

FAMILY LAWYER MAGAZINE

Fall 2019

Technology & the Future of Family Law

**Online Dispute Resolution:
Good News or Bad News?**

**Survey Results: Technology
& Indispensable Apps**

**Obtaining & Presenting
Electronic Evidence**

**Reducing Manual Work
to Improve Well-Being**



Lawyers' Addiction & Mental Health Issues

**Does an Expert Witness' Opinion
Depend on Who Hired Them?**

**Challenging Retention Bias and
Adversarial Allegiance in Court**

Trust Accounts Dos & Don'ts

Forensic CPAs in Divorce Cases

Get new clients through
Pay-Per-Click advertising!
See page 26.

www.FamilyLawyerMagazine.com



The Economic Reality of Maintenance Post TCJA

The TCJA reduced the amount of after-tax income available to pay maintenance, but other TCJA changes may have positively impacted the marital balance sheet.

By Arik Van Zandt, Business Valuator

The *Tax Cut and Jobs Act* (TCJA) – signed into law on December 22, 2017, with most changes in effect since January 2018 – has resulted in significant tax changes across the board. In terms of the TCJA's impact on family law, how maintenance payments are treated for tax purposes may be one of the most significant. As you likely already know, maintenance payments related to separation agreements signed on January 1, 2019, or later are no longer tax deductible for the payor and no longer taxable for the recipient. But what does that actually mean for the divorcing parties? Let's walk through an example together and find out.

In this example, the income-producing spouse generates \$250,000 in pretax (adjusted gross income) annual income, which the parties have agreed to split equally on an after-tax basis for a specified period of time. Additionally, for simplicity purposes, let's assume that the parties do not qualify for any tax credits.

Exhibit 1

As you can see from Exhibit 1, pre-TCJA, total available after-tax income to be divided would have been \$15,111 a month – or \$7,555 to each party.

Exhibit 1: Pre-TCJA				
Income Producing Spouse				
Total Income			\$	250,000
Less: Maintenance				(116,173)
Taxable Income			\$	133,827
Taxes				
Less: Federal Income Tax	22.8%		\$	(30,453)
Less: Social Security Tax	3.2%			(7,961)
Less: Medicare Tax	1.9%			(4,750)
Total Taxes	32.3%		\$	(43,163)
Income After Maint. & Taxes			\$	90,664
Monthly Income			\$	7,555
Non-Income Producing Spouse				
Income from Maintenance			\$	116,173
Less: Federal Income Tax	22.0%			(25,510)
Income After Taxes			\$	90,664
Monthly Income			\$	7,555
Total Available After-Tax Monthly Income			\$	15,111

Exhibit 2

Post-TCJA, excluding any changes related to maintenance, the reduction in personal income tax rates that were part of the TCJA would have resulted in more after-tax income: approximately \$615 more (see Exhibit 2, below).

Exhibit 2: Post-TCJA, ex. Maintenance Changes			
Income Producing Spouse			
Total Income		\$	250,000
Less: Maintenance			(116,638)
Taxable Income		\$	133,362
Taxes			
Less: Federal Income Tax	19.7%	\$	(36,396)
Less: Social Security Tax	3.2%		(7,961)
Less: Medicare Tax	1.9%		(4,750)
Total Taxes	39.2%	\$	(39,007)
Income After Maint. & Taxes		\$	94,356
Monthly Income		\$	7,863
Non-Income Producing Spouse			
Income from Maintenance		\$	116,368
Less: Federal Income Tax	19.1%		(22,282)
Income After Taxes		\$	94,356
Monthly Income		\$	7,863
Total Available After-Tax Monthly Income		\$	15,726

However, after considering all the TCJA's applicable changes, the benefit that resulted from changes made to the personal income tax rate tables is more than offset by the changes made to how maintenance is treated for tax purposes, resulting in overall less after-tax income available to pay maintenance; in this example, \$603 (or 4%) less is available, as shown in Exhibit 3 (right, above).

Exhibit 3

In the case of our example, a 4% reduction in total income available to pay maintenance may not sound significant. However, when considering that the total income is already being split between two households post-divorce, even a 4% reduction in total income available can be significant.

Exhibit 4

As can be seen in Exhibit 4 (right), if we assume that the non-income producing spouse has an inflexible monthly budget of \$7,555, an amount equivalent to monthly after-tax income that would have been available pre-TCJA as shown in Exhibit 1, \$602 (or 8%) less after-tax income is available to the income-producing spouse. Therefore, in this scenario, the negative impact of the TCJA as it relates to maintenance fully

becomes the burden of the income-producing spouse. That said, the economic reality is, more often than not, that the income-producing spouse's wages will increase over time and any negative inequity on the part of the income-producing

Exhibit 3: Post-TCJA			
Income Producing Spouse			
Total Income		\$	250,000
Less: Maintenance			<i>Not Allowed</i>
Taxable Income		\$	250,000
Taxes			
Less: Federal Income Tax	25.3%	\$	(63,188)
Less: Social Security Tax	3.2%		(7,961)
Less: Medicare Tax	1.9%		(4,750)
Total Taxes	39.2%	\$	(75,889)
Income After Maint. & Taxes		\$	87,050
Monthly Income		\$	7,254
Non-Income Producing Spouse			
Income from Maintenance		\$	87,050
Less: Federal Income Tax	0.0%		<i>Not Taxed</i>
Income After Taxes		\$	87,050
Monthly Income		\$	7,254
Total Available After-Tax Monthly Income		\$	14,508

Exhibit 4: Post-TCJA			
Income Producing Spouse			
Total Income		\$	250,000
Less: Maintenance			<i>Not Allowed</i>
Taxable Income		\$	250,000
Taxes			
Less: Federal Income Tax	25.3%	\$	(63,188)
Less: Social Security Tax	3.2%		(7,961)
Less: Medicare Tax	1.9%		(4,750)
Total Taxes	39.2%	\$	(75,889)
Income After Maint. & Taxes		\$	83,437
Monthly Income		\$	6,953
Non-Income Producing Spouse			
Income from Maintenance		\$	90,664
Less: Federal Income Tax	0.0%		<i>Not Taxed</i>
Income After Taxes		\$	90,664
Monthly Income		\$	7,555
Total Available After-Tax Monthly Income		\$	14,508

spouse's available after-tax income is temporary and will disappear (and even invert) with wage growth.

The Trend Away from Maintenance and Towards Transfer Payments

While the TCJA did reduce the amount of after-tax income available to pay maintenance, other TCJA changes may have positively impacted the marital balance sheet through an increase in the value of private business interests or public equity interests held due to reduced corporate tax rates, creating an alternative source from which to transfer value from one spouse to another¹. At Alvarez & Marsal Valuation Services, we've noticed a trend over the last five years away from maintenance payments and towards using transfer payments as a vehicle to transfer cash between the parties, primarily because transfer payments are generally lower risk for the recipient and

result in a cleaner break between the parties. Given that the TCJA eliminated any tax benefits previously associated with maintenance payments, this is a trend we expect to continue with even greater velocity. ■



Arik Van Zandt is a Managing Director with Alvarez & Marsal Valuation Services in Seattle. He specializes in the valuation of closely-held businesses for the

purposes of litigation support, buy-sell agreements, ESOPs, taxation, and incentive stock options.
www.alvarezandmarsal.com

¹ *Martin v. Martin*, 1D18-2546 in the advance sheets
For more information related to how the TCJA impacted the value of business interests, please refer to Arik Van Zandt's May 10th, 2018 article, entitled "How the Tax Cuts & Jobs Act Will Impact Corporate Taxes", available at www.familylawyer magazine.com/articles/how-the-tax-cuts-jobs-act-will-impact-corporate-taxes.