiation. The parties intend to continue negotiations and discussions in respect of an interim arrangement for the management and operation of the Hotel.

9.0 Distribution of Condominium Closing Costs Held by McCarthy Tetrault LLP

- 9.1 In order to facilitate final closings of the Hotel condominium units, RRDI needed to agree on a protocol with the Syndicate, Fortress Credit Corp. ("Fortress") and Travelers Guarantee Company of Canada ("Travelers") (ie, the three mortgage lenders) to determine the basis upon which net closing proceeds would be distributed in return for such mortgage lenders discharging their mortgage security over the sold units.
- The Receiver's counsel advises that the agreed protocol with the Syndicate, Fortress and Travelers included the following documents and terms. RRDI and McCarthys signed and delivered three joint undertakings (collectively, the "joint undertakings"), one dated March 25, 2009, one dated April 9, 2009 and one dated April 20, 2009, each in favour of the Syndicate, and its solicitors, Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP, Travelers, and its solicitors, Baker Schneider Ruggiero LLP, and Fortress, and its solicitors, Goodmans LLP. A copy of the three joint undertakings is attached as Appendix "H". Each of the joint undertakings is identical in form and substance, other than the reference in paragraph 1(b) thereof to a particular authorization and direction from WestLB to McCarthys setting out the terms upon which McCarthys was authorized to discharge the Syndicate security.
- 9.3 Pursuant to the joint undertakings, RRDI and McCarthys undertook and agreed that as long as any amounts continued to be owed to the Syndicate under the Syndicate's security, McCarthys would remit the Net Closing Proceeds (as defined therein) received by McCarthys in connection with the final closing of each unit sale

transaction by wire transfer to the Syndicate within one business day after McCarthys' receipt of such funds.

- 9.4 Pursuant to paragraph 1(c) of each of the joint undertakings "Net Closing Proceeds" is defined as follows:
 - "(c) for the purposes hereof, "Net Closing Proceeds" for any unit means the balance due on closing in accordance with the final Statement of Adjustments related to the sale of that unit <u>less</u> the following:
 - (i) any goods and services taxes ("GST"), retail sales taxes and non-resident withholding taxes included therein;
 - (ii) an amount equal to 4.5% of the net sales price for that unit (net of incentives, including sale-leaseback incentives credited to the purchaser on closing) (the "Closing Costs Holdback"). The Closing Costs Holdback shall be held in trust by McCarthy deposited into an account to be specified by WestLB (which shall be pledged in favour of WestLB) and shall be used to pay closing costs comprised of brokerage commissions and other reasonable closing costs (including legal fees and disbursements) subject to the prior approval of WestLB acting reasonably and without delay in accordance with a control agreement in favour of WestLB, provided that McCarthy shall be entitled to deduct and to pay the following on closing:
 - A. brokerage commissions which are required to be paid as a term of the agreement of purchase and sale for the unit plus GST; and

- B. the levy payable to the Law Society of Upper Canada respecting the sale of the unit plus GST;
- (iii) amounts collected from purchasers on account of estimated realty taxes which shall be held in trust by McCarthy and paid to the Township of Muskoka Lakes to be applied against the realty taxes attributable to the unit (including realty taxes pursuant to a supplementary tax bill when issued);
- (iv) the entry fees agreed to be paid by RRDI pursuant to the applicable sale agreement payable to Red Leaves Resort Association on behalf of the purchaser and RRDI being a total of 0.5% of the sale price of the unit (excluding furniture and equipment) plus GST;
- (v) the following amounts (plus GST) agreed to be paid by RRDI pursuant to the applicable sale agreement in respect of certain Hotel units:
 - A. the estimated realty taxes attributable to the unit covering the period of three years following the occupancy date to be paid by McCarthys to the Township of Muskoka Lakes for credit to the tax account for the unit;
 - B. the estimated common expenses attributable to the unit for the period from the closing date until the third anniversary of the occupancy date of the unit to be paid by McCarthy to Muskoka Standard Condominium Corporation No. 62 for credit to the account of that unit;

- C. the estimated fees for telecommunications service (including telephone, satellite television and internet service) attributable to the unit for the period from the closing date until the third anniversary of the occupancy date of the unit to be paid by McCarthy to the Rental Pool Manager, The Rosseau Resort Management Services Inc., in trust, for credit to the account for that unit; and
- D. the basic annual fee payable to the Red Leaves Resort Association (being \$1.00 per annum per square foot of the area of the unit for the period of three years following the occupancy date of the unit to be paid by McCarthy to Red Leaves Resort Association for credit to the account for that unit;
- (vi) [a certain sum] agreed to be paid by RRDI pursuant to the applicable sale agreement to cover the fees payable for Marriott Gold membership for a period of two years as listed on the spreadsheet provided to WestLB entitled Minimum Sales Prices Schedule VII dated March 16, 2009 (the "Spreadsheet") to be paid by McCarthy to Marriott Hotels of Canada Limited;
- (vii) the value of the Indulgence Card agreed to be issued pursuant to the applicable sale agreement to the purchaser of the unit on closing, if any, as shown on the Spreadsheet with such amount to be paid by McCarthy to RRDI to be held in trust and applied to satisfy amounts charged against the Indulgence Card. Upon request by WestLB, RRDI will transfer or cause

McCarthy to transfer the amounts deducted hereunder less any amounts previously paid to satisfy amounts charged against the Indulgence Card to an account designated by WestLB provided that such account will be subject to a control agreement between WestLB and RRDI in form satisfactory to both acting reasonably and which will, *inter alia*, provide for payment of amounts charged against the Indulgence Card;

- (viii) [a certain sum] agreed to be paid by RRDI pursuant to the applicable sale agreement to cover the fees for two years membership in the Resort to Resort Program as listed on the Spreadsheet to be paid by McCarthy to Intrawest Resort to Resort; and
- (ix) the amount of common expenses agreed to be paid by RRDI pursuant to the applicable sale agreements on behalf of the purchasers of those units as listed on the Spreadsheet to be paid by McCarthy to Muskoka Standard Condominium Corporation No. 62 for credit to the accounts for those units."
- McCarthys is holding \$1,411,626.66 on account of the Closing Costs Holdback (as defined in paragraph 1(c)(ii) of each joint undertaking) in respect of the 73 unit sale transactions that have closed. Pursuant to paragraph 1(c)(ii) of each joint undertaking, the Closing Costs Holdback was to be used to pay closing costs comprised of brokerage commissions and other reasonable closing costs (including legal fees and disbursements) subject to the prior approval of the Syndicate, acting reasonably. Closing costs also specifically included the levy payable to the Law Society of Upper Canada respecting the sale of each unit plus GST. \$3,832.50, in the

aggregate, is due to the Law Society of Upper Canada in respect of the 73 completed unit sale transactions(the "Law Society Levy").

- 9.6 By e-mail transmission dated April 17, 2009 from McCarthys to the Syndicate's legal counsel, McCarthys provided (i) a list of real estate commissions payable in respect of sales completed in March 2009 showing an aggregate amount owing of \$97,969.77 (based on information received from their client), and (ii) a copy of their accounts respecting closing (invoice no. 2314578 dated March 20, 2009 in the amount of \$37,835.18 and invoice no. 2318439 dated April 8, 2009 in the amount of \$107,049.24). By subsequent e-mail transmission dated April 30, 2009 from McCarthys to the Syndicate's legal counsel, McCarthys sent a revised list of real estate commissions owing in respect of March sales in the revised aggregate amount of \$90,372.82.
- 9.7 By e-mail transmission dated May 1, 2009 from McCarthys to the Syndicate's legal counsel, McCarthys provided a list of real estate commissions payable in respect of sales completed in April 2009 showing an aggregate amount owing of \$906,417.69, resulting in a total of \$996,790.51 in respect of real estate commissions owing in respect of March and April closings.
- 9.8 The Receiver was subsequently advised by McCarthys that RRDI had reviewed the amount of the real estate commissions and determined that the total liability was substantially less.
- 9.9 By letter dated May 8, 2009, McCarthys forwarded to the Syndicate's legal counsel a statement of their outstanding and unpaid invoices (together with copies of the

invoices) which McCarthys stated was to be covered by the Closing Costs Holdback. The invoices were in the aggregate amount of \$538,630.13. Of that amount, \$220,183.13 is in respect of closing costs related to closing the specific unit sale transactions and are comprised of the two invoices previously forwarded to the Syndicate's legal counsel on April 17, 2009, together with an additional invoice dated May 6, 2009 in the amount of \$75,298.71. The Receiver's legal counsel has been advised by McCarthys that \$314,240.48 is in respect of costs related to the condominium as a whole (i.e. the legal work required in connection with the registration of the resort condominium) and the remaining \$4,206.52 is in respect of other matters including trademark matters and securities law matters.

- 9.10 McCarthys' position is that the phrase "including legal fees and disbursements" in paragraph 1(c)(ii) of each joint undertaking is entirely general, and is a deemed inclusion into "reasonable closing costs", and thus entitles McCarthys to be paid all of its outstanding accounts from such Closing Costs Holdback. In the event that "legal fees and disbursements" should be limited by the phrase "reasonable closing costs", then McCarthys' position is that "reasonable closing costs" properly includes the legal costs and disbursements of completing the condominium registration. The closing of individual condominium units by a condominium developer necessarily includes and requires such legal costs and disbursements.
- 9.11 The Receiver's legal counsel has reviewed the language of paragraph 1(c)(ii) of each joint undertaking and has provided the Receiver with its opinion that a trust was created that provided for the Closing Costs Holdback to be used to satisfy certain obligations in respect of closing costs with the remainder to be paid to WestLB.

However, since there is some question as to whether all of McCarthys' legal fees properly comprise "closing costs" and as the liability owed to real estate agents still needs to be verified, the Receiver recommends the following: (1) McCarthys will continue to hold in trust \$538,630.13 of the Closing Costs Holdback representing the amount McCarthys is claiming they are owed from such Closing Costs Holdback, but will not make any distributions from such amount except as set out below; (2) the balance of the Closing Costs Holdback (the "Commission and Levy Funds") will be transferred by McCarthys to the Receiver; (3) the Receiver will be entitled to pay the real estate agents the commission owed to them from the Commission and Levy Funds, on receipt of proof satisfactory to the Receiver of their claim, and the Receiver will be entitled to remit the Law Society Levy provided that the Receiver shall not make any such distributions until (A) all of the real estate agent claims have been ascertained, and (B) the Receiver is satisfied that the amount of Commission and Levy Funds is sufficient to satisfy each proven real estate agent claim and the Law Society Levy. If the Receiver is satisfied that the amount of Commission and Levy Funds is sufficient to satisfy each proven real estate agent claim and the Law Society Levy, then the Receiver requests that this Honourable Court authorize it to direct McCarthys to pay itself \$220,183.13 from the portion of the Closing Costs Holdback retained by them, but McCarthys is not to make any further distributions from such fund without Court approval. If the Receiver is not satisfied that the amount of Commission and Levy Funds is sufficient to satisfy each proven real estate agent claim and the Law Society Levy, then the Receiver will seek further direction of the Court.

Other Incentive Holdbacks:

- 9.12 As noted in paragraph 9.4 above, in addition to the Closing Costs Holdback, there were several other deductions from the balance due on the closing of each unit sale transaction in determining the "Net Closing Proceeds" that were to be remitted to the Syndicate.
- 9.13 The Receiver has been advised by its legal counsel that McCarthys has advised it that it is holding the following amounts:
 - (a) \$15,418.50 on account of GST, and \$92,806.08 on account of RST, as contemplated in paragraph 1(c)(i) of each joint undertaking;
 - (b) \$211,880.32 representing amounts collected from purchasers on account of estimated realty taxes to be held in trust by McCarthys for such purchasers to be applied against such purchasers' future realty tax liability (as contemplated in paragraph 1(c)(iii) of each joint undertaking);
 - (c) remaining balance of \$3,263.58 on account of the Red Leaves Resort Association entry fee, as contemplated in paragraph 1(c)(iv) of each joint undertaking (\$48,401.20 was remitted by McCarthys to the Resort Association by cheque dated April 7, 2009 in the amount of \$14,968.14 and cheque dated May 11, 2009 in the amount of \$33,433.06);
 - (d) \$4,704.00 on account of Marriott Gold membership fee, as contemplated in paragraph 1(c)(vi) of each joint undertaking;

- (e) \$26,444.55 on account of the Resort to Resort fee, as contemplated in paragraph 1(c)(viii) of each joint undertaking;
- (f) \$210,000.00 on account of indulgence cards, as contemplated in paragraph 1(c)(vii) of each joint undertaking;
- (g) \$1,134,407.35 on account of common expenses, as contemplated in paragraph 1(c)(ix) of each joint undertaking; and
- (h) the following amounts as contemplated in paragraph 1(c)(v) of each joint undertaking: \$20,813.62 (paragraph 1(c)(v)(A) for realty taxes); \$37,751.32 (paragraph 1(c)(v)(B) for common expenses); \$5,670.00 (paragraph 1(c)(v)(C) for telecommunications fees); and \$2,812.95 (paragraph 1(c)(v)(D) for basic annual fee to Resort Association).
- 9.14 Other than in respect of the items in paragraphs 9.13(a) and (b) above, where the Receiver has received an opinion from its legal counsel that a trust exists, further review is required to determine if RRDI has any claims with respect to the balance of the funds. The Receiver will be seeking further direction from the Court after such review.

10.0 Proposed Process for Determination of Priority Lien Claims

- 10.1 The Receiver has investigated how best to address the construction lien claims which have been advanced. The CLA provides a complete code for the administration and determination of lien claims, including questions of holdback.
- 10.2 A lien claimant must register a lien claim on title to preserve its claim for lien. The lien claimant then has a limited period of time in which it must "perfect" its lien claim (45 days from the last day on which a lien could be registered), by commencing an action by way of statement of claim (and registering a certificate of action on title). A defendant has 20 days from service of the statement of claim to file a defence. The Appointment Order permits steps being taken by a lien claimant to perfect its claim, but otherwise stays the action against RRDI.
- 10.3 After pleadings have closed, outside of receivership, the normal procedure in Toronto is for a party, generally the lien claimant, to bring a motion before a Judge for a judgment directing a reference pursuant to the CLA and Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 10.4 Such judgment refers the action to a construction lien master. A request is normally made to consolidate all lien actions relating to the same improvement or project. The Receiver could bring a motion seeking a reference and consolidation of all lien actions in respect of the project to a construction lien master or case management master in Toronto.
- Instead, the Receiver proposes a claims process for the lien claims in substantially the same manner as a standard claims process in a receivership or CCAA proceeding. In particular, the claims process order would provide the Receiver with the authorization

and direction to review and allow or disallow claims, fully or partially, within a prescribed period of time, during which it would be required to issue notices of disposition in respect of each claim. Lien claimants would have the right to appeal any disallowance by motion to the Commercial List on timetables agreed to by the interested parties and approved by the Court. The claims process order would dispense with the requirement for RRDI, through the Receiver, to deliver statements of defence to each lien claim and would also provide that no parties could commence default proceedings against any defendants in any lien action, so that there is a cost-effective, co-ordinated process supervised by the Receiver.

10.6 The Receiver proposes to review with legal counsel for the lien claimants a draft claims process order, for anticipated approval on July 8, 2009.

- 11.1 In addition to the activities of the Receiver as set out in this Second Report, the following is a summary of other activities that the Receiver has engaged in since the date of the First Report.
- 11.2 Since the date of the First Report, the Receiver has worked closely with its legal counsel to review and respond to various requests for information pursuant to Section 39 of the CLA, submitted by those parties who have registered construction liens against the Assets of the Company. The Receiver has not yet completed its work to respond to all such information requests; however, it is working diligently to do so and intends to be able to respond within the timeframes stipulated in the CLA. Notwithstanding that the Receiver has not yet responded in all cases, the Receiver's counsel has responded to counsel to each of the construction lien claimants requesting information pursuant to the CLA, to advise of the Receiver's obligations and intentions to respond to such requests.
- Subsequent to its appointment, the Receiver engaged O'Connor Associates Environmental Inc. ("O'Connor"), environmental consultants and engineers, to undertake a Phase I environmental assessment of the Company's property. O'Connor attended at the property to inspect the lands and review relevant information and documentation. As at the date of this Second Report, the Phase I environmental assessment conducted by O'Connor has been substantially completed. While the assessment did identify some relatively minor environmental issues, a determination by the Receiver and O'Connor on the necessity to proceed with a Phase II

environmental assessment has not yet been made. The Receiver will advise this Honourable Court of the outcome thereof in a subsequent report.

- 11.4 The Receiver has met with and corresponded with both Tarion Warranty Corporation ("Tarion") and Travelers to discuss relevant issues concerning both the ongoing Tarion warranty coverage and the ultimate release of deposit funds being held by Travelers. In both cases, legal counsel for the Receiver and legal counsel for Travelers and Tarion are continuing to review relevant documentation and agreements. In the interim, the Appointment Order grants a stay against Tarion preventing it from altering or terminating the warranty coverage afforded to the Company and unit purchasers. The Receiver intends to provide this Honourable Court with an update regarding this matter in a subsequent report.
- 11.5 The Receiver has met and held discussions with representatives of KFE on matters relating to the administration of the Company and the Hotel and the ultimate divestiture of the Assets. KFE has advised the Receiver of its interest to work cooperatively with it in maximizing proceeds to all stakeholders. In that regard, the Receiver will continue to maintain an ongoing dialogue with KFE representatives to work through matters relating to maximizing recoveries and also to coordinate addressing the various interconnected issues between the Company and its affiliates.
- 11.6 The Receiver has also met and/or corresponded with various other stakeholders that have an interest in the Company and/or the Hotel and is responding appropriately to all relevant enquiries.

12.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

- 12.1 Attached as Appendix "I" is the Receiver's statement of Receipts and Disbursements for the period ended June 30, 2009. As previously indicated, Altus has advised the Receiver that it expects that the completion of major construction works will be completed by the end of July 2009, and that the works will be completed within the budget described in the A&M Report.
- 12.2 As described in this Second Report, the Receiver has been working with all of the Company's various stakeholders to advance construction, stabilize operations, and develop the sales and marketing strategy to maximize realizations for all stakeholders involved.
- 12.3 The Receiver respectfully requests that this Honourable Court:
 - Authorize the Receiver to commence the proposed Sales and Marketing Process and to enter into agreements with Colliers and Baker Real Estate;
 - Authorize the Receiver to enter into the New Marriott Marketing License Agreement with Marriott Hotels and/or IHLC;
 - Issue an order directing Marriott Hotels to pay any operating profit that may be payable under the Hotel Management Agreement to the Receiver, pending further order of the Court;
 - Direct McCarthys to transfer to the Receiver the Commission and Levy Funds, and authorize the Receiver to distribute certain of those Commission and Levy Funds on the basis as described in Section 9 herein;

- Approve an Order authorizing and directing the Receiver to implement and administer the construction lien claim process; and
- Approve the Receiver's activities from the date of the First Report to the date of this Second Report.

* * *

All of which is respectfully submitted, this 3rd day of July, 2009

ALVAREZ & MARSAL CANADA ULC &
McINTOSH & MORAWETZ INC. IN THEIR CAPACITIES AS
CONSTRUCTION LIEN ACT TRUSTEE AND RECEIVER AND MANAGER,
AND INTERIM RECEIVER, RESPECTIVELY, OF THE ASSETS OF
THE ROSSEAU RESORT DEVELOPMENTS INC.

Per:

Richard A. Morawetz