



SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
COUR SUPÉRIEURE DE JUSTICE

*361 University Avenue
Toronto, ON M5G 1T3*

Telephone: (416) 327-5284 Fax: (416) 327-5417

FAX COVER SHEET

Date: February 10, 2009

TO:

E. Sellers, J. Dacks and J. MacDonald

M. Forte

J. Carfagnini & L. J. Latham

FAX NO.:

416-862-6666

416-216-3930

416-979-1234

FROM:

Cathy Lanni, Secretary to The Honourable Mr. Justice Morawetz

TOTAL PAGES (INCLUDING COVER PAGE): 2

MESSAGE:

**InterTAN – Addendum to Reasons Released
November 26, 2008
#08-CV-7841**

The Information contained in this facsimile message is confidential information. If the person actually receiving this facsimile or any other reader of the facsimile is not the named recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the named recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address

Original will NOT follow. If you do not receive all pages, please telephone us immediately at the above number.

COURT FILE NO.: 08-CV-7841

DATE: 20090210

**SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE – ONTARIO
(COMMERCIAL LIST)**

**RE: IN THE MATTER OF THE *COMPANIES' CREDITORS*
 ARRANGEMENT ACT, R.S.C. 1985, c. C-36, AS AMENDED**

**AND IN THE MATTER OF A PLAN OF COMPROMISE OR
ARRANGEMENT OF INTERTAN CANADA LTD. AND
TOURMALET CORPORATION**

APPLICANTS

BEFORE: MORAWETZ J.

COUNSEL: E. Sellers, J. Dacks and J. MacDonald for the Applicants

M. Forte, for Bank of America, N.A.

J. Carfagnini & L. J. Latham for Alvarez and Marsal Canada Inc.

ADDENDUM TO THE REASONS RELEASED NOVEMBER 26, 2008

[1] At the conclusion of oral reasons that were delivered on November 26, 2008, I indicated that I would provide an addendum to the reasons.

[2] In my reasons I provided comment and direction as to the expectations of the court in the event of future *ex parte* applications of this nature. Such commentary is not to be taken as being in any way critical of counsel to the applicants in this case. All significant issues were clearly identified by counsel in the materials submitted and were highlighted in oral argument. I am also appreciative of counsel to the applicants for having taken the initiative of involving the proposed monitor prior to the initial application.


MORAWETZ J.

DATE: February 10, 2009